

Sustainable Business Practices in the UK: Benefits and Challenges

Xutong Li^{1,a,*}

¹Leeds University Business School, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

a. 3351975302@qq.com

**corresponding author*

Abstract: In this paper, the advantages and the disadvantages of sustainable business in the UK are explored through its environmental, economic and social impacts. Examples from companies such as Marks & Spencer and Unilever are cited to show how sustainably will enhance the brand reputation, operational efficiency, social responsibility and the overall business performance. But the financial barriers, the regulatory barriers and the cultural barriers to the adoption of entrepreneurship will make adoption widespread, particularly among small and medium sized enterprises. Sustainability has many benefits to offer, but this study finds that the tradeoff between the benefits and the challenges could present impediments to the widespread implementation of sustainability. For sustainable progress to be driven across UK industries there needs to be collaboration between government, business and consumers.

Keywords: Sustainable business practices, Environmental impact, Regulatory challenges, Brand reputation

1. Introduction

In recent years, sustainable business practices have started to receive more and more attention [1], as companies across the world find themselves under pressure to address the environmental, social, and regulatory needs of the day. These practices are usually subsumed in the 'Triple line bottom approach', where the company is trying to reach a balance between profit, people, and planet, in order to promote the long-term corporate responsibility [2]. This focus on sustainability in the UK is essential as the country has a target to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2050 and work towards international environmental goals including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals [3]. Sustainable practices are increasingly viewed as a path to competitive advantage for UK businesses, but they are also required for compliance and reputation [4].

The aim of this research is to critically analyse the benefits and challenges of sustainable practices for UK businesses. This research will examine the benefits, e.g. cost efficiencies and increased brand value, as well as challenges, i.e. high startup costs, regulatory issues and organizational resistance. The study is structured as follows: It goes ahead to define sustainable business practices and then goes further to discuss the specific benefits and challenges that are faced by UK businesses. Next, case studies are described that illustrate the influence of sustainability on some companies, and then the current trends and its future prospects are analysed to conclude the research.

2. Defining Sustainable Business Practices

Sustainable business practices are practices leading to strategies that meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs [5]. This is an approach often seen in the 'Triple Bottom Line' framework [1] in which businesses are encouraged to measure success not only by financial performance, but also by social and environmental impacts. They include a number of activities such as lowering carbon emissions and waste and building positive social impacts like fair labour practices and community engagement [6]. Although critics suggest that sustainability is difficult to quantify in terms of measurable things, since the term is broad and multifaceted and may differ among industries and regions [7].

Sustainability is being seen as a necessary basis for business resilience and competitiveness in more and more contemporary businesses. Sustainable practices adopted by companies bring about an increased brand value, cost efficiencies and better risk management, which can ultimately result in long-term profitability [4]. However, skeptics point to challenges such as increased initial costs and supply chain adjustments' complexity, which can impair short term profitability [8]. In addition, the ambiguity in the definition of sustainability opens the door for "greenwashing", which is the act of making unverified claims about environmental impact [9]. But even with such challenges, the global trend towards sustainable business models calls for companies to recognise sustainability as a strategic imperative.

3. Benefits of Sustainable Business Practices in the UK

3.1. Economic Benefits

Sustainable business practices can have significant environmental benefits, including lower emissions of carbon and effective waste management. Through implementing low carbon technologies and increasing energy efficiency companies can significantly reduce their carbon footprint towards the UK's 2050 target of net zero emissions [3]. For example, retailer Marks & Spencer's Plan A included reducing waste and sourcing materials sustainably, and this resulted in a significant reduction to their carbon footprint and setting an industry standard [10]. This effort shows that sustainability strategies can help positively impact environmental performance while improving public perception.

Nevertheless, critics assert that the environmental benefits of these initiatives may be circumscribed when either not properly implemented, or when exaggerated (greenwashing), as defined by Delmas and Burbano [9]. In such instances, businesses can promote ecofriendly images yet not actually make the needed changes, causing their initiatives to lose credibility and produce little or no environmental impact. Nevertheless, for the genuinely engaged companies, sustainable practices represent a way to decrease the environment effects and satisfy the more and more rigid regulations making the fact that environmental benefits of sustainability are feasible and essential for modern organisation resilience [11].

3.2. Economic Benefits

Sustainable practices can lead to substantial economic benefits, such as cost savings, operational efficiencies, and long-term profitability. Companies that use resource efficient business strategies can reduce their operational costs. Unilever's 'Sustainable Living Plan' cut waste and water use, and saved Unilever more than €1 billion (€1bn) in cost by 2020 [12]. In fact, sustainable practices can also drive innovation, as companies will seek out ecofriendly products and services that will give them a competitive edge in a market rapidly being propelled by the demand of consumers for sustainability [4].

Gamal et al. stated that the economic benefits of sustainable practices are at times questioned due to the high initial costs of transition to sustainable models, particularly for small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) [13]. Before these expenses, such as investments in green technology or sustainable sourcing, may be a strain on financial resources, some researchers have argued that the economic benefits of sustainability are more available to larger companies with more capital [14]. The case for economic benefits is obvious for companies with appropriate resources, whereas smaller organisations might find it difficult to gain immediate cost savings, which could be a limitation of the economic case for sustainability in the UK context.

3.3. Social Benefits

Apart from environmental and economic benefits, sustainable business practices lead to social benefits through positive influence on brand image, customer loyalty, support of community welfare and so on. Studies show that UK consumers are becoming increasingly aware of corporate ethics and businesses that have a strong focus on social responsibility have stronger consumer loyalty and a more favourable opinion of business [15]. Take, for example, The Body Shop, which has been a longtime supporter of ethical sourcing and animal welfare, which has built a loyal customer following that is associated with the brands positive social impact [16].

However, some critics argue that social benefits from sustainability are hard to quantify and possibly don't always translate into direct business gains. Social responsibility can be good for a company's brand, but skeptics argue that being socially responsible does not necessarily help increase revenue or market share which can be undercut by competitors who don't practice sustainable business [17]. Despite this, there is a suggestion that a company can develop stronger brand equity by aligning itself with social values through a socially conscious marketplace [18]. In fact, the social benefits of sustainability are complex to measure, yet essential to long term business strategy.

4. Challenges of Implementing Sustainable Business Practices in the UK

4.1. Financial Constraints

A big problem for UK businesses to take sustainable practices is the high initial investment. Eco-friendly technologies, sustainable sourcing and waste reduction processes transition generally incur high costs that are especially costly to small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) with little capital [14]. For example, investing in renewable energy systems or reengineering supply chains to incorporate sustainable materials requires large, up front spending without immediate payback [13]. Thus, most SMEs remain under the pressure of fitting their scarce resources to the costs of sustainable ventures.

Though proponents believe that although the first costs are high, sustainable procedures could result in financial benefits over the long term by cutting operational costs and increasing efficacy [4]. Although these economic benefits are encouraging the time taken to see a return on investment can deter businesses from investing in sustainability, especially in a period of economic uncertainty, emphasising the complications of financial constraint as an obstacle to sustainable practice in the UK.

4.2. Regulatory and Compliance Issue

Another huge problem for UK firms starting sustainable practices is regulatory compliance. DEFRA highlights that companies must deal with a complex environment of environmental regulations, certifications and standards which can change across industries, and require regular updating to fulfil changing government mandates [19]. Following the Environmental Reporting Guidelines or the ISO 14001 standard requires both time and expertise, which could threaten the organisational resources

[20]. SMEs tend to find the administrative burden and compliance costs particularly prohibitive and, for them, the ability to compete with larger companies with dedicated compliance departments is constrained.

Regulatory complexity has been criticized for cramping innovation as businesses may have a tendency to waste more time and resources on meeting standards rather than developing innovative sustainable solutions [21]. However, supporters argue that clear restrictions create accountability, not to mention providing guidance and a model for best practices adoption across the industry. However, the changing nature of sustainability regulation makes it a difficult hurdle for UK companies to balance their sustainability objectives.

4.3. Operational Challenges

Businesses committed to sustainability face another layer of problems related to operational adjustments, particularly in the area of supply chain management. Companies must make changes to their existing supply chains, source ecofriendly materials and implement energy efficient processes, all of which can disrupt already established operations [22]. Supply chains in the UK are prone to global sourcing and therefore, ensuring sustainable practices at each level is complicated and costly [23].

Proponents of sustainable operations suggest that transparency along the supply chain and resource efficient processes result in long term resilience [24] however critics argue that these changes come with increased costs and logistical barriers [24]. For instance, additional investment will be needed in monitoring technologies and working with suppliers, for example, in sustainable resource management. While these practices can lead to an increased environmental footprint of a company, the operational challenges they present are a significant hurdle, particularly for companies with deep global supply chains.

4.4. Cultural Resistance

In addition, the path to sustainability is further accompanied by cultural resistance both inside organizations and as consumers. Sustainable practices, as they are being adopted within companies, usually entail changing minds and culture; traditional business models usually focus on short-term profits rather than long-term environmental concerns [8]. Employees and management may resist adopting sustainable practices if they perceive them as disruptive or unnecessary, leading to challenges in internal buy-in.

Moreover, while consumer demand for sustainability is on the increase, some areas of the market are disinterested and are more preoccupied with price and convenience than ethics [25]. According to critics, without widespread cultural acceptability, sustainable practices may have a limited impact [26]. But supporters are convinced that cultural resistance can be eroded by education and awareness and nudging consumer and employee mindsets towards supporting sustainable commerce [8, 25]. However, this is a lengthy process, which has produced continuous cultural resistance to the adoption of sustainability in the UK.

5. Case Studies or Examples of UK Businesses Implementing Sustainability

Eco-conscious strategies have made several UK companies emerge as leaders in sustainable business practices. An example of this is *Marks & Spencer's (M&S) "Plan A"*, which was launched in 2007 and promised to make M&S the world's most sustainable retailer. M&S have taken comprehensive measures, including curbing carbon emission, ending landfill disposal and using eco materials to produce products. The company also reported that by 2020, it had reached carbon neutral status and has since reduced waste significantly [10]. M&S's success shows how an integrated approach to

sustainability, using resource-efficient practices that are good for the environment and for the brand image simultaneously, can be the key to continued growth.

Another pioneer in sustainability is Unilever UK, which has just launched “*Sustainable Living Plan*.” The strategy enlisted here was to reduce environmental impact throughout the supply chain and improve social equity, thereby reducing greenhouse gas emissions and a 29% reduction in waste per consumer [12]. So, not only was Unilever’s approach better for operational efficiency, but it also built-up consumer trust and loyalty. However, it is recognized by critics that such a large impact might not be achievable by smaller companies with resource constraints, indicating a scalability issue for sustainable practices [14].

Lastly, The Body Shop has been a leader in sourcing ethically and in fair trade practices, which has had a positive effect on the cosmetics industry as a whole to adopt socially responsible practices. With community trade programs, the company has enhanced the supply chain transparency and created an opportunity for suppliers in the developing countries [16]. However, the scale of impact varies with firm size and resources, and these case studies highlight that achieving sustainability is successful with an alignment of environmental and social goals.

6. Current Trends and Future Prospects

A number of emerging trends are changing the face of sustainable business practices in the UK. A significant trend is the growing emphasis on circular economy models that prioritize the reduction of waste and resources while promoting efficient usage through products that are designed with reuse and recyclability in mind [27]. This fits in with the UK government’s Resources and Waste Strategy which aims to enable companies to adopt sustainable product lifecycles [28].

Furthermore, digital transformation helps in following the sustainable practices by optimizing the resource management and the energy efficiency. Digital solutions, for example the Internet of Things (IoT) to monitor in real time and artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance, allow businesses to reduce waste, and thereby minimize their environmental impact [29]. Still, this digital shift needs massive upfront investments, thus creating barriers for SMEs who can't afford it.

In the future, government policies and support will be a major driving force behind the move toward sustainability. Businesses often argue that current policies are not clear and lack sufficient support, but also whilst initiatives that incentivise with for example tax credits for sustainable innovation have been started, like the UK government’s 2050 net zero emissions target, [3]. On the other hand, critics also warn that regulatory pressures might stifle smaller companies that lack the financial resilience to be able to adapt as quickly as the larger companies [30].

However, these trends still reflect a shift toward more sustainable models of which the future of sustainability in the UK business landscape will generally be predicated on the availability of accessible resources and balanced policies. This helps bridge the gap between ambition and feasibility, enabling the UK to take a step towards a business environment in which sustainability is achievable, and beneficial across industry sectors.

7. Conclusion

Thus, sustainable business practices appear to be beneficial to the UK environment, economy and society as companies such as Marks & Spencer, Unilever, and The Body Shop provide environmental, economic and social benefits. They also increase in brand reputation, efficiency and social impact. However, adoption is more restricted and is hindered by financial constraints, regulatory complexities and cultural resistance, more prominently for SME’s. As the benefits of sustainability are positive, consumer demand and regulatory support demand utility of the sustainable models; however, maintaining benefits and overcoming challenges is of essence. Achieving sustainability requires a

combined effort from government, businesses and consumers, as it's something that needs to be done across all sectors, assuring a more resilient and profitable business over the long term.

References

- [1] Elkington, J. and Rowlands, I.H., 1999. *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. *Alternatives Journal*, 25(4), p.42.
- [2] Slaper, T.F. and Hall, T.J., 2011. *The triple bottom line: What is it and how does it work*. *Indiana business review*, 86(1), pp.4-8.
- [3] Committee on Climate Change (2020). *The Sixth Carbon Budget: The UK's Path to Net Zero*. Available at: <https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/sixth-carbon-budget/> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [4] Porter, M.E., 2006. *Strategy & Society*. *Harvard Business Review*.
- [5] Burton, I., 1987. *Report on reports: Our common future: The world commission on environment and development*. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 29(5), pp.25-29.
- [6] Lozano, R., Carpenter, A. and Huisingh, D., 2015. A review of 'theories of the firm' and their contributions to Corporate Sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner production*, 106, pp.430-442.
- [7] Johnston, P., Everard, M., Santillo, D. and Robèrt, K.H., 2007. Reclaiming the definition of sustainability. *Environmental science and pollution research international*, 14(1), pp.60-66.
- [8] Linnenluecke, M.K. and Griffiths, A., 2010. Corporate sustainability and organizational culture. *Journal of world business*, 45(4), pp.357-366.
- [9] Delmas, M.A. and Burbano, V.C., 2011. The drivers of greenwashing. *California management review*, 54(1), pp. 64-87.
- [10] Marks & Spencer (2020). *Plan A 2025: Our Sustainability Action Plan*. Available at: <https://corporate.marksandspencer.com/sustainability> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [11] Bocken, N.M., Short, S.W., Rana, P. and Evans, S., 2014. A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. *Journal of cleaner production*, 65, pp.42-56.
- [12] Unilever (2021). *Living in the next era of corporate sustainability*. Available at: <https://www.unilever.com/sustainability/> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [13] Gamal, D., Salah, E.T. and Elrayyes, E.N., 2011. How to measure organization Innovativeness. *Technology innovation and entrepreneurship center*, pp.1-35.
- [14] Revell, A., Stokes, D. and Chen, H., 2010. Small businesses and the environment: turning over a new leaf?. *Business strategy and the environment*, 19(5), pp.273-288.
- [15] Cone Communications (2017). *2017 CSR Study*. Available at: <https://www.cbd.int/doc/case-studies/inc/cs-inc-cone-communications-en.pdf> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [16] The Body Shop (2020). *Our Sustainability Commitments*. Available at: <https://www.thebodyshop.com/en-au/about-us/brand-values/sustainability/sustainability-commitments/a/a00063> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [17] Witek-Hajduk, M.K. and Zaborek, P., 2016. Does business model affect CSR involvement? A survey of polish manufacturing and service companies. *Sustainability*, 8(2), p.93.
- [18] Aguinis, H. and Glavas, A., 2012. What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of management*, 38(4), pp.932-968.
- [19] DEFRA (2020). *Guidance: Environmental Reporting Guidelines*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-reporting-guidelines-including-mandatory-greenhouse-gas-emissions-reporting-guidance> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [20] Streimikiene, D., Siksnyte, I., Zavadskas, E.K. and Cavallaro, F., 2018. The impact of greening tax systems on sustainable energy development in the Baltic States. *Energies*, 11(5), p.1193.
- [21] Mulligan, M., 2017. *Introduction to sustainability*. Taylor & Francis.
- [22] Linton, J.D., Klassen, R. and Jayaraman, V., 2007. Sustainable supply chains: An introduction. *Journal of operations management*, 25(6), pp.1075-1082.
- [23] Touboulic, A. and Walker, H., 2015. Theories in sustainable supply chain management: a structured literature review. *International journal of physical distribution & logistics management*, 45(1/2), pp.16-42.
- [24] Walker, H., Di Sisto, L. and McBain, D., 2008. Drivers and barriers to environmental supply chain management practices: Lessons from the public and private sectors. *Journal of purchasing and supply management*, 14(1), pp. 69-85.
- [25] Young, W., Hwang, K., McDonald, S. and Oates, C.J., 2010. Sustainable consumption: green consumer behaviour when purchasing products. *Sustainable development*, 18(1), pp.20-31.
- [26] Antwi, S.H. and Ley, D., 2021. Renewable energy project implementation in Africa: Ensuring sustainability through community acceptability. *Scientific African*, 11, p.e00679.
- [27] Stahel, W.R., 2016. The circular economy. *Nature*, 531(7595), pp.435-438.

- [28] DEFRA (2018). *Our waste, our resources: A strategy for England*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/resources-and-waste-strategy-for-england> [Accessed 5 Nov. 2024].
- [29] Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N.M. and Hultink, E.J., 2017. The Circular Economy–A new sustainability paradigm?. *Journal of cleaner production*, 143, pp.757-768.
- [30] Aronsson, L. and Persson, M., 2024. *Navigating Sustainability: Unveiling the Role of Controllers in Organizations- A qualitative interview study of three global organizations*.