

# Comparative analysis and prospect of RNN and Transformer

**Xingyu Li**

School of Science, Tianjin Chengjian University, Tianjin City, 300384, China

18077772816@163.com

**Abstract.** Deep learning techniques have emerged as a key catalyst for innovation in the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence and machine learning. Deep learning has significantly transformed the field of natural language processing (NLP) and voice processing. It has revolutionized the processing and comprehension of linguistic data, leading to advancements in various applications, ranging from simple text categorization to intricate speech recognition. The progress made in this area would not have been feasible without the utilization of two crucial neural network models: the Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) and the Transformer. These models, with their distinctive processing skills, have attained significant accomplishments in the domains of NLP, computer vision, and several other sectors. Nevertheless, although they demonstrate exceptional performance in their specific application scenarios, there are notable distinctions in processing techniques, performance enhancement, and application range. This study aims to thoroughly investigate and compare the efficacy of two models, namely RNN and Transformer, in processing natural language and speech data. This will be achieved using the research methods of literature analysis and literature review. Through the analysis of their structures, strengths, flaws, and performance in practical applications, it offers a more comprehensive viewpoint and benchmark for future study and applications. As technology advances, we anticipate the emergence of more novel models and methodologies in the field of natural language processing and voice processing. This will further facilitate the development of these technologies.

**Keywords:** Recurrent Neural Networks, Transformer, Deep Learning, Performance Comparison.

## 1. Introduction

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), due to their inherent ability to handle sequential input, were highly successful in early applications of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and speech processing. RNN and its derivatives, including Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTMs) and Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs), have found extensive application in machine translation, speech recognition, and other fields, showcasing their robust capacity to handle sequential input. Nevertheless, as the amount of data and the demands of applications have expanded, the shortcomings of RNNs in handling long-term connections and simultaneous processing have become apparent. The birth of the Transformer model was a result of this limitation. It is an innovative design that addresses the long-range dependency problem by introducing a multi-attention mechanism. This mechanism significantly enhances processing efficiency. The Transformer architecture, along with its subsequent advancements like the BERT model, has already made significant strides in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP), particularly in the domains of semantic comprehension and text creation. Although the Transformer model offers a

notable benefit in computing efficiency, its requirement for a substantial number of processing resources has also garnered much attention. Through the analysis of RNN and Transformer, we may not only uncover their strengths and weaknesses in handling various data kinds, but also investigate their suitability in specific application contexts. Conducting a comparison investigation of the performance of these two models will provide insights into their efficiency and accuracy in real-world situations. Simultaneously, it highlights the primary obstacles that these models currently encounter and indicates potential future pathways for advancement in the realm of deep learning.

The advancement of deep learning technology has had a significant impact on both academia and industry, particularly in the development of RNN and Transformer models. These models have not only influenced academic research but also played a crucial role in driving practical applications in several industries. Hence, acquiring a comprehensive comprehension of the attributes and evolutionary patterns of these two models is vital for advancing the future progression of deep learning technology. The study aims to thoroughly investigate and compare the efficacy of two models, RNN and Transformer, in processing natural language and speech data. This will be achieved through the methods of literature analysis and literature review. Through the examination of their compositions, capabilities, and limitations, as well as their effectiveness in real-world scenarios, it offers a more all-encompassing viewpoint and benchmark for future investigations and implementations.

## **2. Analysis of Recurrent Neural Networks**

### *2.1. Introduction of RNN*

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) are a specialized sort of neural network model that excel at handling sequential input [1]. They have played a vital role in the field of deep learning since the 1980s. RNNs has a distinctive capability to handle and comprehend sequential data, such as complete sentences or even entire articles, which sets them apart from conventional neural networks. RNNs are well-suited for natural language processing due to this feature. RNNs possess the fundamental attribute of being able to establish a connection between each element in a sequence, such as words, and its surrounding context [2]. This involves not just the processing of individual elements, but also the integration of the context of each piece into the computing processes. By employing this technique, RNNs are able to get a deeper comprehension of the entire semantics of human language, resulting in enhanced performance in language processing tasks.

Compared to typical feedforward networks, RNNs have a unique structural characteristic: the inclusion of recurrent units. These units are responsible for extracting and maintaining characteristics while processing sequences. This design facilitates the network's efficient processing of time-series data by taking into account prior information while dealing with the present input. The incorporation of this repetitive framework not only grants RNNs with benefits in comprehending and manipulating time-series data like speech or text but also allows for the management of input sequences of varying lengths through parameter sharing, thereby decreasing the number of training parameters needed. In addition, RNNs have shown impressive processing abilities in areas like natural language processing, despite the potential problems of vanishing or exploding gradients that may arise during training. These challenges have been effectively tackled and improved upon in the subsequent development of RNNs.

RNNs have been highly effective in processing sequential data, particularly in the field of NLP. This is due to its architecture, which includes recurrent units. The distinctive architecture of RNNs enables them to include knowledge from previous time steps while processing data at each time step. This characteristic enhances their ability to analyze and forecast sequential data by facilitating a deeper comprehension of the underlying patterns. As models get more intricate, recurrent RNNs encounter a growing challenge of vanishing or bursting gradients. This issue directly impacts the efficiency of training and the accuracy of predictions made by the models. In order to tackle this difficulty, the academic community has put up several strategies for improvement and variations of models, such as Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTM) and Gated Recurrent Units (GRU). These approaches partially alleviate the gradient problem and improve the usability and performance of RNNs. Future

research will prioritize the optimization and enhancement of RNNs and its variants as deep learning technology continues to advance.

## 2.2. *Applications of RNN Models*

RNNs utilize their intrinsic recurrence mechanism to capture long-term dependencies in time series data. This unique characteristic has resulted in significant advancements in various domains. RNNs has a significant benefit in their distinctive capability to employ the Backpropagation Through Time (BPTT) method, enabling the network to retain past information and utilize it to impact present and future decisions, thereby effectively managing data with varying sequence lengths. RNNs have demonstrated outstanding performance in Natural Language Processing (NLP), particularly in tasks such as text classification, sentiment analysis, language modeling, and machine translation [1]. RNNs are capable of generating coherent and grammatically accurate text, thereby greatly enhancing the quality and efficiency of machine translation. RNNs are highly proficient at analyzing the temporal features of voice signals, hence improving the precision of converting speech into text in the domain of speech recognition. RNNs are commonly used in time series analysis for tasks like as stock market forecasting, weather prediction, and illness progression forecasting [3]. They are able to make extremely accurate predictions by learning the underlying patterns in the time series data. This power arises from the RNNs' aptitude to comprehensively digest information at every point in a sequence and their ability to retain previous information.

While RNNs offer notable benefits in managing sequential data, they encounter difficulties, such as the problem of vanishing or ballooning gradients when dealing with lengthy sequences. This limitation restricts their effectiveness in specific application scenarios. In order to address this difficulty, scholars have proposed LSTM and GRU [4]. These variations employ gated techniques to control the flow of information, thereby resolving the issue of long-term dependency and improving the stability and performance of the model.

In general, RNNs and its several versions have made significant advancements in various sectors, especially in effectively dealing with data that has time-series attributes, showcasing unmatched benefits. Future study might prioritize refining the network topology, improving the interpretability of the model, and minimizing computational resource usage to fully exploit its capabilities in many application domains.

## 3. **Transformer Model**

### 3.1. *Introduction of Transformer*

The Transformer model, being a cutting-edge design in the realm of deep learning, specifically showcases its distinct benefits in the realm of NLP. The main innovation of this approach is its complete reliance on the self-attention mechanism for processing sequence data, which sets it apart from typical RNNs and LSTMs. The self-attention mechanism in the Transformer model allows it to take into account the connection with all other elements when processing each element in the sequence, so effectively capturing long-range relationships inside the sequence.

The Transformer includes a multi-head attention mechanism that improves the model's understanding of relationships within a sequence by splitting the attention layer into multiple "heads". This allows the model to learn different features of the input data in different representational subspaces in parallel. This enhances the efficiency and precision of the Transformer in comprehending intricate sequence linkages. Positional Encoding is a novel feature of the Transformer model. Positional encoding is used to address the model's inherent inability to understand the sequence order. It assigns unique position information to each element in the sequence, allowing the model to effectively exploit the positional relationships between elements. The Transformer model's encoder-decoder architecture significantly improves its capacity to manage sequence-based activities. The encoder analyzes the input sequence by utilizing self-attention and feedforward networks to extract features. The decoder then uses the output from the encoder, along with previously created outputs, to forecast the next element in the sequence. The

architecture of the Transformer allows it to perform exceptionally well in activities like machine translation.

Overall, by effectively utilizing these essential technologies, the Transformer model has not only significantly enhanced processing efficiency and decreased the time required for model training, but it has also made significant advancements in various natural language processing tasks, such as text summarization, sentiment analysis, and question-answering systems. The ongoing progress in technology will continue to propel the expansion of natural language processing and other sectors through the utilization of the Transformer and its derivative models, such as BERT and GPT. This will result in the exploration of new possibilities in deep learning applications.

### *3.2. Applications of Transformer Models*

Since its inception, the Transformer model has demonstrated its revolutionary impact across numerous fields, with the most notable being NLP. In the NLP field, the Transformer model, through its unique encoder-decoder architecture and attention mechanism, has achieved breakthroughs in machine translation tasks, significantly improving translation accuracy and fluency. This improvement is not only evident in language conversion but also in handling medium to long-distance dependencies, showing its superiority. The Transformer model has demonstrated significant advancements in speed and efficiency compared to prior machine translation models that relied on RNNs. For example, when it comes to machine translation between German and English, the use of the Transformer model has greatly enhanced the speed of translation in comparison to models that have a recurrent neural network (RNN) structure. For example, in the context of machine translation from German to English, the utilization of the Transformer architecture has considerably enhanced the pace of translation in comparison to models employing a RNN structure [5]. In addition, the Transformer has demonstrated exceptional proficiency in many NLP tasks, including text production, language comprehension, and sentiment analysis.

Furthermore, the application of the Transformer model in the field of computer vision is increasing, with its use in tasks such as image classification, object detection, and image segmentation demonstrating the model's potential in processing visual data [6-7]. Through the self-attention mechanism, the Transformer can effectively calculate the relationship between each pixel in an image and the entire image, thereby extracting more rich and deep feature information. This capability allows the Transformer to exhibit outstanding performance in visual tasks, especially in scenarios that require an understanding of the global information of an image.

Overall, the Transformer model, due to its strong generalization capabilities and efficient processing performance, has shown broad application prospects across numerous fields. It can handle various types of sequential data, not limited to text but also including images, speech, etc., making the Transformer a hot topic in current deep learning research and application. In the future, with continuous optimization of models and algorithms, the application of Transformer in more fields will become even more widespread and in-depth.

## **4. Comparative Analysis**

### *4.1. Comparison of their capacity*

After conducting a thorough comparison of RNNs and the Transformer model, noticeable disparities in performance can be observed. The variations are particularly evident in the speed of processing, the capacity to capture long-range connections, and the capacities for parallel processing. RNNs, particularly their variations such as LSTM and GRU, are extensively utilized in domains such as speech recognition and machine translation because of their capacity to handle sequential input. RNNs excel in their capacity to handle temporal dependencies in sequences by facilitating continuous information flow. Nevertheless, RNNs encounter the issue of either vanishing or expanding gradients while handling lengthy sequences, hence restricting their ability to effectively capture long-range relationships. Furthermore, the sequential nature of RNNs makes it challenging to parallelize their processing, resulting in inefficiency when dealing with extensive datasets.

Conversely, the Transformer model has exceptional proficiency in addressing long-range dependency problems. This is especially apparent in situations like language translation, particularly when working with data that involves long-distance dependencies [1]. This is because of the self-attention mechanism, which enables the model to simultaneously consider all words in the sequence when processing a specific word. As a result, it effectively captures long-distance dependencies. This approach not only enhances the ability to process long sequences but also greatly improves the model's parallel processing capabilities. Hence, when dealing with substantial amounts of data, the Transformer exhibits significantly superior efficiency compared to conventional RNNs. Moreover, the Transformer's capacity to perform parallel processing provides it with a benefit in terms of training time. Unlike conventional recurrent neural networks and long short-term memory (LSTM) models, the Transformer architecture enables data parallel processing, leading to a substantial improvement in training efficiency [5]. This capability allows the Transformer to efficiently process and learn from large quantities of data, which is particularly crucial in the current era of rapidly expanding data volumes.

To summarize, while both RNNs and the Transformer are commonly employed in domains like natural language processing, they exhibit notable disparities in their treatment of long-range dependencies, parallel computation capabilities, and training efficiency. The Transformer's superiority in these regards renders it an optimal option for handling intricate and extensive datasets.

#### *4.2. Contextual Comparative Analysis*

When discussing the applicability and effectiveness of RNNs and the Transformer model in various application scenarios, we find that each has unique advantages in specific contexts.

*4.2.1. Application Scenarios of RNN.* RNNs and their variations, such as LSTM and GRU, are well-suited for situations that involve capturing the changes over time. This is because they have the capability to analyze data that is organized in a sequence. The reason for this is that they have the ability to gather information at each individual time interval and transmit this information to the subsequent interval, thus effectively capturing the temporal relationships present in voice data. For instance, in the domain of voice recognition, RNNs are adept in efficiently handling and examining uninterrupted audio signals, as these tasks necessitate acquiring knowledge and making predictions based on sequential data. RNNs also exhibit their advantages in domains such as machine translation and text synthesis. For example, in jobs involving machine translation, RNNs are capable of efficiently handling input words and gradually producing translated outputs. RNNs are capable of preserving the contextual information from prior words, which is essential for producing translations that are coherent and contextually appropriate.

*4.2.2. Application Scenarios of Transformer.* The Transformer is well-suited for jobs that necessitate extensive parallel computing and the capture of long-range dependencies, thanks to its self-attention mechanism. The Transformer exhibits exceptional performance in the domain of natural language processing, particularly in tasks that involve intricate sentence patterns and the requirement to comprehend context, such as text summarization, question-answering systems, and sentiment analysis. In text summary jobs, the Transformer is capable of comprehending the full document, resulting in the production of precise and information-packed summaries.

The Transformer demonstrates exceptional performance in the realm of computer vision. The Transformer excels in tasks such as image classification, object detection, and image segmentation due to its capacity to simultaneously analyze all components of an image. This capability enhances the model's comprehension of the image's overall structure and intricate details. For instance, in tasks related to object detection, the Transformer model is capable of recognizing and precisely determining the positions and categories of many items inside an image.

In certain application contexts, both RNNs and Transformers possess distinct advantages. The RNN is particularly effective in dealing with time-series data and jobs that involve capturing the temporal dynamics. On the other hand, the Transformer model demonstrates its superiority in complicated tasks

that require parallel processing and the ability to capture long-range dependencies. The selection of the appropriate model relies on the particular demands and attributes of the work, and comprehending the attributes and constraints of these models is essential for attaining optimal performance in specific situations.

## 5. Discussion

The science of deep learning is always evolving, and the future development paths of RNN and Transformer models exhibit a wide range of trends. These trends involve addressing current issues and exploring new application domains. Future research on RNNs may prioritize addressing the issue of gradient vanishing and explosion, as this is a crucial component that impacts their performance. By innovating architectural designs or enhancing LSTM and GRU models, it is feasible to better capture long-term dependencies while preserving computing efficiency. Furthermore, improving the parallel processing capacity of RNN is a crucial area for advancement. Efficient handling of large-scale data may necessitate the development of novel network topologies that can simultaneously process multiple segments of sequence data. Furthermore, there will be a future emphasis on improving the ability of RNN models to generalize, allowing them to effectively handle diverse forms of sequence data and exhibit exceptional performance in a range of tasks and application contexts.

The future trajectory of the Transformer model may prioritize enhancing computing efficiency. Given the significant demand for computational resources, future research may focus on developing more efficient procedures or simplifying the models to reduce resource requirements. Simultaneously, the future advancement of Transformer models may prioritize improving their efficacy in terms of data efficiency, particularly in situations when learning is based on few samples. This implies that the models will be fine-tuned to effectively learn from a restricted amount of data and possess the ability to make precise predictions. Furthermore, there is a growing emphasis on improving the comprehensibility of Transformer models, which is anticipated to be a significant area of research. Enhancing the interpretability of the model not only improves the transparency of its use, but also offers valuable insights for future model optimization.

In the future, RNNs and Transformers are expected to focus on expanding efficiency, overcoming current technology limits, and improving the applicability and interpretability of models. As deep learning technology continues to improve, these two models are anticipated to maintain their importance in managing complicated data chores and to showcase novel opportunities in new research and application domains.

## 6. Conclusion

This paper offers a thorough examination and evaluation of the two dominant models in the deep learning field—RNN and Transformer. It delves into their principles, application scenarios, strengths and weaknesses, and future development prospects.

RNNs and Transformers have made important advancements and contributions in the field of deep learning. RNNs, including LSTM and GRU variations, have demonstrated exceptional efficacy in handling time-series data and capturing temporal patterns. These models have particularly excelled in domains such as speech recognition and machine translation. Meanwhile, the Transformer has shown significant benefits in dealing with long-range connections and enormous amounts of data, particularly in the domains of NLP and computer vision. This is due to its self-attention mechanism and ability to process data in parallel. The utilization and implementation of these two models have not only accelerated the progress of deep learning technology but also offered valuable assistance in resolving intricate real-world issues.

Nevertheless, this article's analysis is not without its limits. Firstly, the essay may not cover all the newest breakthroughs in the field of deep learning due to the continuous emergence of new models and technology. Furthermore, the comparative analysis in this paper primarily relies on theoretical frameworks and previous studies, without including direct experimental comparisons of model performance. Subsequent studies could enhance the verification of these models' effectiveness on

various tasks and datasets by employing experimental design, thereby contributing more empirical research findings to the field of deep learning.

To summarize, RNNs and Transformers are both significant components of deep learning, each possessing distinct advantages and application contexts. With the progress of technology and the resolution of current obstacles, it is anticipated that these two models will persist as crucial players in their respective domains and potentially have a more extensive influence in future deep learning applications.

## References

- [1] Li Huaxu. A review of research on natural language processing based on RNN and Transformer models [J]. Information Recorded Materials, 2021, 22(12): 7-10. DOI: 10.16009/j.cnki.cn13-1295/tq.2021.12.081.
- [2] Liu JW, Song ZY. A review of recurrent neural network research [J]. Control and Decision Making, 2022, 37(11): 2753-2768. DOI: 10.13195/j.kzyjc.2021.1241.
- [3] Junyi Fan, Jibin Yang, Xiongwei Zhang et al. A review of single-channel speech enhancement models based on Transformer [J]. Computer Engineering and Application, 2022, 58(12): 25-36.
- [4] WANG Xin, WU Ji, LIU Chao et al. Fault time series prediction based on LSTM recurrent neural network [J]. Journal of Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2018, 44 (04): 772-784. DOI: 10.13700/j.bh.1001-5965.2017.0285.
- [5] Zheng YH, Zhou J, Huang KD et al. Research and design of machine translation based on pre-training model [J]. Technology and Innovation, 2023(21): 34-37. DOI: 10.15913/j.cnki.kjycx.2023.21.010.
- [6] Y.L. Tian, Y.T. Wang, J.K. Wang et al. Key Issues in Vision Transformer Research: Status and Prospects [J]. Journal of Automation, 2022, 48 (04): 957-979. DOI: 10.16383/j.aas.c220027.
- [7] W.T. Liu, X.M. Lu. Research progress of Transformer based on computer vision [J]. Computer Engineering and Application, 2022, 58 (06): 1-16.