Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Business and Policy Studies
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/106/20241415

Rise of the Gig Economy and Its Business Models

Wenlong Sui[1,a,*,†], Tongye Ding[2,†]

[1]*College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Economic, University of Connecticut, 06250, USA*
[2]*Department of Physical Science, Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, 92614, USA*
a. Wenlong.Sui@uconne.du
**corresponding author*
[†]*These authors contributed equally.*

Abstract: The gig economy, characterized by the proliferation of short-term, freelance, and independent work arrangements, has undergone a remarkable ascent in recent years. This paradigm shift in the labor market is reshaping the way people work and businesses operate. This article delves into the gig economy, tracing its historical roots. It illustrates how the gig economy transitioned from the fringes to the mainstream and discusses how the B2B (business-to-business) and B2C (business-to-consumer) models have shaped the current gig market.

Keywords: B2B, B2C, Gig economy, industrial marketing, Model analysis.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the world of work has undergone a profound transformation, giving rise to what is now commonly known as the gig economy [1]. The gig economy is often about taking "gig jobs" rather than long-term employment relationships, Therefore, the gig economy, characterized by its flexibility and decentralization, has become a dominant force in the labor market, shaping the careers and lifestyles of millions of individuals worldwide. Thus, a new term "slash youth" was born. They represent a new type of work, people who supplement their income by doing part-time jobs to cover their living expenses in the city [2].

The concept of the gig economy dates to 1915 when jazz musicians coined the word 'gig' to refer to performances. The word would later dominate the economic landscape of the United States. Fast forward to 1995, the American workforce was undergoing a transformation. By then, 10 percent of Americans had moved on to other forms of employment - temporary, contract, or on-call work. This period marked the gradual rise of what we now know as the gig economy, a phenomenon that reshaped the nature of work and employment relationships [3]. Scholars have delved into this emerging gig economy, applying a variety of theories to unravel its complex mechanisms. Juliet Schor, and Louis Hyman [4] [5] revealed the importance of the gig economy by proposing an innovative model to understand how this new way of working is reshaping traditional labor dynamics [2]. However, the CFI team (Corporate Finance Institute) held a negative attitude toward the gig economy and concluded that the gig economy would break the balance of the current economic employment market. Undoubtedly, the rise of the gig economy has proved its effectiveness in stimulating the development of the job market to some extent.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/106/20241415

In this article, we will discuss the two types of the gig economy, the B2B (Business to business) business model and the B2C (Business to customer) business model from the perspective of theory and case study. Through the analysis of the gig economy, our purpose is to review the definition of the gig economy and its business model. Meanwhile, we will analyze the business model of enterprises to find the limitations of the gig economy in enterprises.

2. Business Models

According to recent reports, the gig economy market size is expected to reach 455.2 billion U.S. dollars million in 2023 and is expected to expand at a CAGR (Compound annual growth rate) of 14.22% during the forecast period, reaching USD 918944.83 million by 2028 [6] [7] [8]. However, why has the gig economy grown so rapidly in these years? The last five years have been pivotal. Economic dynamics underwent major shifts, largely influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic, leading to financial instability. This economic uncertainty, coupled with the continuous interplay of supply and demand, has undeniably escalated the cost of living. Faced with these challenges, the gig economy has emerged as a reliable alternative, providing an additional source of income. Its unique economic model, offering unprecedented work flexibility, has captivated young urban dwellers. Platforms that epitomize the gig economy, such as Upwork, Fiverr, and Uber, have seen rapid growth. They present an abundance of opportunities, especially tailored for the "slash youth," aiding them in countering the spiraling costs of living. To gain a deeper understanding of the intricacies of the gig economy, it's crucial to differentiate its two leading business models: B2B and B2C. The B2B model emphasizes collaboration between business entities, whereas the B2C model is designed for businesses to engage directly with consumers. To further comprehend the impact of the gig economy on society, we can compare Apple's B2B approach with Uber's direct-to-consumer B2C strategy.

2.1. B2B Business Models

With the popularization of the Internet and the development of globalization and digitalization, B2B (business-to-business) business models are becoming increasingly important. B2B model refers to business transactions between enterprises, including the buying and selling and exchange of products, services, or information. The popularity of this business model benefits from the development of Internet technology and online platforms, providing enterprises with a more convenient, efficient and secure way to cooperate. In this model, companies can more easily find partners, expand the market, and accelerate the introduction of products and services.

2.1.1. Theory

Driven by globalization and digitalization, the B2B business model represents a paradigm shift in business transactions [9]. It involves complex interactions between enterprises, including exchanges of products, services, and information [10]. The B2B model is rooted in the transformative capabilities of the Internet and is committed to efficiency, convenience, security, market expansion, and accelerated product and service introduction [11]. Its theoretical framework emphasizes these core values.

Businesses transcend geographical boundaries by engaging in streamlined and interconnected processes using digital technologies and online platforms, which have been embraced with open arms [12]. This results in a more efficient, accessible, and secure medium for collaboration, enabling companies to discover partners, extend market reach, and expedite the introduction of offerings. The B2B model, with its emphasis on leveraging the power of the digital landscape, catalyzes redefining the dynamics of inter-enterprise transactions in an increasingly interconnected global business ecosystem.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/106/20241415

Traditionally, branding in B2B and industrial marketing was considered of limited significance, but empirical research has highlighted its crucial role, particularly in formalized B2B markets where trained professionals are involved in decision-making [13]. Recognizable B2B brands like Oracle, IBM, Google, and Cisco underscore the growing importance of branding in this sector [13]. Successful B2B branding is acknowledged for enhancing competitive advantage and financial performance, leading organizations to implement strategies to build and sustain strong brands. Such brands facilitate inclusion in buyers' decision-making processes, command price premiums, and garner favorable referrals.

2.1.2. Case study

Hon Hai Precision Industry, commonly known as Foxconn, is the world's top contract manufacturer. This company specializes in assembling consumer electronics for various renowned brands and serves as a supplier of parts and components. Foxconn has built strategic alliances with numerous other suppliers in the industry [14].

Despite its massive size, with a million employees and a Fortune Global 500 ranking of 32, Foxconn maintains a low profile [14]. This preference for staying out of the public eye is a common trait among many companies in the electronics manufacturing industry. Foxconn is publicly traded on the Taiwan stock exchange and operates as an anchor company within a conglomerate of firms. Some of its prominent clients include Apple, HP, Sony, and Nokia [14].

Apple's B2B relationship with Foxconn transcends a mere buyer-supplier dynamic; it's a comprehensive partnership where Foxconn operates not only as a manufacturer but as an integral part of Apple's extended workforce. The model signifies a flexible and dynamic collaboration that involves both permanent employees and gig workers seamlessly integrated into the production processes.

The B2B model's uniqueness lies in its ability to incorporate gig workers into the production chain effectively. Foxconn, akin to a B2B service provider, taps into a pool of gig workers during peak times or for specialized tasks. These gig workers, before their engagement, function as independent entities with their roles, showcasing the diversity inherent in a B2B collaboration.

One of the distinctive features of this B2B model is the flexibility it offers in labor management. Employing gig workers is how Foxconn copes with varying production demands while reflecting a traditional manufacturing framework with an adaptable resource utilization approach prompted by the dynamic gig economy.

Emphasizing the uniqueness of gig workers, they previously upheld individual professional identities and operated independently before integrating with B2B collaboration. The narrative gains more dimension, acknowledging that these workers are more than just parts of production but possess distinct careers and abilities. Integrating gig workers into the B2B model has pros and cons, presenting queries about fair payment, job stability, and the transformation of employment statuses. These issues highlight the importance of ethical deliberations and labor standards in B2B collaboration.

Job security, fair compensation, and changes to employment status are all concerns brought to light by the B2B model's incorporation of gig workers. These challenges illuminate the need for ethical considerations and fair labor practices within the B2B partnership.

In conclusion, the Apple-Foxconn B2B model is a nuanced dance between permanence and flexibility, showcasing how gig workers seamlessly integrate into traditional manufacturing frameworks. This B2B relationship is not just transactional; it's a strategic collaboration where gig workers play a crucial role, bringing their expertise to the table.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/106/20241415

2.2. B2C Business Models

Business-to-consumer (B2C) Business model refers to the business model of selling products or services directly to the end Consumer. In this model, the target market is the average consumer, not other businesses.

2.2.1. Theory

The B2C market, short for business-to-consumer market, involves businesses selling products or services directly to individual consumers. According to Oracle's "Application Developers Guide," B2C is the interaction between businesses and consumers in sales and services. Similarly, Sybase's "Glossary of Industry-Specific Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Terms" interprets B2C as a company's capacity to provide products, services, support, and information via the Internet directly to individual consumers [10]. In B2C transactions, the business serves as a seller and the end consumer is the buyer without the interference of any other parties.

2.2.2. Uber Case Study

Uber, a prominent case in the B2C business model, exemplifies the successful integration of B2C market services with the gig economy. Operating as one of the largest ride-sharing platforms globally, Uber has redefined how individual consumers access transportation services [14]. In the Uber model, the company acts as a facilitator, bridging the gap between consumers in need of transportation and freelance drivers who operate their vehicles [15].

The integration of B2C principles within Uber's operations is evident in its direct interaction with end consumers. Uber app allows riders to hail rides by providing pick-up and drop-off locations. Instead of relying on traditional taxi companies, drivers call themselves and locate based on geographic location, cutting out the middleman and offering a more direct way to get a ride.

The flexibility of Uber's model relies heavily on the gig economy - a crucial component that enables freelance drivers to work on their terms. Uber drivers are free to choose their availability for work, resulting in a dynamic and adaptable workforce. These independent, short-term work arrangements represent the principles of the gig economy.

Enhancing user experience through technology-driven features like real-time tracking, upfront pricing, and cashless transactions is the key to Uber's success in providing a convenient and on-demand transportation solution for consumers. The integration of B2C principles with the gig economy not only benefits consumers seeking efficient transportation but also provides income opportunities for freelance drivers, showcasing the symbiotic relationship between technology, business, and the evolving nature of work.

However, the battleground for ride-hailing supremacy in China witnessed a fierce competition between Uber and Didi. Despite Uber's substantial investments, it faced defeat, eventually leading to a merger with Didi in 2016 [16]. Uber's analysis reveals strategic mismatches between its focus on the premium segment and service operations. The components, including customer segments, value propositions, and channels, demonstrate a disconnect that hindered Uber's ability to efficiently cater to diverse market segments [16]. The misalignment between strategic intent and operational execution proved to be a critical mistake. On the other hand, Didi's analysis showcases an efficient business model that aligns with the diverse needs of the Chinese market [6]. By providing a wide range of service offerings and leveraging a two-sided market approach, Didi effectively positioned itself as a one-stop transportation platform. This adaptability and strategic alignment with the market contributed to Didi's success.

This case study provides meaningful insights into business model innovations within the sharing economy, specifically in the realm of transportation platforms. It emphasizes the importance of

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/106/20241415

strategic alignment, operational efficiency, and adaptability to local market dynamics. The lessons learned from Uber's failure and Didi’s success underscore the significance of actively responding to local characteristics and contingencies in the platform business.

3. Drawbacks of the Gig Economy

In the gig economy, operational costs pose a significant challenge [17]. Expanding on this, we can delve into discussions on how to balance cost-effectiveness with maintaining high-quality services. Potential challenges include reducing platform fees to ensure independent contractors receive a fair income and optimizing technology and operational processes to lower overall operating costs [17]. When proposing solutions, considerations could involve adopting advanced technologies to enhance efficiency while maintaining a commitment to service quality.

Ensuring consistency in service quality among independent contractors is a crucial aspect of the gig economy [18]. Further exploration can focus on implementing effective training and quality control measures to ensure service levels meet platform standards. Challenges may include achieving consistency in a highly dispersed and diverse workforce and ensuring customer satisfaction.

The lack of long-term contracts and project-based work in the gig economy can negatively impact workers' income and financial predictability [18]. A deeper discussion can explore how to establish more secure work models, such as offering more long-term projects or contracts and creating more stable income opportunities on platforms. Emphasis can be placed on the uncertainty and pressure that may arise in the absence of job security.

Uncertainty in regulatory and legal environments can have negative impacts on both businesses and workers [17]. Potential regulatory changes can affect platform operations and worker rights. When proposing solutions, highlighting proactive collaboration with governments and regulatory bodies to ensure compliance and active involvement in relevant regulatory developments is crucial.

In the gig economy, consumer trust in service safety is paramount. Expanding on this, we can explore how platforms can enhance background checks and reliability assessments for contractors to ensure they possess the skills and reputation needed to provide high-quality services. Discussions can also cover how to effectively handle potential accidents or disputes to maintain the platform's reputation.

While the gig economy brings flexibility and opportunities, its numerous challenges cannot be ignored. Addressing these issues requires collaborative efforts from industry stakeholders to ensure the sustainability of platforms and the well-being of workers. By overcoming drawbacks such as operational costs, service quality, job security, regulatory challenges, and consumer trust, the gig economy can better serve society and contribute to economic development.

4. Conclusion: Navigating the Complex Terrain of the Gig Economy and Its Business Models

The gig economy has undeniably emerged as a transformative force in the global labor market, offering a paradigm shift from traditional employment structures to a landscape defined by flexibility and decentralization. The term "slash youth" aptly captures this new breed of workers who supplement their income through part-time gigs, reshaping the way individuals approach work and income generation.

Unwrapping the gig economy, we took a deep dive into the past and unraveled the origins of the term 'gig' used within jazz performances. Juliet Schor and Louis Hyman have added significantly to our knowledge of the gig economy, presenting its elaborate machinations and ability to reform classic employment models.

Within the gig economy, two primary business models are B2C and B2B. Apple-Foxconn collaboration is an excellent example of the B2B model, as it integrates gig workers into standard manufacturing processes with remarkable ease. While this model provides manageable labor options, significant concerns arise with the ethical considerations surrounding job security and fair compensation.

Uber highlighted the gig economy can foster direct interactions between businesses and individual consumers. The key to Uber's triumph is its capacity to utilize gig workers as an immediate answer to transportation needs, putting the interrelatedness of evolving work structures, business, and technology on full display.

The gig economy comes with its own set of challenges. These include worrying about operational costs, maintaining high service quality, job insecurity, complying with regulations, and ensuring consumer safety and trust. It is essential to work towards tackling these challenges to ensure the gig platforms' longevity and workers' overall well-being. It is important to balance cost-effectiveness with service quality and offer consistent service quality. Additionally, creating more secure work models, understanding regulatory requirements, and enhancing consumer trust is crucial for the gig economy to achieve responsible and robust growth.

Opportunities and challenges abound in the world of work attributed to the gig economy. It has undeniably reshaped the nature of employment. Its continued growth necessitates a nuanced understanding of its mechanisms, an ongoing dialogue about its ethical implications, and collaborative efforts to mitigate its drawbacks. By addressing the limitations discussed in this article, we can pave the way for a more sustainable and equitable gig economy that aligns with the evolving needs of workers and businesses in the 21st century.

Acknowledgement

Wenlong Sui and Tongye Ding contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-first author.

References

[1] *Cheung, Chase. "The Rise of Gig Workers: Navigating Legal & HR Implications." NNRoad, August 31, 2023. https://nnroad.com/blog/the-rise-of-gig-workers/.*
[2] *Lata, Lutfun Nahar, Jasmine Burdon, and Tim Reddel. "New Tech, Old Exploitation: Gig Economy, Algorithmic Control and Migrant Labour." Sociology Compass 17, no. 1 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.13028.*
[3] *Wallace, Brian. "The History and Future of the Gig Economy." LinkedIn. Accessed October 16, 2023. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/history-future-gig-economy-brian-wallace.*
[4] *Schor, Juliet. "How the Gig Economy Promotes Inequality." Milken Institute Review. Accessed October 16, 2023. https://www.milkenreview.org/articles/how-the-gig-economy-promotes-inequality.*
[5] *Krasas, Jackie. "Temp: How American Work, American Business, and the American Dream Became Temporary. by Louis Hyman. (New York, NY: Viking, 2018. Pp. X, 388. $28.00.)." The Historian 81, no. 3 (2019): 502–3. https://doi.org/10.1111/hisn.13221.*
[6] *Statista Research Department. "Gig Economy: Projected Gross Volume 2023." Statista, September 30, 2022. https://www.statista.com/statistics/1034564/gig-economy-projected-gross-volume/.*
[7] *CFI Team. "Gig Economy." Corporate Finance Institute, December 15, 2022. https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/economics/gig-economy/.*
[8] *Updates, Latest Market Research. "2023 Global Gig Economy Market Share, Demand, and SWOT: 2030 Outlook." LinkedIn. Accessed October 16, 2023. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/2023-global-gig-economy-market-share-demand-swot.*
[9] *Mohtaramzadeh, Masoumeh, T. Ramayah, and Cheah Jun-Hwa. "Organizational Culture in Business-to-Business Electronic Commerce (B2B EC) Adoption--Model." PsycTESTS Dataset, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1037/t75852-000.*
[10] *West, James C. "The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies." Psychiatry 78, no. 4 (2015): 380–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.2015.1105632.*

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/106/20241415

[11] *Balocco, Raffaello, Alessandro Perego, and Sara Perotti. "B2B eMarketplaces." Industrial Management & Data Systems 110, no. 8 (2010): 1117–37. https://doi.org/10.1108/02635571011077799.*
[12] *Baghdadi, Youcef. "A business model for B2B integration through Web services." In Proceedings. IEEE International Conference on e-Commerce Technology, 2004. CEC 2004., pp. 187-194. IEEE, 2004.*
[13] *Seyedghorban, Zahra, Margaret Jekanyika Matanda, and Peter LaPlaca. "Advancing Theory and Knowledge in the Business-to-Business Branding Literature." Journal of Business Research 69, no. 8 (2016): 2664–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.11.002.*
[14] *Drahokoupil, Jan, Rutvica Andrijasevic, and Devi Sacchetto. Flexible workforces and low profit margins: Electronics assembly between Europe and China., pp. 45. Brussels: European Trade Union Institute (ETUI), 2016.*
[15] *Schneider, Henrique. "Uber and Society." Uber, 2017, 55–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49514-9_3.*
[16] *Liu, Yunhan, and Dohoon Kim. "Why Did Uber China Fail? Lessons from Business Model Analysis." Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity 8, no. 2 (2022): 90. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8020090.*
[17] *Berger, Thor, Carl Benedikt Frey, Guy Levin, and Santosh Rao Danda. "Uber happy? Work and well-being in the 'gig economy'." Economic Policy 34, no. 99 (2019): 429-477.*
[18] *Sanders, Donald E., and Patricia Pattison. "Worker characterization in a gig economy viewed through an Uber centric lens." Southern Law Journal 26, no. 2 (2016): 297.*