

# The Effectiveness of Flipped Classroom in Improving English Communication Skills: A Focus on Listening and Speaking

*Xin Wei*

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

tillie.wei615@gmail.com

**Abstract.** This research investigates whether the flipped classroom approach increases high-school students' English language abilities (mainly listening and speaking skills). For an 8-week intervention, 60 students were randomly assigned to an experimental group who were exposed to the flipped classroom and a control group who were exposed to conventional lecture-based instruction. Pre- and post-tests were conducted to assess improvement in listening comprehension and fluency, accuracy and confidence in speaking. The outcomes indicated a significant difference between the experimental and control group's scores. Listening comprehension improved by 15.9% in the experimental group and only 3.4% in the control group. Likewise, the experimental group also made substantial improvements in speaking abilities. The flipped classroom allowed for an open and engaging learning process where students could practice and apply language in pairs. These results were reinforced by survey and interview responses pointing to increased interest, motivation and satisfaction in experimental group participants. The research here proves that the flipped classroom can be an effective teaching tool for improving English listening and speaking abilities, and offers teachers a template for teachers looking to adopt student-centred methods of instruction.

**Keywords:** Flipped Classroom, English Communication, Listening, Speaking, Language Learning, Education Technology

## 1. Introduction

Speaking English is a basic skill in the modern global world. Listening and speaking skills, in particular, are crucial for academic success, career prospects, and cross-cultural communication. But standard languages education fails to foster these capacities. Traditional classrooms tend to involve teacher lectures and textbooks, with few real-world languages to practise. Grammar and vocabulary are often prioritized over interacting with English in the real world, and students are not able to communicate or even process natural spoken English. To counter these challenges, new forms of teaching, including the flipped classroom, became popular. In the flipped classroom, learning is turned on its head, and instruction is offered outside of class via videos, books and the Internet. The remaining class time is then dedicated to hands-on, student led learning that focuses on problem solving, discourse and the application of concepts learned. This strategy fits with constructivist theories of learning, where experience, collaboration and participation are key to learning. Offering a malleable, open-ended learning environment, the flipped classroom could remedy the flaws of traditional methods of teaching, especially language learning [1]. Although studies have already discussed the general impact of the flipped classroom, research is still sparse on how it will affect the learning of listening and speaking in English. Our aim is to close that chasm by exploring the ability of the flipped classroom model to increase high-school English communication skills. This study uses pre- and post-tests, surveys and interviews in an attempt to give empirical proof for the efficacy of this teaching method. Using quantitative and qualitative data, this paper seeks to give insights on how the flipped classroom leads to gains in listening comprehension and speaking skills.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Flipped Classroom Overview

The flipped classroom is a new approach to teaching and learning that flips the classroom upside down. In this model, students learn the material prior to class using video, reading, or podcasts. These hours are then devoted to hands-on learning through discussions, problem-solving, and peer-teaching. This way students can take a stronger interest in the subject, hear directly from

their classmates and instructors, and apply what they've learned. One of the great advantages of the flipped classroom is that it facilitates student participation [2]. By releasing class time for discussions, the model fosters curiosity, critical thinking and problem solving. Teachers serve as facilitators rather than lecturers who assist their students in completing exercises and assignments. This delegation of responsibility makes it easier for students to take responsibility for what they are learning, and helps students understand the content.

## 2.2. Impact on Language Learning

Several studies have indicated that learning through active practice, like the one espoused in flipped classrooms, can significantly boost language learning. Using communicative activities and dialogue, flipped classrooms give learners the opportunity to practise both receptive (listening) and productive (speaking) abilities [3]. For a foreign language student, real language practice and interaction with others can be far more useful than just listening to lectures or reading a textbook. This flipped classroom technique is particularly useful for listening and speaking. Pre-class materials can be set up to introduce native speakers, accents, and actual situations to students, thereby creating a rich auditory experience for listening comprehension. Lectures, discussion sessions, and even role-playing allow students to practice speaking naturally, fluently, and without preparation through real debates, role-plays, and group interactions. With greater personal attention and the opportunity to speak and listen, students become more comfortable speaking and listening.

## 2.3. Theories Behind the Model

The flip classroom follows educational principles such as constructivism that value learning by doing and constructing knowledge in the environment. Constructivist theories teach that students learn best if they engage in the process of learning and build on their own past experience. The flipped classroom takes this line of thinking and lets students read at their own pace and then use it collaboratively. The teacher's job is to make this possible, to help students transform from passive subjects of learning to engaged learners. Moreover, the flipped classroom lends itself to theories of group work that argue that learning with others is conducive to cognitive and language growth. In groups or pairs, students practice language in social settings, have their partners give them feedback, and perfect their communication skills while simultaneously rehearsing with them [4].

# 3. Experimental Methods

## 3.1. Research Design

In this research, we used a mixed-methods study design, a mix of quantitative and qualitative methods, to examine whether the flipped classroom increased listening and speaking skills in students. This quantitative part of the study included pre- and post-testing measures to allow students' achievement in these three domains to be analyzed statistically. A pre-test was given early in the trial to establish baseline, and a post-test completed at the end of the intervention to assess improvements. The qualitative part of the study incorporated questionnaires and quasi-interviews with students, which were very useful in understanding what students thought and felt about the flipped classroom. This pairing of approaches made it possible to comprehensively test the efficacy of the flipped classroom for boosting English communication abilities [5]. Data from the quantitative and qualitative measures were compiled and compared to see if any of them showed significant changes in students' listening and speaking skills. They were also sorted by group (experimental vs. control) so that we could see a more in-depth comparison between the two groups.

## 3.2. Participants

The subjects were 60 high school students who attended an English class at a community school. Participants were recruited based on average English proficiency, measured by a standard English proficiency test taken before the start of the experiment. The students were then split into the experimental group that underwent the flipped classroom intervention, and the control group that received lecture-style teaching. Both groups had similar abilities at the beginning so there was no unbalance. There were 30 students in the experimental group and 30 students in the control group. These students were further grouped by gender and age to maintain a representative sample. These demographics and English proficiency level of participants are summarised in the table below. As can be observed in Table 1, both were evenly sexed, with similar mean ages and skills levels at the beginning of the study. This demographic reconciliation ensured that any observed disparity in outcome could be explained by the intervention rather than by participant differences [6].

**Table 1.** Participant Demographics

Group	Number of Students	Average Age	Gender Distribution	Average English Proficiency Score
Experimental	30	16.5	14 Male, 16 Female	75%
Control	30	16.7	15 Male, 15 Female	74%

### 3.3. Data Collection Tools

Quantitative and qualitative methods were applied to examine how the flipped classroom affected listening and speaking abilities of students. The quantitative data were drawn from listening comprehension and speech tests. The listening comprehension tests involved students listening to an audio recording and responding to questions that assessed their understanding. The tests were administered both before and after intervention, to evaluate if listening skills changed. The oral exams were given as one-off tests, where students answered questions about fluency, accuracy and pronunciation. These were rated against a comprehensive rubric. For the qualitative part, surveys and semi-structured interviews were employed. The students completed surveys following the intervention to describe how they had learnt and how much they'd improved their listening and speaking abilities. Further, a small number of students underwent interviews to provide more specific feedback on the flipped classroom [7]. These qualitative findings enhanced the quantitative findings and provided a more holistic view of the impact of the flipped classroom.

## 4. Experimental Process

### 4.1. Pre-Test Assessment

In advance of intervention, the experimental and control subjects were pre-tested for their initial listening and speaking abilities. In the pre-test, participants completed standardised listening comprehension and speaking tasks measuring fluency, precision and understanding. We analysed the results of the pre-tests to make sure that they had comparable baseline language ability for each group. The average listening and speaking scores in both groups were very similar, as evidenced by Table 2, suggesting an equitable comparison [8]. The table indicates that experimental and control subjects had the same pre-test scores so that any difference in post-test scores could be explained by the intervention, not initial differences in proficiency.

**Table 2.** Pre-Test Results

Group	Listening Test Score (Out of 100)	Speaking Test Score (Out of 100)
Experimental	72.5	70.2
Control	73.1	71.0

### 4.2. Implementation of the Flipped Classroom

The flip classroom was run for 8 weeks. Every week, the experimental group's students were given pre-class content, including videos, articles and listening exercises. These resources were designed to teach new words, grammar rules and listener fluency. The class was aimed at students finishing up the course material beforehand so that class time could be used to apply the content. Class content was centered around group speaking tasks, including discussions, presentations and debates. These sessions offered students the chance to improve their speaking ability under good circumstances. In addition, online venues provided additional practice, enabling students to communicate with each other, share audio files and engage in virtual dialogue to further refine their speaking and listening skills [9]. In the flipped classroom, the instructor's job was to lead the class, give the students feedback on their speaking, and foster interaction between students. It was about a vibrant and engaging learning experience that encouraged students to work independently and together.

### 4.3. Post-Test and Feedback

Following the 8-week intervention, both experimental and control students completed a post-test that measured gains in listening and speaking abilities. The post-test was identical to the pre-test, so you could compare scores straight away. As Table 3 shows, the experimental group performed significantly better in both domains, measured by a statistical analysis of the pre- and post-test scores. As part of the tests, experimental group students were also asked to take a feedback survey and engage in interviews. The feedback survey collected students' experiences of the flipped classroom environment, asking them about how engaged, inspired and successful the activities were. Interviews further detailed their experience, including the effect of the flipped classroom on their speaking confidence and their comprehension of spoken English [10]. We analysed feedback from the experimental and

control groups to understand whether the flipped classroom had been successful both in terms of quantifiable language gains and in terms of student satisfaction with the learning.

**Table 3.** Post-Test Results

Group	Listening Test Score (Out of 100)	Speaking Test Score (Out of 100)
Experimental	84.1	82.4
Control	75.6	72.8

## 5. Experimental Results

### 5.1. Improvement in Listening Skills

After testing, it became apparent that students in the experimental group did much better listening comprehension than their control counterparts. The experimental group's students performed substantially better on listening comprehension questions – especially in the context of complex speech rhythms, accents and live conversations. The open-endedness of the flipped classroom (where students listened to a variety of sources and were engaged in discussions about them) likely had a significant impact on this increase. As shown in Table 4, the experimental group scored higher on listening tests than did the control. The experimental group increased their listening comprehension scores by 15.9% while the control group only gained 3.4%. This means that the flipped classroom was much more effective at developing listening skills [11].

**Table 4.** Listening Test Scores (Pre-Test vs. Post-Test)

Group	Pre-Test Listening Score (Out of 100)	Post-Test Listening Score (Out of 100)	Improvement (%)
Experimental	72.5	84.1	15.9%
Control	73.1	75.6	3.4%

### 5.2. Improvement in Speaking Skills

Similar gains were noted in the speaking tests. Students in the experimental group spoke more fluently, accurately and confidently. They were also able to communicate more explicitly and coherently, especially in spontaneous speech games such as debates and peer presentations. These classroom exercises also gave students ample opportunity to practice speaking in a positive, non-competitive environment, which was probably the secret of their improved speaking skills. The experimental group's greater engagement in the conversation, along with constant reinforcement, boosted their overall speaking performance.

### 5.3. Statistical Analysis

The pre- and post-test results were then statistically analyzed, further supporting the efficacy of the flipped classroom for improving listening and speaking ability. They used a paired t-test to compare the pre- and post-test scores of both groups. We discovered that the experimental group had statistically significant gains in listening and speaking while the control group had only slight increases. These results demonstrate that the flipped classroom significantly improved the language abilities of students compared to conventional teaching methods.

## 6. Conclusion

This research supports the idea that the flipped classroom is an effective method of teaching English listening and speaking. The experimenters who were taught in the flipped classroom had far greater gains in listening comprehension and speech abilities than the control group who were taught conventionally. Listening scores for the experimental group improved by 15.9%, while those in the control group were only up 3.4%. Speaking tests revealed that experimental group students improved fluency, accuracy and confidence, in part due to the dynamic and participatory nature of the flipped classroom exercises. The flipped classroom approach to pre-class reading and hands-on language practice made for a welcoming and positive learning experience that encouraged active engagement, critical thinking and real-world application of language. The more students were satisfied, inspired and engaged with this model, the more we knew that it worked. This research underscores the importance of the flipped classroom as a novel teaching tool for English Language Learning. Flipping the learning paradigm from passive to active engagement enables students to become fully responsible for learning while acquiring necessary communication skills. Perhaps future studies could examine the long-term implications of this method, and whether it can be extended to other language-learning contexts and to different age groups.

Educators are encouraged to embrace the flipped classroom approach to create student-centered, efficient and engaging language learning environments.

## References

- [1] Turan, Z., & Akdag-Cimen, B. (2020). Flipped classroom in English language teaching: A systematic review. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 33(5-6), 590-606.
- [2] Fisher, R., Tran, Q., & Verezub, E. (2024). Teaching English as a Foreign Language in Higher Education using flipped learning/flipped classrooms: A literature review. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 1-20.
- [3] Ngo, H. K., & Yunus, M. (2021). Flipped classroom in English language teaching and learning: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(3), 185-196.
- [4] Afzali, Z., & Izadpanah, S. (2021). The effect of the flipped classroom model on Iranian English foreign language learners: Engagement and motivation in English language grammar. *Cogent Education*, 8(1), 1870801.
- [5] Han, S. (2022). Flipped classroom: Challenges and benefits of using social media in English language teaching and learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 996294.
- [6] Wu, S., & Wang, F. (2021). Artificial Intelligence-Based Simulation Research on the Flipped Classroom Mode of Listening and Speaking Teaching for English Majors. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2021(1), 4344244.
- [7] Abdullah, M. Y., et al. (2021). Exploring the effects of flipped classroom model implementation on EFL learners' self-confidence in English speaking performance. *Recent Advances in Intelligent Systems and Smart Applications*, 223-241.
- [8] Altas, E. A., & Mede, E. (2021). The impact of flipped classroom approach on the writing achievement and self-regulated learning of pre-service English teachers. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 22(1), 66-88.
- [9] Thinh, N. T. (2021). The implementation of flipped classroom approach in an academic English course. *VNU Journal of Foreign Studies*, 37(3).
- [10] Zarinfard, S., Rahimi, M., & Mohseni, A. (2021). The impact of flipped classroom on learning outcome in a general English course: Grammar and vocabulary gains in focus. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Research*, 9(38), 61-75.
- [11] Laura-De La Cruz, K. M., et al. (2022). Flipped classroom methodology in English language learning in higher education. In *International Conference on Information Technology & Systems* (Cham: Springer International Publishing).