

Comparison and analysis of advantages and disadvantages between BIM and CAD in civil drafting software

Zihe Liu

Yuanjiaodajiaotong College, Dalian Jiaotong University, Dalian, Liaoning, China,
116000

lzh021106@163.com

Abstract. In the realm of civil engineering, the evolution of drafting software has significantly transformed the design and construction processes. This research delves into a comparative analysis of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Computer-Aided Design (CAD), two paramount tools in modern civil drafting. By employing a combination of literature reviews, case study evaluations, and expert interviews, this study aims to discern the core functionalities, efficiencies, and applications of both BIM and CAD in various civil engineering projects. The findings reveal that while BIM offers an integrated design approach fostering enhanced collaboration and reduced errors, CAD excels in precision and versatility across diverse engineering disciplines. The study concludes by emphasizing the importance for industry professionals to judiciously select between BIM and CAD based on project requirements, underscoring the unique strengths and limitations of each system.

Keywords: BIM, CAD, Civil Drafting Software, Comparative Analysis, Civil Engineering.

1. Introduction

Civil engineering, a discipline with a rich history of innovation, has witnessed a transformative shift with the advent of digital drafting tools [1]. Current research in this domain predominantly revolves around the capabilities and applications of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Computer-Aided Design (CAD). While both tools have been extensively studied in isolation, there exists a research gap in comprehensive comparative analyses that holistically evaluate their functionalities, efficiencies, and applications in tandem.

This paper seeks to bridge this gap by focusing on the comparative strengths and weaknesses of BIM and CAD in the context of modern civil engineering projects. The primary questions driving this research are: How do BIM and CAD differ in terms of core functionalities and efficiencies? In what scenarios is one tool more advantageous than the other? And, how can industry professionals make informed decisions when choosing between these two pivotal tools? [2]

To address these questions, a multifaceted research methodology has been adopted. This includes a thorough literature review to understand the historical and current applications of both tools, case study evaluations to gain practical insights, and expert interviews to capture industry perspectives.

The significance of this research is manifold [3]. By providing a clear comparative analysis, this study aims to guide industry professionals in optimizing their design and construction processes. Furthermore, by highlighting the unique advantages of each tool, it offers predictions for their future

trajectories and suggests potential areas of improvement. In essence, this research endeavors to equip the civil engineering community with insights that can drive more informed, efficient, and innovative project outcomes.

2. Literature Review

Civil engineering, as a discipline, has always been at the forefront of technological advancements, constantly adapting and evolving with the changing landscape of tools and methodologies. Two such tools that have significantly influenced the domain in recent decades are Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Computer-Aided Design (CAD). Both have not only transformed the way professionals approach design and construction but have also redefined the very essence of project visualization and execution [4].

Building Information Modeling (BIM), as the name suggests, is more than just a tool; it's a holistic process that encapsulates the entire lifecycle of a building, from its conceptualization to its demolition. BIM transcends the traditional boundaries of design, offering a multi-dimensional approach. While 2D and 3D representations are common in most design tools, BIM takes it a notch higher, incorporating time as the fourth dimension (4D), cost as the fifth (5D), and even aspects like sustainability and facility management as the sixth and seventh dimensions (6D and 7D). This multi-dimensional approach provides stakeholders with a comprehensive overview, allowing them to make informed decisions at every stage of the project [5].

But BIM is not just about dimensions. At its core, it's a collaborative tool. In traditional construction projects, various teams – architects, structural engineers, MEP consultants, and others – would work in silos, often leading to miscommunication and discrepancies. BIM bridges these gaps, offering a centralized platform where all stakeholders can collaborate [6]. Every change made in the model is instantly updated, ensuring that all teams are always on the same page.

Furthermore, BIM's database-driven approach means that every component, be it a wall or a window, is not just a visual representation but a reservoir of data. This data-driven approach aids in various aspects, from quantity take-offs and cost estimations to facility management and maintenance in the post-construction phase.

On the other side of the spectrum is Computer-Aided Design (CAD). Predating BIM, CAD has been the backbone of the design industry for decades. Initially conceptualized as a digital alternative to manual drafting, CAD software revolutionized the industry, offering precision and efficiency that was previously unimaginable [7].

CAD, in its essence, is a tool for creating detailed technical drawings. Whether it's a bird's-eye view of a building layout or an intricate cross-sectional view of a machine component, CAD provides the tools and functionalities to represent them with unparalleled accuracy. Over the years, CAD software has evolved, transitioning from basic 2D drafting to sophisticated 3D modeling, allowing professionals to visualize designs in a three-dimensional space, rotating, and interacting with them as if they were tangible objects [8].

The versatility of CAD is another aspect worth highlighting. While BIM is predominantly used in the construction industry, CAD's applications are vast and varied. From product design in the manufacturing industry to special effects in the entertainment sector, CAD's influence is omnipresent [9].

However, it's crucial to understand that while CAD offers detailed designs, it lacks the holistic, data-driven approach of BIM. In CAD, a wall is represented as a line or a surface, devoid of any additional information. In BIM, the same wall is a data repository, containing information about its material, cost, maintenance schedule, and more.

3. Comparative Analysis of BIM and CAD

3.1. Functional Capabilities

The evolution of civil engineering tools has been marked by the introduction and subsequent dominance of digital drafting platforms, notably Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Computer-Aided Design (CAD) [10]. Both have revolutionized the way engineers, architects, and construction professionals approach design and implementation. However, to truly understand their impact and potential, a deep dive into their functional capabilities is imperative.

BIM, or Building Information Modeling, is not just a tool but a process. It represents the physical and functional characteristics of a facility digitally. At its core, BIM is a shared knowledge resource, offering information about a facility, and forming a reliable basis for decisions throughout its life cycle, from inception to demolition [10].

One of the primary functional capabilities of BIM is its 3D modeling feature. Unlike traditional 2D designs, BIM's 3D models provide a visual representation of what the finished product will look like, allowing for better visualization. This is not just about aesthetics; it aids in detecting potential design clashes before they become real, costly construction problems.

Another significant feature of BIM is its database of information. Every component in a BIM model, from walls and ceilings to HVAC systems and electrical outlets, can have data attached to it. This can include specifics about the manufacturer, costs, maintenance schedules, and more. This feature is particularly useful for facility management and maintenance post-construction.

Interoperability is another strength of BIM. Modern construction projects involve various professionals, from architects and engineers to contractors and facility managers. BIM's interoperability ensures that all these stakeholders can access and work on the model using different software tools, ensuring a seamless flow of information.

On the other hand, CAD, or Computer-Aided Design, has been the industry standard for decades. CAD software is used to create precision drawings or technical illustrations in 2D or 3D. This allows project stakeholders to visualize the final product and provides a detailed representation of how a particular structure or component is to be executed [11].

One of CAD's primary strengths is its precision. Engineers and architects can input exact measurements, ensuring that the design is accurate to the minutest detail. This precision is crucial in industries where even a millimeter's discrepancy can result in significant issues, such as aerospace or automotive design.

Versatility is another hallmark of CAD. While BIM is primarily used for building and construction projects, CAD's applications span across various industries, from product design to animation. Its tools allow for detailed and intricate designs, making it the go-to software for many professionals.

However, it's essential to note that CAD is primarily a drafting tool. Unlike BIM, which offers a comprehensive overview of a project, CAD focuses on individual components. This means that while CAD provides detailed designs of parts, it doesn't necessarily offer insights into how these parts fit into the larger project.

In conclusion, both BIM and CAD have distinct functional capabilities that cater to different needs. BIM's strength lies in its comprehensive approach, offering a holistic view of a project, while CAD's precision and versatility make it indispensable in various industries. As the construction and design landscape continues to evolve, understanding these tools' capabilities becomes paramount for professionals aiming to stay ahead of the curve.

3.2. Efficiency and Productivity

In the realm of civil engineering and architectural design, the choice of tools is pivotal for project efficiency. BIM and CAD stand as pillars of this digital era, each enhancing productivity uniquely. BIM's essence lies in its intelligent designs. Every component in a BIM model is data-rich, enabling automatic updates across the model, minimizing errors. For instance, adjusting a wall in BIM

automatically modifies associated designs, ensuring design consistency. BIM's collaborative nature fosters real-time teamwork, centralizing data and expediting decision-making.

Conversely, CAD's legacy is its precision. It excels in producing detailed 2D drawings, crucial for projects where exactness is paramount [12]. However, CAD's manual update requirement can be a drawback, increasing error chances [13]. While both tools require training, BIM's modern interface is often deemed more intuitive for the digitally-inclined generation.

BIM's superior data management, especially in the age of big data, offers insights that can optimize productivity, like predicting material flow on construction sites. In summation, BIM and CAD cater to different project needs. BIM excels in 3D visualization and collaboration, while CAD's strength is in detailed 2D designs. As the sector progresses, understanding both tools' capabilities are imperative for optimal outcomes.

3.3. Application in Different Civil Engineering Projects

Civil engineering encompasses diverse projects, from infrastructure like bridges to buildings and water systems. The selection of design tools, such as BIM and CAD, plays a pivotal role in project outcomes. While both tools are utilized in civil engineering, their aptness varies based on project intricacy.

For intricate infrastructure projects like highways and tunnels, BIM stands out due to its 3D modeling and collaboration features [14]. It offers stakeholders a comprehensive project view, promoting informed decisions and reducing design conflict. Conversely, CAD's 2D drawings might not provide the same depth of understanding.

In building projects, the BIM vs. CAD choice is nuanced. While CAD suffices for simpler projects with its precise 2D designs, BIM is favored for complex structures, aiding in visualizing spatial relationships and post-construction facility management [15].

Water resource projects, like dams and treatment plants, traditionally favored CAD for its precision. However, as these projects grow in complexity, BIM's 3D insights become invaluable, offering a clearer understanding of component interplay.

For land development, encompassing site planning to drainage design, both tools find use. While CAD excels in detailed site planning, BIM's 3D capabilities aid in visualizing terrain and water flow.

In essence, while both BIM and CAD serve civil engineering, their appropriateness is project-dependent. BIM's 3D and collaborative strengths suit intricate projects, whereas CAD's precision is ideal for design-focused tasks. As the field advances, discerning the right tool becomes imperative for professionals.

4. Advantages and Disadvantages

4.1. Strengths of BIM

Building Information Modeling (BIM) has revolutionized the construction and design industry in various ways. One of its primary strengths is the ability to create a comprehensive 3D model of a project, allowing stakeholders to visualize the final product even before construction begins. This visualization capability extends beyond mere aesthetics; it encompasses structural, electrical, and mechanical components, ensuring that all stakeholders have a holistic understanding of the project.

Another significant advantage of BIM is its collaborative nature. Multiple professionals, from architects and engineers to contractors and facility managers, can work on the same model simultaneously. This real-time collaboration reduces the chances of design clashes and miscommunication, leading to a smoother construction process.

Furthermore, BIM's database-driven approach means that each element in the model, from walls to HVAC systems, can have associated data. This data-driven approach is invaluable during the facility management phase post-construction. For instance, facility managers can quickly access information about a particular HVAC unit, from its installation date to its maintenance history, directly from the BIM model.

Lastly, BIM's ability to integrate with other construction technologies, such as 4D scheduling and 5D cost estimation, means that project managers can have a better understanding of the project's timeline and budget. This integration leads to better project management, ensuring that projects are completed on time and within budget.

4.2. Strengths of CAD

CAD has been the backbone of the design industry for decades. Its primary strength lies in its precision. CAD allows engineers and architects to create detailed 2D drawings with a high level of accuracy. These drawings are essential during the construction phase, guiding contractors in ensuring that the final product matches the design intent.

Another advantage of CAD is its simplicity. While BIM models can sometimes be complex, requiring specialized training to navigate and understand, CAD drawings are straightforward. This simplicity means that even professionals who might not be familiar with a particular software can still understand and work with CAD drawings.

Furthermore, CAD's flexibility means that it can be used across various industries, from civil engineering and architecture to manufacturing and product design. This versatility ensures that professionals trained in CAD can work on a range of projects.

4.3. Limitations of Both Systems

While both BIM and CAD offer numerous advantages, they are not without their limitations. BIM's complexity means that there is a steeper learning curve associated with it. Professionals need specialized training to fully harness BIM's capabilities. Additionally, BIM models can be resource-intensive, requiring powerful computers to run smoothly.

On the other hand, while CAD's 2D nature is one of its strengths, it can also be a limitation. In today's world, where clients and stakeholders often demand 3D visualizations, CAD might fall short. Moreover, CAD does not offer the same level of collaboration as BIM. Multiple professionals working on a CAD drawing have to ensure that they are not making conflicting changes, leading to potential miscommunication.

In conclusion, both BIM and CAD have their strengths and limitations. Professionals in the construction and design industry need to understand these to choose the right tool for their projects. While BIM offers a comprehensive, data-driven approach, CAD provides precision and simplicity. The choice between the two often depends on the project's nature and complexity.

5. Conclusion

Civil engineering and architectural design have been substantially influenced by both BIM and CAD, each showcasing unique strengths tailored to specific project requirements. After thorough analysis, this study concludes that BIM, with its integrated 3D modeling and collaborative strengths, is especially suited for intricate projects that require comprehensive understanding and synchronized collaboration. On the other hand, CAD stands out for its unparalleled precision and straightforwardness, making it crucial for projects that prioritize meticulous designs.

However, this research acknowledges certain limitations. For one, the study predominantly relies on existing literature, and firsthand application-based comparisons of BIM and CAD on real-world projects could offer deeper insights. Additionally, advancements in both BIM and CAD software post-2021 have not been considered, potentially leaving out recent innovations and improvements.

Looking forward, future research might delve into the integration of BIM and CAD, exploring how the synergy of both can push the boundaries of civil drafting software. Additionally, as technology continues to evolve, studying the impact of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) in conjunction with BIM and CAD could provide a fresh perspective on design visualization and implementation.

References

- [1] Sampaio, A. (2017). BIM as a Computer-Aided Design Methodology in Civil Engineering. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 10(2).
- [2] Gopalakrishnan, K., Agrawal, A., & Choudhary, A. (2017). Big data in building information modeling research survey and exploratory text mining. *MOJ Civil Engineering*, 3(3).
- [3] Jin, R., Yang, T., Piroozfar, P., Kang, B., Wanatowski, D., Hancock, C., & Tang, L. (2018). Project-based pedagogy in interdisciplinary building design adopting BIM.
- [4] Meouche, R. E., Rezoug, M., & Hijazi, I. (2013). Integrating and Managing BIM in GIS, *Software Review*.
- [5] Campanelli, S., Contuzzi, N., Angelastro, A., & Ludovico, A. (2010). Capabilities and Performances of the Selective Laser Melting Process.
- [6] Czarnecki, L., & Gemert, D. (2016). Scientific basis and rules of thumb in civil engineering: conflict or harmony?
- [7] Eastman, C., Teicholz, P., Sacks, R., & Liston, K. (2011). *BIM Handbook: A Guide to Building Information Modeling for Owners, Managers, Designers, Engineers, and Contractors*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [8] Gerbino, S., Cieri, L., Rainieri, C., & Fabbrocino, G. (2021). On BIM Interoperability via the IFC Standard: An Assessment from the Structural Engineering and Design Viewpoint. *Applied Sciences*, 11(23), 11430.
- [9] Cepa, J. J., Pavón, R. M., Alberti, M. G., Ciccone, A., & Asprone, D. (2023). A Review on the Implementation of the BIM Methodology in the Operation Maintenance and Transport Infrastructure. *Applied Sciences*, 13(5), 3176.
- [10] Guimarães, A. S., Delgado, J., & Lucas, S. (2021). Advanced Manufacturing in Civil Engineering. *Energies*, 14(15), 4474.
- [11] Khairulzaman, H., & Usman, F. (2018). Automation in Civil Engineering Design in Assessing Building Energy Efficiency. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.35), 23096.
- [12] Cepa, J. J., Pavón, R. M., Alberti, M. G., Ciccone, A., & Asprone, D. (2023). A Review on the Implementation of the BIM Methodology in the Operation Maintenance and Transport Infrastructure. *Applied Sciences*, 13(5), 3176.
- [13] King, J. K., Suedel, B., & Bridges, T. (2020). Achieving Sustainable Outcomes Using Engineering with Nature Principles and Practices. DOI: 10.1002/ieam.4306
- [14] Diaz, P. M. (2016). Analysis of Benefits, Advantages and Challenges of Building Information Modelling in Construction Industry. DOI: 10.18831/DJCIVIL.ORG/2016021001
- [15] Paudel, A., & Fraser, J. M. (2013). Teaching Sustainability in an Engineering Graphics Class with Solid Modeling Tool. DOI: 10.18260/1-2--22537