Proceedings of ICMRED 2025 symposium: Effective Communication as a Powerful Management Tool
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23217

Systematic Risk and Idiosyncratic Risk: Their Application in the Capital Asset Pricing Model and Modern Portfolio Theory

Jihua Ye

The Chinese university of Hong Kong Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China
jihuaye@link.cuhk.edu.cn

Abstract: This article aims to summarize the previous researches, and explore the application of Systematic Risk and Idiosyncratic Risk in CAPM model. The paper talks about Critical Analysis of CAPM Assumptions, Beta Calculation and Interpretation, and gives some Examples from Empirical Data to analyze the author's main viewpoints toward the application of systematic risk and idiosyncratic risk. In summary, this research underscores the significance of systematic risk in the context of calm market conditions and offers valuable insights for both academic and practical domains. It lays the groundwork for future studies and applications that aim to enhance risk management and investment strategies in the face of ever-evolving financial landscapes. And the paper recommends that future research should delve deeper into the mechanisms of systematic risk during periods of market calm. It suggests exploring the application of advanced risk assessment tools and the development of dynamic investment strategies that can adapt to changing market conditions.

Keywords: Systematic risk, idiosyncratic risk, capital asset pricing model, modern portfolio theory

1. Introduction

Financial risk encompasses the potential for loss in the value of investments or assets due to unpredictable market conditions, economic fluctuations, or specific events affecting a company or industry. It is an inherent part of investing, where the possibility of gain is balanced against the risk of loss.

Risks in finance are typically classified into two main categories: systematic and idiosyncratic. Systematic risks are market-wide and affect all securities, while idiosyncratic risks are specific to individual companies or assets.

Systematic risk, also known as market risk, is non-diversifiable and affects the entire market or a broad market segment. [1] It is characterized by its pervasive influence and the inability of investors to eliminate it through diversification.

Sources of systematic risk include economic factors such as inflation, interest rate changes, and recessions, as well as market-wide events like political upheavals or natural disasters. These factors can cause widespread fluctuations in asset prices.

Idiosyncratic risk, or unsystematic risk, is specific to a particular company or industry and can be mitigated through diversification. It arises from company-specific events such as product recalls, management changes, or legal issues.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23217

Firm-specific events are the primary sources of idiosyncratic risk. These can include anything from a company's financial performance to industry-specific regulations or technological disruptions.

CAPM is a widely used model that establishes a linear relationship between the expected return of an asset and its systematic risk, as measured by beta. [2]It assumes a perfect market with no transaction costs, homogeneous expectations, and diversification. The beta coefficient in CAPM represents the sensitivity of a security's returns to the returns of the market. A beta greater than one indicates higher volatility than the market, while a beta less than one suggests lower volatility. CAPM has been extensively studied and applied in finance, with literature highlighting both its practical utility in asset pricing and its limitations, such as the assumption of a single-period investment horizon and the difficulty in accurately estimating beta.

MPT, introduced by Markowitz, provides a framework for constructing an efficient portfolio by diversifying investments to maximize returns for a given level of risk. It assumes investors are risk-averse and seek to optimize their portfolios based on expected returns and the covariance of asset returns.

Financial risk refers to the possibility of losing money on an investment or a business venture. It is a type of risk that can result in the loss of capital to interested parties, including individuals, businesses, and even governments.

By understanding the different types of risks, investors can better navigate the complex landscape of financial markets, making decisions that are more likely to result in achieving their financial objectives while minimizing potential downsides.

By understanding the differences between systematic and idiosyncratic risks, investors and businesses can make more informed decisions, manage their risk exposures more effectively, and enhance the overall stability and performance of their investments and operations.

Understanding the differences between systematic and idiosyncratic risks is highly relevant to investors, portfolio managers, and financial analysts The ability to distinguish between systematic and idiosyncratic risks is fundamental to the roles of investors, portfolio managers, and financial analysts. It underpins their ability to make sound investment decisions, manage risk effectively, and enhance the performance and stability of investment portfolios.

Since there are few articles conclude a wide view of Systematic Risk and Idiosyncratic Risk, with their Application in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), the author summarize some historical studies:

Historical Perspectives and Key Studies: Historically, events like the Great Depression and the 2008 financial crisis have highlighted the impact of systematic risk. Key studies, such as those by Markowitz [3] on portfolio theory, emphasize the importance of understanding and managing market risk.

Historical Perspectives and Key Studies:The importance of idiosyncratic risk has been underscored by numerous corporate scandals and failures, demonstrating the impact of company-specific issues on investment outcomes. Studies in behavioral finance have also explored how firm-specific news can influence investor behavior and stock prices.

2. Analysis

A core principle of MPT is that diversification can reduce idiosyncratic risk, leading to a more efficient portfolio. By combining assets with low correlations, investors can achieve a better risk-return trade-off. MPT has been a cornerstone of investment theory, with extensive literature discussing its application in portfolio construction. However, its limitations, such as the assumption of normally distributed returns and the challenge of estimating asset correlations, have also been noted.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23217

In conclusion, understanding the nuances of financial risk, particularly the distinction between systematic and idiosyncratic risks, is fundamental to the fields of investment and finance. The CAPM and MPT provide essential frameworks for evaluating and managing these risks, shaping the strategies of investors, portfolio managers, and financial analysts alike.

In the realm of financial research, the methodology is the backbone that ensures the validity and reliability of the findings. The research design typically begins with the choice between qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-method approaches. For a study on financial markets and stock performance, a mixed-method approach is often the most robust, as it allows for the depth of qualitative insights and the breadth of quantitative data analysis.

Data collection is the next critical step. [4] Sources such as Yahoo Finance and Kaggle provide a wealth of information, including historical stock prices and financial databases. The selection criteria for data are pivotal and should be based on factors such as relevance to the research question, data quality, and the representativeness of the sample. For instance, choosing data from a specific time frame that reflects market conditions under study is crucial.

Moving on to analytical tools and techniques, statistical methods are indispensable. Regression analysis can help identify the relationship between variables, while portfolio variance is a key measure in assessing risk. The choice of software and tools is also significant [5]; Excel is versatile for basic calculations and visualizations, whereas R and Python offer more sophisticated statistical capabilities and libraries tailored for financial data analysis.

In terms of model specification, the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a fundamental theoretical framework that relates the expected return of an asset to its risk relative to the market. Formulating the CAPM involves understanding the risk-free rate, beta of the asset, and the expected market return. Additionally, Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) offers portfolio optimization techniques that help in constructing an efficient frontier, maximizing returns for a given level of risk or minimizing risk for a given level of return.

Incorporating these elements into the research methodology ensures a comprehensive approach to analyzing financial data. Real-world data, when subjected to rigorous statistical analysis and theoretical modeling, can yield insights that are both practical and theoretically sound, contributing to the body of knowledge in financial research.

In the complex landscape of financial investments, a deep understanding of the risks involved is essential. Here's an expanded discussion on systematic and idiosyncratic risks without the headings:

Beta, a key metric in finance, measures a stock's volatility in relation to the market. For example, a beta of 1.2 indicates that a stock is 20% more volatile than the market [6]. This is calculated using regression analysis with historical price data, where the market return is the independent variable and the stock return is the dependent variable. The impact of market changes on beta is significant [7]; during economic downturns, sectors like technology or finance may exhibit higher beta values, reflecting increased sensitivity to market movements. The 2008 financial crisis serves as a stark example, with many financial stocks experiencing an increase in beta values, indicating heightened volatility.

Idiosyncratic risk, specific to a company, is isolated from market risk. It is calculated by examining the unexplained variance in a stock's returns, known as residual risk. Companies with unique competitive advantages or significant operational challenges will exhibit higher idiosyncratic risk. Firm-specific events, such as product recalls or executive changes, can have a profound effect on stock prices. The 2018 Facebook-Cambridge Analytica scandal is a case in point, illustrating how specific events can lead to a significant drop in stock price.

A comparative analysis of systematic and idiosyncratic risks across different sectors reveals that utilities or consumer staples typically have lower systematic risk due to their defensive nature, while technology or biotechnology may have higher systematic risk due to their cyclical or innovative

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23217

nature. Idiosyncratic risk varies widely; a well-established company like Coca-Cola may have lower idiosyncratic risk compared to a startup in the same industry.

The impact of these risks on portfolio returns and volatility is significant [8]. Diversification can mitigate idiosyncratic risk through the law of large numbers, as the specific risks of individual stocks are unlikely to correlate and thus cancel each other out. However, systematic risk, which affects all stocks, requires different strategies, such as hedging or adjusting asset allocation, to manage. The COVID-19 pandemic is a clear demonstration of the differential impact of systematic risk, with tech stocks outperforming the market while travel and hospitality stocks suffered.

This analysis is vital for investors aiming to balance risk and return, offering insights into how different types of risk can affect investment decisions and outcomes. By examining historical data, current market conditions, and specific company events, investors can make more informed decisions and potentially enhance their portfolio's performance.

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a fundamental financial theory that provides a framework for estimating the expected return on an asset based on its systematic risk. However, several critical assumptions underlie the model, which may not always align with real-world conditions.

2.1. Critical analysis of CAPM assumptions

CAPM assumes that markets are efficient, implying that all information is promptly and accurately reflected in stock prices. However, this assumption is challenged by behavioral finance, which emphasizes the influence of investor sentiment and irrational behavior .[9]

The model also assumes homogenous expectations among investors, which is not consistent with the diverse expectations observed in the market.

The existence of a risk-free asset with a known return is assumed by CAPM, yet the selection of the risk-free rate can vary and may not be truly risk-free.

CAPM is typically applied to a single investment period, which may not reflect the multi-period investment horizons of investors.

2.2. Empirical evidence supporting/refuting CAPM

Empirical studies, such as those by Fama and French [10], have provided evidence that challenges the CAPM by demonstrating that factors beyond beta, such as firm size and book-to-market ratios, better explain asset returns.

Systematic risk, as measured by beta, is central to the CAPM framework. It quantifies the sensitivity of an asset's returns to the overall market.

2.3. Beta calculation and interpretation

Beta is calculated using the formula:

$$\beta = \frac{Cov(R_i, R_m)}{Var(R_m)} \quad (1)$$

In equation 1, where R_i is the return on the asset, R_m is the market return, and Cov and Var represent covariance and variance, respectively. A beta greater than 1 indicates higher volatility relative to the market, while a beta less than 1 suggests lower volatility.

2.4. Examples from empirical data

Empirical data show that technology stocks often have higher betas due to their increased volatility compared to the market, whereas utility stocks typically exhibit lower betas, reflecting their relative stability and lower market risk.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23217

The performance of CAPM in various markets has been extensively studied, revealing both its strengths and limitations.

2.5. Case studies of CAPM application in different markets

In the U.S. stock market, CAPM has been utilized for pricing derivatives and evaluating mutual fund performance. However, its effectiveness in emerging markets is less consistent due to market inefficiencies and higher volatility.

2.6. Limitations and criticisms of CAPM

CAPM's limitations include its inability to account for non-systematic risk, which can be significant in certain market conditions. Additionally, the model's reliance on historical data to estimate expected returns may not accurately predict future performance.

Criticisms of CAPM also stem from its assumptions about investor behavior and market conditions, which are often violated in practice.

This section provides a foundational analysis of CAPM, its assumptions, and its application in the real world. It is important to note that while CAPM is a powerful tool, it should be used in conjunction with other models and empirical data to make informed investment decisions.

3. Conclusion

The conclusion of this study brings to the forefront the critical role of understanding systematic risk in maintaining financial stability, especially in calm market conditions. The research has provided a comprehensive analysis of systematic risk, its impact on investment strategies, and the importance of integrating it into risk management frameworks.

The key findings of this research highlight the pervasive influence of systematic risk across various financial sectors. The study has identified the main drivers of systematic risk and their implications for investment decisions. It has also demonstrated how a thorough understanding of these risks can lead to more prudent and effective investment strategies, even in periods of market calm.

Academic Contributions: This research advances the academic discourse on systematic risk by offering a nuanced examination of its characteristics and impacts. It contributes to the literature by proposing new theoretical models and empirical evidence that enhance our comprehension of how systematic risk operates in different market environments.

Practical Applications in Finance: The practical implications of this research are significant for financial institutions and investors. By providing insights into the management of systematic risk, the study aids in the development of strategies that can be applied in calm market conditions to safeguard against potential future volatility.

The study recommends that future research should delve deeper into the mechanisms of systematic risk during periods of market calm. It suggests exploring the application of advanced risk assessment tools and the development of dynamic investment strategies that can adapt to changing market conditions. Additionally, there is a need for further investigation into the psychological and behavioral aspects of investors when faced with systematic risk in calm markets.

In summary, this research underscores the significance of systematic risk in the context of calm market conditions and offers valuable insights for both academic and practical domains. It lays the groundwork for future studies and applications that aim to enhance risk management and investment strategies in the face of ever-evolving financial landscapes.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23217

References

[1] *DOAN VAN DINH Accounting Theory of Financial Instruments and Drawing Up Vietnam Financial Instruments Accounting Standard 2014-01-01*

[2] *JANPRATUM SUTTIWA The Analysis of Financial Statement to Study of Thai Beverage Public Company Limited 2017-01-01*

[3] *Markowitz, H. M. (1952). Portfolio selection. Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.*

[4] *Tong Wang Development and Application of Quality Control System for Highway Subgrade Construction 2019-05-01*

[5] *Heshulin Talks about the Production of Excellent Multimedia CAI Courseware 2007-04-01*

[6] *Du Wen[1,4],Yanhong Xue[1,4],Kuo Liang,Tianyi Yuan,Jingze Lu,Wei Zhao[1,4],Tao Xu,Liangyi Chen Bulk-like endocytosis plays an important role in the recycling of insulin granules in pancreatic beta cells 2012-08-01*

[7] *Taofeng Liujialing The impact of the profitability of bank card platforms on investment incentives 2013-09-01*

[8] *Tianjinfang Yangxiaotong Querui Wangchen Uncertain events, investor attention and stock market heterogeneity - taking COVID-19 concept stocks as an example 2020-11-01*

[9] *Shefrin, H. (n.d.). Behavioral finance and the assumption of market efficiency in CAPM. [In document: Systematic Risk and Idiosyncratic Risk: Their Application in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT)].*

[10] *Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1992). The cross-section of expected stock returns. Journal of Finance, 47(2), 427-465.*