Proceedings of ICFTBA 2024 Workshop: Finance in the Age of Environmental Risks and Sustainability
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/98/2024OX0136

The Impact of Economic Globalization on National Economies and Social Structures

Zhenpeng Li[1,a,*]

[1]*Management School, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, United Kingdom*
a. hszli71@liverpool.ac.uk
**corresponding author*

Abstract: An examination of the significant impact of economic globalization on labor markets, income inequality and fiscal policy in both developed and developing countries is presented in this paper. With regard to the labor market, developing countries that shifted from manufacturing to services, such as South Africa, lost many jobs for low-skilled workers. Similarly, in developed regions, while globalization has fostered the growth of high-tech and service industries, it has led to stagnant wages for low-skilled workers. Income inequality has risen: high-skilled workers in the United States have enjoyed wage growth and better employment prospects, while low-skilled workers have suffered. For developing countries in South-East Asia, high-income groups there benefited far more from globalization than low-income groups. Fiscal policy has also suffered. In the European Union, uncoordinated tax policies have posed a challenge to economic cohesion, while in Sri Lanka, political instability has hampered the success of export-oriented strategies. The study concludes that a stable political environment and fair distribution policies are crucial for developing countries, while developed countries should enhance education and implement fair tax policies to reduce inequality. Future research should explore the broader socio-economic impacts of globalization and develop a comprehensive policy framework to deal with its complexities.

Keywords: Economic Globalization, Labor Market, Income Inequality, Fiscal Policy.

1. Introduction

Since the end of the twentieth century, globalization has developed rapidly, significantly influencing the economic and social structures of countries. Consequently, in particular, economic globalization has brought not only flows of goods and capital, but also communication of technology, and has had a significant impact on economic growth, income inequality, labor markets and government decision-making, resulting in an unprecedented degree of economic interdependence among countries. Therefore, with the rapid growth of such connections, the effects of economic globalization have extended to all aspects of national economies and social structures. As a result, understanding these impacts is essential for policymakers, enterprises and societies to cope with the complexity of the increasingly globalized economy. The effects of economic globalization are not homogeneous and generally complex and diversified, especially between developed and developing countries [1]. Developed countries generally have more advanced science and technology, more mature financial markets, and stronger governance structures than less developed countries. This means that they are more competitive and can use the benefits of globalization more effectively, consolidate their

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/98/2024OX0136

dominance in economic globalization, and achieve economic development. Developing countries, meanwhile, suffer from difficulties such as weak infrastructures, incomplete industrial chains, inadequate institutions in all sectors, as well as market and technology monopolies on the part of developed countries and international companies, which can exacerbate the negative effects of globalization, leading to economic instability, increasing income inequality and worsening social problems.

The study aims to explore the differential impact of economic globalization on developed and developing countries by analyzing key areas such as labor markets, fiscal policies, and income inequalities. It seeks to clarify the various benefits and challenges globalization presents to the economic and social structures of countries. The goal is to contribute to a better understanding of how countries can manage the opportunities and risks associated with economic globalization. By informing policymakers and stakeholders about the main factors influencing the outcomes of globalization, the study aims to help develop more effective strategies to maximize benefits and minimize negative impacts. The rest topic of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 the labor market. Section 3 the income inequality. Section 4 the fiscal policy. Section 5 concludes.

2. The Impact of Economic Globalization on the Labor Market

Economic globalization has significantly reshaped the labor markets of countries around the world, and this section will investigate the impact of economic globalization on the labor markets of South Africa (developing country) and the U.S. metropolitan area (developed country). South Africa, as a developing country, had experienced significant economic change in the context of economic globalization. As South Africa has gradually integrated into the global economy, it has seen an increase in trade, foreign direct investment and the adoption of new technologies. However, these changes have also brought challenges to the labor market, leading to significant changes in the South African labor market.

Research by Jenkins points out that unlike developing countries in Asia, South Africa's exports are not primarily in labor-intensive manufacturing [2]. As a result, the country has experienced a transformation from manufacturing to services employment, with data from the World Bank showing that the proportion of employment in services has increased from 50 percent in 1990 to 62 percent in 2010. This shift has been driven by global trade, investment in competitiveness and enhanced efficiency. However, it is important to note that the decline in manufacturing employment is mainly due to automation and productivity improvements, and there has not been a complete switch to services. That is, despite the relative increase in the service sector, a large number of jobs were loses in South Africa's manufacturing industry during the 1990s. As a result, many of the unemployed workers had difficulty in finding suitable jobs in the service sectors, and the increase in jobs in the service sectors was not able to fully absorb the low-skill workers who lost their jobs as a result of the decline in the manufacturing sector. Moreover, the impact of globalization on wages and working conditions in South Africa is complex. On the one hand, increased foreign investment and trading has created high-wage jobs in particular skill-demanding sectors, such as mining and financial services. On the other hand, however, the flow of cheap imports has inhibited the growth of traditional manufacturing, resulting in workers in traditional manufacturing facing wage stagnation. In addition, regarding the work conditions of labor, some industries have improved due to better regulatory standards and the entry of foreign firms, but under the pressure of globalization, cost-cutting by local firms may have led to deterioration in work conditions in certain industries such as textile [3]. Foreign direct investment has also played an important role in shaping South Africa's labor market, injecting large amounts of capital into the mining and service sectors. While these investments have driven technology transfer and improvements in productivity, these changes have still had a negative impact on the employment of low-skill workers. The entry of transnational corporations has exposed local

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/98/2024OX0136

industries to international competition, making it difficult for many enterprises to survive and causing job losses in poor traditional manufacturing industries.

The United States metropolitan area is a case study in contrasts. As a developed country, the United States has a more diversified economy and a better institutional, which has influenced the role of globalization in its labor market. In the United States, globalization has significantly changed employment patterns, especially in metropolitan areas. According to Vachon's research, it was noted that at the beginning of the twenty-first century, there was a significant decline in manufacturing jobs, while the service and technology sectors were growing [4]. This change was mainly caused by the outsourcing of economic globalization and the global divisions of labor-intensive industries. As a result, metropolitan areas increased the supply of high-quality jobs in areas such as high technology, finance and services. According to World Bank, from 1990 to 2010, the proportion of manufacturing jobs declined significantly, while the proportion of jobs in the services and technology sectors increased sharply. In particular, there has been significant growth in high-skill jobs in information technology and professional services, as well as a substantial increase in employment with high pay and superior working conditions, such as in financial services. In addition, globalization has deeply affected unionization and workers' rights in the United States. The loss of manufacturing jobs has weakened the traditional influence of unions, which has led to a reduction in the ability to bargain collectively and in the protection of labor rights. Ultimately, this has led to increased pressure on workers' employment and reduced pay, despite the overall growth of the economy. Workers in manufacturing and low-skilled services, in particular, face higher instability of jobs and lower wage growth.

Both South Africa and U.S. metropolitan areas have experienced dramatic changes in employment patterns as a result of globalization. South Africa experienced economic restructuring as a result of globalization but was limited by poverty as well as inequality levels, losing a large amount of jobs in the conversion to the service sector while manufacturing employment deteriorated. In contrast, U.S. metropolitan areas have added a large number of highly skilled jobs in the high-tech and service sectors, but have seen the weakening of trade unions in traditional manufacturing, leaving low-skilled workers facing further difficulties in the labor market. The case studies for South Africa as well as for the United States emphasize the differential impact of globalization on labor markets in developed and developing countries. South Africa needs to regulate the shift from manufacturing to services effectively to ensure a more balanced distribution of the benefits of globalization. The United States, on the other hand, needs to support workers who have lost their jobs as a result of the reduction in manufacturing jobs and deal with the weakening of labor rights.

3. The Impact of Economic Globalization on the Income Inequality

Economic globalization has also had a significant impact on income inequality in different regions, and by looking at the cases of South-East Asia and the United States of America metropolitan area, it can be observed the different impacts of increased economic globalization on income inequality in countries with different levels of development.

In Southeast Asia, economic globalization has brought about rapid growth, but it has also resulted in widening income inequality. In a study by Jomo, it is argued that how the policies of liberalizing trade and attracting foreign investment have mainly benefited the more affluent segments of the society [5]. A quantitative analysis of macro data from Southeast Asian countries found that while poverty rates fell in some countries, the benefits of globalization were not distributed evenly. Higher-income groups have gained significantly, while improvements for lower-income groups have been minimal. This widening gap stems from the negative impacts of globalization, and these economic policies in favor of liberalization and market opening may deepen existing inequalities [6]. According to Jomo's research, the negative impacts of globalization on income inequality can be mitigated by

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/98/2024OX0136

redistributing the economic benefits of economic globalization more equitably in the form of land reforms, increased investment in education, and a sound social security system that provides more labor opportunities for low-income groups [5].

In contrast, in U.S. metropolitan areas, globalization has aggravated income inequality mainly through technological advances and international trade. Boschken's regression analysis of labor market and income data for U.S. metropolitan areas found that high-skilled workers in urban areas have benefited significantly from globalization, enjoying wage growth and better employment opportunities [7]. In contrast, low-skilled workers have faced wage stagnation and job loss due to automation and outsourcing [8]. This is due to technological advances, as well as the globalization of trade and production, which has transferred production to developing countries where costs are cheaper, resulting in the home country's labor market preferring those with higher levels of education and skills, thus widening the income gap between highly skilled and low-skilled workers. Boschken's study suggests that the solution to these inequalities is also different from that of Southeast Asia differently by looking to increase access to education and reforming tax policies to reduce income inequality [7]. Primarily, increasing access to quality education for all populations will enable low-skilled workers to upgrade their productive skills and match them to jobs, increasing wages and reducing structural unemployment. Furthermore, tax reforms that ensure a more fair distribution of wealth can help to ease the financial burden on low-income households and reduce income inequality.

In conclusion, the impact of economic globalization on income inequality is complex and varies from case to case. In South-East Asia, where economic liberalization has led to widening income gaps, inclusive policies such as land reform and public investment in education can be used to redistribute wealth more equitably. In contrast, globalization has exacerbated the gap between high-skilled and low-skilled workers in metropolitan areas of the United States of America, requiring governments to strengthen education and training for low-skilled workers and fulfilling the need for tax reforms to reduce income inequality.

4. The Impact of Economic Globalization on the Fiscal Policy

Not only labor markets and income inequality, economic globalization has also had a substantial impact on countries' fiscal policies. By analyzing these cases, it can understand the many ways in which globalization is shaping the fiscal landscape and the economic environment.

Globalization not only brings about a new world order, but also raises unique challenges that require countries for trying their best to mitigate the consequences of global free competition. In this competitive landscape, fiscal policy has become one of the key tools that are essential to it. Maria do Rosário Anjos' study of the European Union provides a detailed analysis of how globalization has effected fiscal policy and competition in the region. In the deeply integrative EU, tax coordination is vital to prevent competitive distortions in the European market. However, the study shows that tax coordination has never been a priority for the EU, which is a critical policy weakness in the context of globalization [9] . The EU's fiscal system is a complex interaction between economic and political processes and relies on the institutions and instruments needed to implement fiscal policy. Despite trying to achieve economic goals, the lack of appropriate European fiscal policy and tax coordination has led to budgetary laxness and increased public debt in some member nations [9]. This has allowed the tax system to be used as a tool for unfair competition between member states. Political and institutional constraints are major obstacles to tax coordination in the EU. Different member nations have different levels of economic development and fiscal policies, which complicates the advancement of standardized tax policies [10]. In the background of globalization, the EU faces a serious challenge, that is, the need to promote fiscal coordination and political integration in order to maintain economic and social cohesion. Without such efforts, the economic gap between rich and poor member countries may widen, threatening the stability of the Eurozone [11].

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/98/2024OX0136

In contrast, Yapa MWY Bandara and Neil D. Karunaratne's study of Sri Lanka provides an insight into how developing countries can implement fiscal policy in the globalization. In 1977, Sri Lanka switched from an inward-looking import-substituting industrialization to an outward-looking export-oriented industrialization strategy. This shift was accomplished by a wide range of reforms, including trade liberalization, the privatization of state enterprises, and financial derestriction. These reforms significantly affected manufacturing productivity, creating the foundation for rapid growth and structural change [12]. This study empirically analyzed the Sri Lankan manufacturing sector over a 21-year period using a balanced panel dataset and showed that the EOI strategy typically led to Total Factor Productivity growth. However, the ethnic conflict in Sri Lanka created an uncertain investment environment at that time. The finding of a sharp reversal in TFP growth since 1994 demonstrates the importance of a stable political environment for economic reforms in developing countries [12]. This study further details the structural transformation of the Sri Lankan economy. Before the reforms, Sri Lanka's economy was predominantly agricultural. After 1977, however, there was a clear shift towards manufacturing in the Sri Lankan economy, driven by foreign direct investment and trade liberalization. The data in the study shows that from 1977 to 1988, the contribution of manufacturing to GDP increased from 15.5% to 16.5%, while its contribution to total exports increased from 14.2% to 48.3% [12]. Despite these achievements, this study shows that political instability is a serious impediment to economic development. Ethnic conflict not only disrupts economic activity but also hinders investment, suggesting that open economic policies must be supported by political stability to be effective. That is, while globalization can drive economic growth and structural change, these benefits depend on a stable political environment.

The analysis of these two studies provides a comprehensive comprehension of how globalization affects policy reforms in different regions. For the EU, strengthening fiscal coordination is essential to meet the challenges of globalization and ensure fair growth. Effective tax coordination can prevent market distortions and promote long-term economic stability. On the other hand, for Sri Lanka, similar to other developing countries, the success of economic reforms relies greatly on a stable political environment [13]. Each country or region must develop its own responses to globalization based on its unique circumstances and challenges, emphasizing the importance of policy coordination and stability in promoting sustainable economic growth.

5. Conclusion

Economic globalization has had a marked impact on labor markets, income inequality and fiscal policy in both developed and developing countries. In terms of labor markets, developing countries such as South Africa, which were unable to develop labor-intensive industries, have seen a shift in the structure of employment from manufacturing to services as a result of the influence of economic globalization. While this has created high-skill jobs, it has also led to a significant loss of low-skill manufacturing jobs. Similarly, in developed countries such as the United States, in metropolitan areas, globalization has driven the growth of high-tech and service industries along with a significant decline in manufacturing jobs, which has not only weakened the influence of trade unions, but also led to stagnation in the wages of low-skilled workers.

In terms of income inequality, globalization has further widened income disparities across regions. For example, in developing countries in South-East Asia, high-income groups have benefited significantly from globalization due to institutional inadequacies, whereas improvements for low-income groups have been negligible, underlining the unbalanced distribution of the benefits of globalization. In the United States metropolitan areas, high-skilled workers have enjoyed wage growth and better employment opportunities as a result of globalization, while low-skilled workers are facing wage reductions and job losses. Globalization has also had a significant impact on countries' fiscal policies. In the European Union, while member States have made some progress in

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/98/2024OX0136

advancing economic integration, the lack of coordinated tax policies has made it difficult to maintain economic and social cohesion in response to the competitive pressures of globalization. Comparatively, Sri Lanka has boosted productivity and economic growth through the implementation of an export-oriented industrialization strategy, but political instability has hindered development, demonstrating the necessity of a stable political environment for successful implementation of economic reforms in developing countries.

Overall, developing countries need to establish a stable political environment and implement inclusive policies, such as land reform and investment in education, to mitigate income inequality. Developed countries, on the other hand, should focus on improving the accessibility of education and skills development projects for low-skilled workers and implement fair tax policies to redistribute wealth. Future research should further explore the impact of globalization on other indicators of socioeconomic well-being, such as health and education, and formulate a comprehensive policy framework to effectively respond to the complex challenges posed by globalization.

References

[1] *Hasan, M. A. (2019). Does globalization accelerate economic growth? South Asian experience using panel data. Journal of Economic Structures, 8(26), 1–13.*

[2] *Jenkins, R. (2006). Globalization and the labour market in South Africa. Journal of International Development, 18(5), 649–664.*

[3] *Roberts, S., & Thoburn, J. T. (2004). Globalization and the South African textiles industry: impacts on firms and workers. Journal of International Development, 16(1), 125–139.*

[4] *Vachon, T. E., & Wallace, M. (2013). Globalization, Labor Market Transformation, and Union Decline in U.S. Metropolitan Areas. Labor Studies Journal, 38(3), 229–255.*

[5] *Jomo, K. (2001). Globalisation, Liberalisation, Poverty and Income Inequality in Southeast Asia K. S. Jomo. In Globalisation, Liberalisation, Poverty and Income Inequality in Southeast Asia. OECD Publishing.*

[6] *Kwon, R. (2016). Can We Have Our Cake and Eat it Too? Liberalization, Economic Growth, and Income Inequality in Advanced Industrial Societies. Social Forces, 95(2), 469–502.*

[7] *Boschken, H. L. (2022). Income inequality and the imprint of globalization on U.S. metropolitan areas. Cities, 121, 103503.*

[8] *Feenstra, R. C., & Hanson, G. H. (2001). Global Production Sharing and Rising Inequality: A Survey of Trade and Wages. NBER Working Paper Series, no. 8372.*

[9] *Anjos, M. D. R. (2021). Globalization, fiscal policy and free competition: The impact in EU. SHS Web of Conferences, 92, 08001.*

[10] *Wasserfallen, F. (2014). Political and Economic Integration in the EU: The Case of Failed Tax Harmonization. Journal of Common Market Studies, 52(2), 420–435.*

[11] *Vaidere, I. (2012). The Impact of Regional and Cohesion Policy on the Economic Development of the EU. European Integration Studies, (5).*

[12] *Bandara, Y. M. W. Y., & Karunaratne, N. D. (2013). Globalization, Policy Reforms and Productivity Growth in Developing Countries: Evidence from Sri Lanka. Global Business Review, 14(3), 429–451.*

[13] *Ramadhan, A. A., Jian, Z. H., Henry, K. K., & Pacific, Y. K. T. (2016). Does Political Stability Accelerate Economic Growth in Tanzania? A Time Series Analysis. Global Business Review, 17(5), 1026–1036.*