The Impact of PID Control Parameters on DC Motor Performance

Wei Zhang^{1,a,*}

¹HDU-ITMO Joint Institute, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310018, China a. 22320515@hdu.edu.cn *corresponding author

Abstract: This paper investigates the influence of PID control parameters on the performance of DC motors, which are integral components in industrial automation and robotics. DC motors require precise control for applications demanding high accuracy in speed and position, and the PID (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) controller remains one of the most effective tools for this purpose. Through a comprehensive literature review, this study explores the effects of proportional (P), integral (I), and derivative (D) components on the stability, accuracy, and responsiveness of DC motor control systems. The findings indicate that proportional gain (Kp) impacts system stability and responsiveness, integral gain (Ki) addresses steady-state errors, and derivative gain (Kd) enhances transient response. The results of this research contribute to the optimization of PID controller tuning strategies, offering practical insights for improving the efficiency of motor control in diverse applications.

Keywords: PID Control, DC Motor, parameter influences, Tuning Optimization

1. Introduction

DC motors are widely utilized in industrial automation, robotics, and electric vehicles due to their simplicity, reliability, and ease of control. These motors are often preferred choices in applications where precise speed, torque, and position control are paramount. However, achieving desired motor performance requires effective control strategies, and PID controllers are among the most commonly used solutions. The PID controller's simplicity and flexibility make it well-suited for managing the dynamic behavior of DC motors, allowing for fine-tuning of performance parameters.

Historically, the tuning of PID parameters was initially developed through empirical methods, such as those proposed by Ziegler and Nichols [1]. Despite the simplicity of their approach, there has been an increasing need to develop more robust and adaptive tuning techniques to meet the demands of modern, complex systems. This paper aims to analyze how the individual PID parameters—proportional gain (Kp), integral gain (Ki), and derivative gain (Kd)—affect the performance of DC motors. By examining their impacts on rise time, overshoot, settling time, and steady-state error, the paper provides valuable insights into optimal tuning practices.

This study aims to understand the specific effects of Kp, Ki, and Kd on DC motor performance, explore traditional and modern tuning methods for optimizing PID parameters and offer practical recommendations for engineers in the field of motor control systems.

[©] 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

2. Literature Review

DC motors are fundamental components in various applications, including industrial automation, robotics, and electric vehicles, where precise speed and position control are critical. Among the various control strategies, PID (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) controllers are widely adopted due to their simplicity and effectiveness in achieving desired control objectives. However, the tuning of PID parameters remains a crucial factor in optimizing motor performance.

Building on the foundational work of Ziegler and Nichols, who introduced a systematic method for PID tuning, recent studies have focused on understanding the impact of proportional (Kp), integral (Ki), and derivative (Kd) gains on the dynamic behavior of DC motors. Their work highlighted the need for proper adjustment of these components to balance rise time, overshoot, settling time, and steady-state error. Subsequent research has explored various traditional and modern tuning methods, further analyzing their influence on both transient and steady-state responses of DC motors [1-2].

Despite significant advancements in PID control for DC motors, several gaps remain in the existing research. Traditional tuning methods often struggle with non-linearities, time-varying dynamics, and real-world constraints, limiting their effectiveness in complex applications. Modern optimization techniques, while powerful, are computationally demanding and often rely on accurate system modeling or extensive training data, which may not always be feasible. Moreover, limited attention has been given to hybrid approaches that combine the simplicity of traditional methods with the precision of modern algorithms. Additionally, the long-term stability and adaptability of PID parameters under evolving operating conditions, such as wear or environmental changes, remain underexplored. Addressing these gaps is crucial, and this paper seeks to bridge them by investigating robust, adaptive, and hybrid tuning strategies, offering practical insights for diverse motor control challenges.

3. The Impact Of Parameters

The impact of the proportional, integral, and derivative control parameters can be understood through their individual roles and combined effects within a control system. The proportional term (Kp) adjusts the control output proportionally to the current error value, providing an immediate response to deviations from the desired setpoint, which helps to reduce rise time and increase system responsiveness. However, excessive Kp can lead to system instability, particularly in high-gain configurations, as studies have shown that increasing Kp reduces steady-state error but may result in increased overshoot and oscillations. Meanwhile, the integral term (Ki) accumulates the error over time, effectively reducing steady-state error by eliminating residual discrepancies, making it particularly useful in addressing offset issues that are not corrected by proportional control alone. Nevertheless, a high Ki value can introduce prolonged settling times and overshoot, as the system may overcorrect in response to accumulated error, which underscores the need for careful tuning, as emphasized in Smith's research on balancing speed and accuracy. Lastly, the derivative term (Kd) responds to the rate of change of the error, introducing a predictive element that helps dampen oscillations and improve transient response by anticipating changes in the error signal, leading to faster settling times and reduced overshoot. However, excessive derivative gain can make the system overly sensitive to noise, where small disturbances might cause significant fluctuations in the control output, as noted by Morales [3]. Together, these parameters must be carefully tuned to achieve a balance between stability, responsiveness, and accuracy in control systems.

4. Comparison Between Traditional Methods And Modern Methods

4.1. Traditional Tuning Methods

Traditional PID tuning methods are straightforward and have been widely applied in industry for decades, with the most common approaches being the Ziegler-Nichols method and the Cohen-Coon method. The Ziegler-Nichols method, introduced by Ziegler and Nichols in 1942, is one of the earliest and most well-known approaches for PID tuning [4]. It involves increasing the proportional gain (Kp) until the system reaches the verge of instability, characterized by sustained oscillations at the ultimate gain (Ku) and ultimate period (Pu). Based on these parameters, the PID settings are determined using empirical formulas. While this method is simple and widely adopted, it often results in a control system with significant overshoot and long settling times, particularly for systems with non-linear dynamics or substantial time delays. On the other hand, the Cohen-Coon method is designed to address processes with time delays by utilizing the system's open-loop response to determine the PID parameters [5]. This approach is more suitable for first-order systems with time delays and typically offers better performance in such cases compared to the Ziegler-Nichols method. However, it can still face challenges with non-linear systems and may not always achieve the desired stability and response. Although these traditional methods are easy to implement and provide a good starting point for PID tuning, they often rely heavily on accurate system modeling and may underperform in systems with complex, non-linear, or time-varying characteristics. This has motivated the development of modern, optimization-based approaches for PID tuning.

4.2. Modern Optimization Algorithms

Modern tuning methods leverage advanced computational algorithms to optimize PID parameters, effectively addressing the limitations of traditional approaches through techniques such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). Genetic Algorithms, inspired by the principles of natural selection and genetics and introduced by Goldberg, employ processes such as selection, crossover, and mutation to evolve solutions toward optimal PID parameters. These algorithms excel at handling non-linearities and optimizing multiple objectives, such as minimizing overshoot, settling time, and steady-state error, proving particularly effective for complex and multi-variable systems where traditional methods often fail [6]. Similarly, Particle Swarm Optimization, proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995, is based on the social behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling, where each "particle" represents a potential solution and adjusts its position in the search space based on its own experience and that of its neighbors. Known for its simplicity, fast convergence, and effectiveness, PSO has been widely applied to optimize PID parameters in scenarios such as DC motor control, especially under varying load conditions and nonlinear dynamics [7]. Furthermore, Artificial Neural Networks, inspired by the human brain's neural networks, can learn complex input-output relationships and have been successfully employed for adaptive PID tuning. For instance, Sun and Yang demonstrated the effectiveness of ANN-based realtime PID parameter adjustment in non-linear systems, achieving superior performance compared to traditional and optimization-based methods. ANN-based controllers offer flexibility and adaptability, making them highly suitable for real-time control applications where system dynamics frequently change [8]. Together, these modern optimization algorithms provide robust solutions for PID tuning in diverse and challenging scenarios.

4.3. Comparison Between Traditional and Modern Methods

Methods like Ziegler-Nichols and Cohen-Coon are popular due to their simplicity and ease of implementation [9]. However, they are often limited by their reliance on accurate system models and

can perform poorly in complex or highly non-linear systems [10]. These methods may result in suboptimal tuning, characterized by high overshoot and extended settling times. In contrast, modern optimization algorithms such as GA, PSO, and ANN offer significant advantages, including the ability to handle complex, multi-variable, and non-linear systems. These methods do not require precise system modeling and can search a broader solution space for optimal PID parameters, leading to better performance. Recent research suggests that hybrid approaches, combining traditional methods for initial parameter estimation with optimization algorithms for fine-tuning, can yield superior results not just in PID control applications [11-12]. Overall, the trend in recent years has shifted towards using adaptive and intelligent tuning techniques that can dynamically adjust PID parameters based on real-time feedback, enhancing control performance in variable and unpredictable environments.

5. Practical Recommendations for Engineers in Motor Control Systems

Optimizing PID parameters for DC motor control is a complex yet essential task. Based on insights from traditional and modern methods, the following three comprehensive strategies can guide engineers toward achieving efficient and robust motor control:

5.1. Initial Parameter Estimation and Simulation Testing

Engineers should start by employing traditional methods like the Ziegler-Nichols or Cohen-Coon techniques to estimate initial PID parameters. These straightforward methods provide a quick and practical baseline, especially for linear or well-understood systems. However, their limitations, such as potential overshoot and instability, mean that further adjustments will likely be necessary. To refine these initial estimates, engineers should use simulation tools like MATLAB Simulink or Python's Control Systems Library. Simulations allow for safe testing of PID parameters, enabling engineers to visualize system responses and adjust Kp, Ki, and Kd without risking damage to the motor or other components. By observing trade-offs between performance metrics like rise time, overshoot, and settling time in a virtual environment, engineers can enter the implementation phase with greater confidence and precision.

5.2. Advanced Tuning and Adaptive Optimization

In systems with non-linear dynamics, time delays, or variable conditions, modern optimization techniques like Genetic Algorithms (GA) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) offer significant advantages over traditional methods. These algorithms optimize PID parameters by minimizing performance metrics such as overshoot and steady-state error, even in multivariable and non-linear systems. For environments with frequent dynamic changes, adaptive controllers powered by Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) or Fuzzy Logic can adjust PID settings in real-time, maintaining consistent performance under varying load or temperature conditions. Combining traditional and modern methods in a hybrid approach is often the most efficient strategy—starting with traditional tuning for initial estimates and refining with advanced algorithms for precise control. This approach ensures practicality, speed, and accuracy, making it suitable for a wide range of applications.

5.3. Long-Term Stability and Safety Considerations

Long-term system stability requires addressing real-world challenges such as non-linearities, wear, and environmental changes. Engineers should account for factors like friction, backlash, and saturation when tuning PID controllers and consider integrating compensatory techniques such as feedforward control to handle predictable disturbances. Over time, system conditions may shift,

necessitating periodic review and retuning of PID parameters. Machine learning algorithms can help predict maintenance needs, ensuring timely updates to maintain performance. Safety and robustness should always remain priorities; safeguards like limiting maximum control actions can protect against motor damage, while fail-safe mechanisms can prevent instability under unexpected conditions. Comprehensive documentation of the tuning process and outcomes is also essential, enabling efficient troubleshooting and knowledge sharing within engineering teams. By focusing on these aspects, engineers can achieve sustainable, robust, and safe motor control over the system's lifecycle.

Optimizing PID parameters for DC motor control demands a structured approach that balances initial setup, precision tuning, and long-term reliability. Engineers are advised to begin with traditional methods for quick parameter estimation and refine these using simulation tools to ensure safe and efficient testing. For systems with greater complexity or non-linear dynamics, leveraging modern optimization algorithms like GA and PSO, as well as adaptive controllers such as ANN-based systems, can significantly enhance performance. Finally, long-term stability requires regular review and retuning of parameters, robust safety measures, and thorough documentation. By integrating these strategies, engineers can achieve precise, robust, and sustainable motor control, ensuring consistent performance in dynamic and demanding environments.

6. Discussion and conclusion

The interplay of Kp, Ki, and Kd underscores the importance of balanced tuning in PID control systems. Increasing Kp enhances system responsiveness but can lead to instability and overshoot if set excessively high. Ki effectively eliminates steady-state error by addressing residual discrepancies but may cause overshoot and prolong settling times when overemphasized. Kd, on the other hand, improves stability and dampens oscillations, though it can make the system overly sensitive to noise in certain conditions. These dynamics highlight the need for precise tuning to achieve a balance between responsiveness, stability, and accuracy. Adaptive tuning techniques, such as those based on machine learning or optimization algorithms, offer promising solutions by dynamically adjusting PID parameters in response to real-time system changes. Such methods provide greater flexibility and effectiveness, especially in applications involving nonlinear dynamics where traditional approaches like Ziegler-Nichols may fall short.

This study analyzed the impact of PID parameters on DC motor performance, emphasizing their critical roles. Kp improves responsiveness while risking overshoot, Ki eliminates steady-state error but may prolong settling, and Kd enhances stability while being sensitive to noise. Although traditional tuning methods provide a practical foundation, advanced and adaptive strategies are crucial for managing the complexities of modern motor control systems. The conclusions drawn are limited by the absence of practical experimentation due to constraints in time and resources, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Future research should focus on experimental validation to enhance the reliability of these results. Additionally, developing intelligent tuning algorithms capable of adapting to dynamic system conditions will enable more precise and efficient DC motor control, facilitating their broader application in industrial automation, robotics, and other high-performance fields.

References

- [1] Joseph, E. A., & Olaiya, O. O. (2017). *Cohen-coon PID tuning method: A better option to Ziegler-Nichols-PID tuning method*. Retrieved from [https://eprints.federalpolyilaro.edu.ng/1247/] (https://eprints.federalpolyilaro.edu.ng/1247/)
- [2] Zou, J., & Han, Y. (2008). Overview of artificial neural networks. In J. M. Walker & J. D. Pollard (Eds.), *Methods in Molecular Biology* (Vol. 458, pp. 15–23). Humana Press. Retrieved from [https://link.springer.com/protocol/10.1007/978-1-60327-101-1 2#citeas] (https://link.springer.com/protocol/10.1007/978-1-60327-101-1 2#citeas]

- [3] Morales, R., Somolinos, J. A., & Sira-Ramírez, H. (2014). Control of a DC motor using algebraic derivative estimation with real-time experiments. *Measurement*, 51, 15–22. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0263224113004624] (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0263224113004624)
- [4] Åström, K. J., & Hägglund, T. (2004). Revisiting the Ziegler-Nichols step response method for PID control. *Journal of Process Control, 14*(6), 635–650. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959152404000034] (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959152404000034)
- [5] Joseph, E. A., & Olaiya, O. O. (2017). *Cohen-coon PID tuning method: A better option to Ziegler-Nichols-PID tuning method*. Retrieved from [https://eprints.federalpolyilaro.edu.ng/1247/] (https://eprints.federalpolyilaro.edu.ng/1247/)
- [6] Kumar, A. (2024). *Genetic algorithms*. Retrieved from [https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/genetic-algorithms] (https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/genetic-algorithms)
- [7] Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (n.d.). *Particle swarm optimization*. Retrieved from [http://ai.unibo.it/sites/ai.unibo.it/files/u11/pso.pdf] (http://ai.unibo.it/sites/ai.unibo.it/files/u11/pso.pdf)
- [8] Zou, J., & Han, Y. (2008). Overview of artificial neural networks. In J. M. Walker & J. D. Pollard (Eds.), *Methods in Molecular Biology* (Vol. 458, pp. 15–23). Humana Press. Retrieved from [https://link.springer.com/protocol/10.1007/978-1-60327-101-1 2#citeas] (https://link.springer.com/protocol/10.1007/978-1-60327-101-1 2#citeas)
- [9] Al-Odienat, A. I., & Al-Lawama, A. A. (2008). The advantages of PID fuzzy controllers over the conventional types. Retrieved from [https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/da9a/9f996a96a946a07c52eca72b4d64e01e7489.pdf] (https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/da9a/9f996a96a946a07c52eca72b4d64e01e7489.pdf)
- [10] Chao, C.-T., & Sutarna, N. (2017). Equivalence between fuzzy PID controllers and conventional PID controllers. *Applied Sciences, 7*(6), 513. Retrieved from [https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/7/6/513] (https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/7/6/513)
- [11] Anand, A., & Suganthi, L. (2018). Hybrid GA-PSO optimization of artificial neural network for forecasting electricity demand. *Energies, 11*(4), 728. Retrieved from [https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/11/4/728] (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/11/4/728)
- [12] Yadav, R. K., & Anubhav. (2019). GA and PSO hybrid algorithm for ANN training with application in medical diagnosis. *2019 Third International Conference on Intelligent Computing in Data Sciences (ICDS)*, 1–6. Retrieved from https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8942375