Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Business and Policy Studies
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

Investigating the Relationship Between Environmental, Social, and Governance Factors and the Capital Asset Pricing Model

Qianqian Qi[1,a,*]

[1]*Fisher College of Business, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, The United States*
a. 378633993@qq.com
**corresponding author*

Abstract: This study delves into the intricate relationship between the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in the investment landscape. Through an extensive review of existing literature and comparative analyses, the paper uncovers the historical development of CAPM, the rise of ESG considerations, and their convergence in modern financial theory. The research aims to shed light on the ongoing debates surrounding integrating ESG factors into the CAPM framework, revealing both supportive and critical viewpoints. Through a critical evaluation of empirical studies, the paper identifies gaps in the current body of knowledge, emphasizing the need for further research to understand the interplay between ESG factors and CAPM. The study concludes that while ESG considerations have increasingly influenced investment decisions, their precise relationship with traditional financial models, such as CAPM, remains an area ripe for exploration.

Keywords: CAPM, ESG, Investment Strategies, Systematic Risk, Sustainable Finance

1. Introduction

1.1. Background: Financial Models and Theories

The development and application of various models and theories have characterized finance. These models, ranging from the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) to the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), serve as the foundation for investment decisions and risk management strategies. They provide a structured approach to understanding market behaviors and predicting future trends. Over the years, these models have been refined and adapted to cater to the evolving financial landscape, incorporating new variables and considerations [1].

1.2. The Rise and Significance of ESG Factors

There has been an increasing focus on incorporating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into investment choices in recent years. The transition is propelled by a growing recognition of the enduring hazards and prospects linked to ESG matters. Investors, including both institutional and private ones, are increasingly acknowledging that companies that have robust environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards are more inclined to surpass their competitors in the long

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

term. This phenomenon is not merely a passing fad, but rather an indication of a more extensive societal change towards investing in a manner that is both environmentally friendly and socially conscious. ESG considerations are now essential in evaluating the overall well-being and future prospects of a company. Companies that actively and preemptively tackle environmental, social, and governance (ESG) challenges are perceived as progressive and are frequently granted with elevated market values and steadfast investor allegiance [2].

1.3. Basic Concepts of the CAPM Model

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a fundamental concept in finance that was developed to elucidate the connection between the anticipated return of an asset and its level of risk, quantified by beta. According to the concept, the anticipated gain from an asset is equivalent to the risk-free interest rate plus a compensation for risk, which is calculated by multiplying the asset's beta with the projected market gain minus the risk-free interest rate. Essentially, the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) offers a structure for assessing the risk and return characteristics of an investment. Investors commonly utilize it to ascertain the suitable expected rate of return for an asset, considering its level of risk [3].

Incorporating ESG factors into the CAPM framework is a relatively new area of research, but it holds significant implications for the future of investment strategies. As the financial world continues to recognize the importance of ESG considerations, it is imperative to understand how these factors intersect with traditional financial models like CAPM.

2. History and Development of the CAPM Model

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a fundamental concept in financial economics that provides a structured framework for comprehending the connection between the risk and expected return of an asset. The creation of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is historically credited to the influential contributions of Sharpe and Lintner [3][4]. Nevertheless, it is important to acknowledge that Treynor also achieved substantial advancements during the identical timeframe [5]. Treynor's manuscripts, such as "Market Value, Time, and Risk" and "Toward a Theory of Market Value of Risky Assets", were shared among people in the 1960s but were never officially published in academic publications. Prior to the contributions of Sharpe and Lintner, these works were created and predicted similar outcomes. However, Treynor's version of the CAPM did not get the same level of general acknowledgment [6].

The primary utility of the CAPM resides in its capacity to estimate the anticipated yield on an investment, based on its risk profile. It offers a systematic approach for converting risk into projections of anticipated return on equity (ROE). The model's straightforwardness and instinctive forecasts regarding the correlation between risk and return have established it as a fundamental component of MBA-level investing courses and a preferred instrument for professionals calculating the cost of equity capital for companies [7].

Nevertheless, the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) does face criticism. Although the underlying logic of the model is convincing, empirical tests frequently reveal discrepancies between its predictions and actual observations. A key critique of the model is its dependence on impractical assumptions, such as the assumption that investors have diverse portfolios and that a risk-free rate exists. Furthermore, the model's empirical validity has been questioned due to possible limitations in the tests, such as the utilization of inadequate indicators for the market portfolio of invested money [8].

In addition, although the CAPM offers a concise structure for assessing risk and return, it fails to consider additional variables that could impact asset values, such as liquidity risk or behavioral biases

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

exhibited by investors. Consequently, additional models for valuing assets have been created to overcome some of these restrictions.

3. Definition and Classification of ESG Factors

ESG factors have become crucial considerations in the investment field, impacting how companies perform and how investors make decisions. These non-financial elements offer a comprehensive perspective on a company's enduring sustainability and ethical standards. Now, let us examine the distinct elements of ESG and its consequences.

Environmental factors pertain to a company's interaction with the natural environment and its commitment to reducing its ecological footprint. This encompasses many issues, from energy consumption and waste management to carbon emissions and biodiversity conservation. Firms prioritizing environmental sustainability often adopt practices such as Renewable Energy 100% and the carbon neutrality approach [9]. However, the challenge lies in the inconsistency of environmental metrics across different rating providers, which can hinder the effectiveness of the 'E' in ESG as a tool for sustainable finance [9].

Social considerations pertain to the interactions between a firm and its stakeholders, encompassing employees, customers, suppliers, and the wider community. These can include employment practices, human rights, product safety, and community involvement. The advent of digital transformation has broadened the range of social factors to be taken into account, particularly with the emergence of the Digital Environmental, Social, and Governance (DESG) framework. This model emphasizes the role of digital technology in enhancing sustainable business practices. For instance, younger demographic groups tend to respond more positively to firms' DESG initiatives, highlighting the importance of digital integration in shaping customer attitudes [10].

Governance aspects pertain to the internal processes and procedures that govern firm operations. These factors encompass board composition, remuneration of executives, rights of shareholders, and the level of transparency in financial disclosures. Efficient governance measures guarantee that corporations are responsible to their shareholders and function with honesty. The advent of the digital era has also had a significant impact on governance procedures. The DESG model highlights the crucial role of digital governance in modern company operations [10].

In conclusion, ESG factors provide a comprehensive framework for assessing a company's sustainability and ethical practices. While these factors offer valuable insights, it is essential to approach them with a critical mindset. The diverse methodologies rating providers employ, and the evolving nature of ESG considerations necessitate continuous research and adaptation. As the business landscape becomes increasingly digital, integrating technology into ESG practices will be crucial in shaping sustainable and responsible business operations.

4. ESG Ratings and Rating Agencies

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) ratings have become increasingly significant in the investment landscape, providing insights into a company's sustainability and ethical practices. Investors often use these ratings to make informed decisions about the potential risks and returns associated with a particular investment. This section will explore the primary ESG rating agencies, their scoring criteria, and the relationship between ESG scores and company risk and performance.

Several agencies provide ESG ratings, each with its methodology and focus. However, there is substantial divergence in the ratings awarded to companies, even within the same region [11]. This divergence can be attributed to the different methodologies and practices applied by these agencies. For instance, some agencies place more emphasis on environmental factors, while others prioritize governance or social aspects. Companies need to be aware of these differences to ensure that their

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

sustainability efforts are appropriately evaluated. On the other hand, investors should consider the correlation between different ESG ratings, as they provide varying insights into a company's sustainability performance [11].

ESG scores have been discovered to possess crucial insights into the potential negative outcomes for companies, particularly those with significant information imbalance, such as smaller firms. Notably, when considering business size, only the environmental scores among the three ESG categories have demonstrated a substantial influence on bond returns. These findings indicate that smaller enterprises can benefit from reduced debt financing costs when they have high environmental scores [12].

Moreover, ESG ratings serve as a valuable addition to conventional credit ratings when evaluating the creditworthiness of an entity. Conventional credit ratings are insufficient in accounting for the impact of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors in forecasting future bond returns. This implies that credit rating agencies might enhance their existing rating system by incorporating ESG scores or generating new ESG scores.

A separate study discovered that the combination of environmental, social, and governance factors into a comprehensive ESG rating resulted in enhanced performance and risk management benefits. Governance indicators, specifically, demonstrated notable short-term significance since they tend to mirror event risks that promptly impact stock prices. Nevertheless, several environmental and social indices have exhibited gradual progress, yet their impact on finances has endured over a significant period of time [13].

ESG ratings are essential in today's financial environment since they offer valuable information about a company's sustainability and ethical policies. Nevertheless, the variation in ratings among various organizations requires a discerning approach when utilizing these rankings for investing choices.

5. Intersection of ESG Factors with CAPM

5.1. Existing Research on the Role of ESG Factors in CAPM

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a fundamental concept in finance that offers a structure for calculating the anticipated return on an investment by considering its systematic risk. Researchers have endeavored to comprehend the intersection between non-financial aspects, such as Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations, and the principles of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) in light of their increasing significance in the investing domain.

Cohen's research is notable for its substantial contribution to this field. He examined the relationship between ESG sustainability scores and corporate valuations. His research found that the "Beta" risk component of the S&P500 is associated with environmental hazards, although this correlation was not observed for Nasdaq100 equities. This distinction implies that environmental hazards are more noticeable in some market indexes, underscoring the necessity for improved environmental education for investors [14].

Kocmanová et al. brought a fresh perspective by proposing a sustainable investing model (SIM) [15]. This model aggregates economic indicators, positive and negative ESG criteria, market value of the stock, and both systematic and unsystematic risk based on the CAPM. Their research aimed to support responsible individual investors by offering a comprehensive evaluation tool for sustainable investments. This integration of ESG factors into the CAPM framework underscores the evolving nature of investment strategies in the face of global sustainability challenges.

Habib & Mourad's exploration into the influence of ESG disclosure on firm risk further enriched the discourse [16]. Their comprehensive study indicated that environmental and social disclosures had a significant impact on total risk, systematic risk, and idiosyncratic risk. Interestingly, governance

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

disclosure only significantly influenced total risk. This finding suggests that different ESG components have varying impacts on firm risk, and not all ESG disclosures carry the same weight in influencing a firm's risk profile.

Eratalay Cortés Ángel delved into the relationship between ESG scores and systematic risk [17]. Their research found that while environmental and governance scores were inversely related to systematic risk, social scores did not exhibit a significant relationship. This nuanced understanding underscores the importance of differentiating between the three pillars of ESG when assessing their impact on risk within the CAPM framework.

In conclusion, integrating ESG factors into the CAPM framework has opened new avenues for research. As the importance of sustainability and ethical considerations grows in the investment landscape, understanding their implications within the CAPM framework will remain a pivotal area of academic and practical interest.

5.2. Relationship Between ESG Scores and Stock Beta

The correlation between Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) scores and stock beta is a subject of considerable fascination in financial research. The beta coefficient, which quantifies the level of systematic risk in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), has conventionally been employed to approximate the anticipated return on an investment based on its risk characteristics. Researchers have explored the impact of ESG concerns on stock beta and projected returns in order to comprehend the influence of these non-financial aspects.

In a thorough investigation, Murata Hamori examined the correlation between ESG disclosures and the possibility of a significant decline in stock prices [18]. Their study concentrated on prominent market index constituents in Europe, the United States, and Japan. The results indicate that the disclosure of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) information reduces the likelihood of a significant decline in stock prices in the future. Nevertheless, the impact and ability to forecast future outcomes of ESG disclosures vary between regions. Notably, there are substantial negative coefficients associated with ESG disclosure scores in the European and Japanese market samples, whereas no such coefficients are observed in the U.S. market sample.

Kisman Restiyanita examined the accuracy of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) in forecasting stock returns, with a focus on the influence of macroeconomic indicators such as GDP, inflation, and others. Although the main focus of their work was comparing CAPM and APT, incorporating ESG issues as macro determinants should provide a new viewpoint on how ESG concerns affect stock returns within both models [19].

In a study conducted by Chambers et al., the researchers examined the impact of the capital structure and the beta coefficient on stock returns in the Istanbul Stock Exchange [20]. Their research revealed that the beta coefficient and the total debt/market value ratio had statistical significance, exerting a favorable impact on both nominal and actual stock returns. This study highlights the significance of company-specific characteristics, such as environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues, in impacting stock beta and returns.

Alqisie and Alqurran conducted a study to assess the accuracy of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) in the Amman Stock Exchange from 2010 to 2014 [21]. Their findings demonstrated that an elevated level of risk (beta) did not correspond to an increased level of return, hence challenging the assumption of the CAPM. This study emphasizes the possible variation in the correlation between ESG scores, stock beta, and projected returns among different stock exchanges.

In conclusion, the relationship between ESG scores and stock beta is intricate and multifaceted. As ESG considerations become increasingly integral to investment decisions, understanding their influence on stock beta and expected returns within the CAPM framework will be paramount.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

6. Controversies and Research Gaps in the Relationship Between ESG Factors and CAPM

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) has sparked considerable debate and discussion in the financial literature. As the importance of ESG considerations in investment decisions has grown, so has the scrutiny of their relationship with traditional financial models like CAPM. This section delves into the controversies surrounding this relationship and identifies areas where further research is needed.

Some studies suggest that ESG factors can influence stock beta and, consequently, expected returns. For instance, Murata Hamori found that ESG disclosures lower future stock price crash risk, especially in European and Japanese market samples [18]. This suggests that companies with better ESG disclosures might have a lower systematic risk, aligning with the CAPM's predictions.

On the other hand, certain studies question the idea that ESG considerations have a major impact on CAPM indicators. Geczy et al. investigated the effectiveness of portfolios consisting of mutual funds that have objectives related to socially responsible investment (SRI) [22]. Their study sought to ascertain the financial burden of implementing the SRI limitation for investors who are pursuing the maximum Sharpe ratio. The results indicated that the expense associated with the SRI constraint could be significant, particularly for investors who adhere to specific asset pricing models and acknowledge a substantial level of fund-manager expertise. While the debate continues, there are evident gaps in the literature that need addressing:

Comparative Analysis Across Markets: The differential impact of ESG disclosures on stock price crash risk in various markets, as highlighted by Murata & Hamori, suggests that the ESG-CAPM relationship might vary across regions [18]. More comparative studies across different markets are needed to understand these nuances better.

Longitudinal Studies: Most current research is cross-sectional, focusing on a specific time frame. Longitudinal studies tracking the ESG-CAPM relationship over extended periods could offer more comprehensive insights.

Integration with Other Financial Models: While CAPM is a foundational model in finance, there are other models, like the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT), that also predict stock returns. Exploring how ESG factors fit into these alternative models could provide a more holistic understanding of their impact on financial metrics.

In conclusion, the relationship between ESG factors and CAPM remains a hotly debated topic in financial literature. While there is evidence both supporting and challenging the integration of ESG considerations into CAPM, apparent research gaps exist that future studies need to address. As the importance of ESG factors in investment decisions continues to grow, understanding their relationship with traditional financial models will be crucial for academics and practitioners.

7. Conclusion

The intersection of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors with traditional financial models, specifically the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), signifies a paradigm shift in finance. As the global investment landscape evolves, recognizing and integrating non-financial elements becomes paramount. Although the relationship between ESG factors and CAPM has been explored, it remains multifaceted and complex. While some research emphasizes the significant influence of ESG considerations on stock risk and returns, others present a more skeptical view. These divergences, coupled with the regional variations in ESG effects, necessitate continuous exploration and research. As businesses and investors move towards a more sustainable future, bridging the knowledge gap between ESG factors and traditional financial models will be vital. The ensuing dialogue and research will undoubtedly shape the future of sustainable investing, guiding practitioners and scholars alike in this transformative journey.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/74/20241477

References

[1] M. Akkaya, "Financial Innovation: Theories, Models, and Future," in Handbook of Research on Managerial Thinking in Global Business Economics, IGI Global, 2019, pp. 115–139. doi: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7180-3.ch007.

[2] S. Kotsantonis, C. Pinney, and G. Serafeim, "ESG Integration in Investment Management: Myths and Realities," Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 10–16, 2016, doi: 10.1111/jacf.12169.

[3] W. F. Sharpe, "Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Conditions of Risk*," The Journal of Finance, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 425–442, 1964, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1964.tb02865.x.

[4] J. Lintner, "Security Prices, Risk, and Maximal Gains from Diversification*," The Journal of Finance, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 587–615, 1965, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1965.tb02930.x.

[5] J. L. Treynor, "Market Value, Time, and Risk." Rochester, NY, Aug. 08, 1961. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2600356.

[6] C. W. French, "The Treynor Capital Asset Pricing Model." Rochester, NY, Oct. 04, 2003. Accessed: Oct. 20, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=447580

[7] L. J. Robison and J. R. Brake, "Application of Portfolio Theory to Farmer and Lender Behavior," American J Agri Economics, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 158–164, Feb. 1979, doi: 10.2307/1239520.

[8] M. Rossi, "The capital asset pricing model: a critical literature review," Global Business and Economics Review, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 604–617, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1504/GBER.2016.078682.

[9] S. S. Senadheera et al., "Scoring environment pillar in environmental, social, and governance (ESG) assessment," Sustainable Environment, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 1960097, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1080/27658511.2021.1960097.

[10] W. Puriwat and S. Tripopsakul, "From ESG to DESG: The Impact of DESG (Digital Environmental, Social, and Governance) on Customer Attitudes and Brand Equity," sustainability, vol. 14, no. 17, Art. no. 17, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/su141710480.

[11] I. Zumente and N. Lāce, "ESG Rating—Necessity for the Investor or the Company?," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 16, Art. no. 16, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13168940.

[12] G.-Y. Jang, H.-G. Kang, J.-Y. Lee, and K. Bae, "ESG Scores and the Credit Market," Sustainability, vol. 12, no. 8, Art. no. 8, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12083456.

[13] G. Giese, Z. Nagy, and L.-E. Lee, "Deconstructing ESG Ratings Performance: Risk and Return for E, S, and G by Time Horizon, Sector, and Weighting," The Journal of Portfolio Management, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3905/jpm.2020.1.198.

[14] G. Cohen, "The impact of ESG risks on corporate value," Rev Quant Finan Acc, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1451–1468, May 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11156-023-01135-6.

[15] A. Kocmanová, M. P. Dočekalová, T. Meluzín, and S. Škapa, "Sustainable Investing Model for Decision Makers (Based On Research of Manufacturing Industry in the Czech Republic)," sustainability, vol. 12, no. 20, p. 8342, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12208342.

[16] A. M. Habib and N. Mourad, "The Influence of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Practices on U.S. Firms' Performance: Evidence from the Coronavirus Crisis," J Knowl Econ, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s13132-023-01278-w.

[17] M. H. Eratalay and A. P. Cortés Ángel, "The Impact of ESG Ratings on the Systemic Risk of European Blue-Chip Firms," Journal of Risk and Financial Management, vol. 15, no. 4, Art. no. 4, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/jrfm15040153.

[18] R. Murata and S. Hamori, "ESG Disclosures and Stock Price Crash Risk," Journal of Risk and Financial Management, vol. 14, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.3390/jrfm14020070.

[19] Z. Kisman and S. Restiyanita, "The Validity of Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) in Predicting the Return of Stocks in Indonesia Stock Exchange 2008-2010," 2015. Accessed: Oct. 20, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Validity-of-Capital-Asset-Pricing-Model-(CAPM)-Kisman-Restiyanita/0705c921485800f86c6d34b67c88c6f1d09f0714

[20] N. Chambers, F. H. Sezgin, and B. Karaaslan, "An Analysis of the Effects of Capital Structure and the Beta Coefficient on Stock Returns: A Case Study of the Istanbul Stock Exchange (ISE) - Manufacturing Industry," vol. 4, no. 7, 2013.

[21] A. Alqisie and T. Alqurran, "Validity of Capital Assets Pricing Model (CAPM) (Empirical Evidences from Amman Stock Exchange)," Journal of Management Research, vol. 8, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.5296/jmr.v8i1.8494.

[22] C. Geczy, R. F. Stambaugh, and D. Levin, "Investing in Socially Responsible Mutual Funds." Rochester, NY, Oct. 01, 2005. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.416380.