Proceedings of ICMRED 2025 Symposium: Effective Communication as a Powerful Management Tool
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23503

Impact of Internationalization Strategies in the Electric Vehicle Industry: A Case Study of Tesla

Biwen Cai

Business School, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
c3073921@newcastle.ac.uk

Abstract: The global electric vehicle (EV) industry has been changing rapidly in recent years, mainly because of rising environmental concerns and the global push for sustainability. Although many studies have focused on the development of EVs, how leading companies shape their global strategies through international expansion is still not fully discussed. This study uses Tesla as a typical case to examine how global expansion strategies reshape firm performance, affect market dynamics, and alter local country ecosystems in the EV field. It focuses on analyzing how Tesla' s growth affects its operations as well as surrounding environments, filling a research gap. Based on public reports and academic studies, this study examines Tesla' s international expansion as a typical case. It primarily covers where Tesla has focused its efforts, how it entered those markets, how it adapted to local conditions, and what it changed in terms of economy, regulation, and competition for those parts of the world. The findings indicate that fast expansion and flexibility to act help Tesla respond quickly to cute challenges in local environments. At the same time, Tesla's worldwide activities have shaped local regulations, supply chain arrangements, and the behaviour of other companies in the same industry. Overall, this study provides insights into the functioning of global strategies within the EV sector and some recommendations for other companies aiming to expand into international markets.

Keywords: Internationalization strategy, Electric vehicle industry, Tesla, Dynamic capabilities

1. Introduction

The recent change in the global automotive industry and their requirements to implement battery technologies for which environmental pressure and carbon emissions regulations are speeding their implementation. These factors have together hastened the transformation to electric vehicles (EVs) in many nations, resulting in a fundamental restructuring of production and consumption patterns in the vehicle industry [1]. Governments, in host countries like China, Europe and North America, have put good regulations in place, for electric transportation solutions. These policy frameworks have facilitated technological development and accelerated consumer adoption and specialization in this new area of industry [2].

Under these conditions, Tesla has become one of the strongest players in the global EV Market. By way of contrast to some mainstream automotive enterprises that go global incrementally–through joint ventures capitalized by a series of regional adaptations–Tesla pursues a more aggressive "born-global" strategy. It is particularly clear from its strategy to invest directly in major markets like China,

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23503

set up foreign-owned production plants, and build local supply chains [3]. Tesla's internationalization has altered industry norms, and its ceaseless innovation makes for an interesting case study for others to mimic.

Notably, recent researches emphasize that systematic transformations largely driven by large-scale technological innovations are most likely to be found in sustainable industries [4]. Tesla is a classic case of such adaptation, transforming competitive dynamics across borders by speeding up the spread of world markets to other regions through an inter-dependent integration of advanced technologies and local operational strategies [5]. This concurs with established organizational theories that, as market-reactive organizations, they have market awareness, they seek for relevant opportunities, and they exercise control overcross-national boundaries of resources [6].

Tesla's globalization strategy has tremendously disrupted the world automobile industry, with the most visible impact on the largest driving market, China. Procedures of localization from their shops, like alteration of products for local purchasers, modification of regulations, and optimization of manufacturing networks have compelled taxicab running electric cars promoters to modify their strategies [7]. Such a phenomenon of market entry is not consistent with classical theories of international business which suggest that as a multinational enterprises (MNEs) expands internationally, it goes through a series of gradual stages, often adopting some form of partnership model [8].

This paper uses a case study to study Tesla's internationalization. It is centered on three points: why the company chose to grow, how the expansion has shaped the industry and what its strategy could show other E.V. companies. This paper is one among few that look at Tesla technologies and gives a new land mark to think how an "innovation-centric" company working at local market, shapes the future of making. The findings also help understand the means of withstanding international challenges in addition to providing useful implications for electric vehicle Firms intending to expand globally in the future.

2. Tesla's internationalization strategy

This study advances a theoretical foundation based on both dynamic capabilities and internalization theory. Dynamic capabilities are defined as the ability of a firm to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competences to meet the demands of a rapidly changing environment. Tesla's internationalization strategies reflected with rapid strategic adjustment method and a high innovation capacity which indicates how a dynamic capacities generating competitive advantage in a global EV market [6]. Simultaneously, internationalization theory sheds light on why Tesla builds wholly owned subsidiaries and internally developed supply chains in foreign markets rather than relying on external partnerships. This theory shows that companies internalize key activities to significantly lower some transaction costs and to exercise more control over the innovation processes [8]. These two complementary theories provide a multiple perspectives to examine Tesla's internationalization strategy from both operational and organisational aspects.

Tesla's expansion into the global market has been both rapid and strategic, combining bold innovation goals with operational pragmatism. Unlike traditional multinational enterprises (MNEs) that typically follow a gradual, region-focused path, Tesla has adopted a far more ambitious "born-global" orientation–a pattern where firms expand globally from the outset, rather than following a gradual regional path. This is exemplified by its swift and concentrated investments in high-potential regions like China and Germany, where it prioritized strategic placement, speed, and control.

Tesla's rationale for going global is not just about finding more markets, but also about accessing knowledge. Some innovation-driven companies choose to expand internationally to connect with advanced technologies and benefit from knowledge spillovers, not only to gain new customers [9].

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23503

Tesla's Gigafactory projects in China and Germany reflect this dual goal. Both regions offer large markets, but more importantly, they also have strong R&D capacity and skilled talent.

Tesla is also quite selective about where it enters. Rather than choosing countries with weak infrastructure or fragmented supply chains, it focuses on places that support full production and logistics systems [10]. Its choice to postpone investments in India and Mexico, even though there was government support, shows this preference clearly. In contrast, Tesla quickly pushed forward its Shanghai Gigafactory, which reached a local parts supply rate of over 80% within a few years. In Germany, Tesla invested heavily in battery production and automation technology in its Berlin plant to align with EU environmental standards.

What stands out even more is how Tesla adjusts its business model to fit local environments, while still keeping its core focus on innovation. Research on international expansion shows that companies often need to figure out which parts of their business models can be used globally and which parts must change depending on the local context [11]. In China, Tesla works closely with local suppliers and policies, while in Europe, it adjusts to strict regulations and environmental standards. This kind of flexibility helps the company respond quickly in different markets and stay competitive.

Tesla has also used its Chinese production hub not just for local market penetration but as a regional export base. For instance, Tesla exported over 160,000 vehicles from Shanghai within just five months of 2023, signaling how the company leverages China's industrial capacity for broader Asia-Pacific distribution [12]. All of these moves reflect a deeply calculated and extensible internationalization model that prioritizes technology, autonomy, and operational integration.

3. Impact of Tesla's internationalization

Tesla's internationalization has reshaped not only its own operations but also the structure of global EV industries. Its investments have sparked waves of economic, regulatory, and competitive changes in host countries, especially in terms of industrial development, employment, and policy convergence. These impacts are not only visible at the firm level but also extend to national strategies and industrial upgrading.

In Germany, for example, the establishment of the Brandenburg Gigafactory led to the direct creation of thousands of jobs and substantial regional economic restructuring [13]. Tesla's investment model is closely tied to the development of high-value positions in automation, robotics, and battery technology, which contributes to long-term skill transformation in the labor market [14]. This form of employment shift represents more than short-term job creation; it signals a broader movement toward knowledge-intensive manufacturing ecosystems in Europe. In addition, Tesla's competitive entry has not only challenged traditional OEMs but also reshaped the competitive landscape of the European EV industry, accelerating industrial upgrading and market restructuring [15].

In China, the impact is similarly significant. The country has become the world's largest EV market, contributing over 60% of global sales, and Tesla's integration into the local ecosystem–including access to subsidies, fast-track policy approval, and a strong supplier base–has positioned it as a key player in the domestic industry [16]. More than just increasing sales, Tesla's localized approach has influenced domestic brands like BYD and NIO to enhance their competitiveness in terms of product quality, innovation, and speed. Tesla's entry has also reshaped consumer expectations in China, especially among middle-class buyers, who now associate EVs with performance, design, and digital experience–areas where domestic brands are quickly catching up.

It is an institutionally as well as an economically influential one. Tesla often leads to policy changes in the host countries. Following Tesla's expansion to any new country or region, the company is never shy about testing local regulations, whether in markets for charging stations, safety regulations, or subsidy levels. This allows Tesla's host visitants to follow its practices in order to stay

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23503

competitive in the global EV sector [17]. This trend demonstrates how one firm, leveraging its technological advantages and branding, can help diffuse its regulatory agenda to the national level.

Due to its limited number of strategically important hubs, the firm's approach has led to a complete closure of governmental policy-making in terms of foreign investment for electric mobility [10]. Modern national policies increasingly focus on securing those strategic alliances that can pump the full industrial systems rather than piecemeal flows of capital. This pattern shows that sustainable results of transnational electric vehicle investment are more dependent on the cultivation of consistent industrial chain than the pursuit of quantitative expansion. Establishing operational benchmarks, the corporation has changed competitive dynamics in the global electric transportation space. Production networks with the firm's manufacturing bases often develop into centers of technology, with advantages of skilled workforce, improved supplier capabilities, and accelerated adoption of new technical solutions.

In sum, Tesla's internationalization is an example of how strategic global expansion, alongside innovation leadership, can have multi-faceted effects. From remaking local industries and job markets to shaping public policy and industry standards, Tesla's presence is a demonstration of the powerful role that global firms can play in accelerating structural change in the electric vehicle sector.

4. Impact, challenges and strategic reflections

4.1. Challenges faced by Tesla and the EV industry

While Tesla has achieved notable progress in global market expansion, it continues encountering various obstacles throughout this process. These difficulties stem not only from routine operational aspects but also involve regulatory frameworks and political considerations across different nations, that is to say, the challenges exist at multiple levels.

A notable case in point is Tesla's Gigafactory factory in Germany, which has faced strong environmental protests and lengthy regulatory timelines that have led to construction delays. On top of that, trade tensions between the U.S. and China have increased scrutiny of Tesla's dual-market presence in recent years. In both countries, Tesla has had to make timely adjustments to avoid being involved in protectionist policies or diplomatic crossfire [17].

In Europe, another challenge is the high dependence on batteries from China. This situation could be risky for Tesla if it cannot fully manage its supply of raw materials [18]. Besides, building a more flexible supply chain is becoming very important, since global problems like COVID-19 have shown how easily international logistics can be affected [19].

However, Tesla has taken many active steps to handle these challenges. The company controls most parts of its production by itself, from battery making to car assembly. This helps Tesla rely less on outside suppliers and react faster to new problems. Its flexible design, efficient internal transport system, and strong R&D capabilities also give it more strength to deal with market changes [20].

Moreover, Tesla gains advantages from the global trend towards sustainable transport. In contrast to many of its competitors, who still rely on subsidies and policy protections, Tesla's strong brand equity and technological leadership allow it to remain competitive even in deregulated or underdeveloped markets. This creates a lasting strategic advantage and reflects the growing importance of sustainable business models that are not dependent on government incentives [21].

4.2. Strategic lessons for other EV manufacturers

Tesla's approach to entering new markets provides some lessons for other automakers, particularly those that are struggling to grow globally when facing market risks.

Firstly, deploy resources to only a few territories, rather than all over the place. By rapidly embracing new technology and zeroing in on key markets, as Tesla does, companies can achieve a

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/184/2025.BL23503

clear competitive advantage [21]. Second, Tesla is not just adjusting to market rules but is actively setting new standards. Through technical innovation and constructing a new industrial ecology, it has affected regional policies and in two many regions [17]. The most unusual part of Tesla's distinctive operating structure–with its own production facilities, regional manufacturing facilities and closed-loop supply network–is fundamentally different from traditional models that rely on cooperative alliances or external processes [10].

Combined these three strategies to create a new paradigm of how multinationals expand their businesses. Its method of integrating global operations, agile manufacturing systems and intelligent collaboration with local governments provide an important template from which other EV companies can establish competitive positions in the global market.

5. Conclusion

This study focuses on the case of Tesla's internationalization strategy, exploring its impacts on the global electric vehicle industry. By examining its expansion drivers, market entry strategies, localized operational models, and the resulting changes in policy and competitive structure, this study finds that Tesla's internationalization not only reshapes its own operational system, but also drives the industry progress and policy evolution in host countries.

The path of "rapid entry and deep integration" embodied by Tesla challenges the logic of gradual expansion of traditional multinational enterprises, and its local investment model in China and Germany strengthens branding consistency and global recognition, technology spillover and supply chain synergies. From the perspective of dynamic capacities, Tesla shows a high degree of adaptability to complex global environment by continuous innovation and resource reorganization. Meanwhile, it bypasses the traditional joint venture path and its insistence on the strategic choice of independent control reflects the key concerns to trading efficiency and knowledge protection in internationalization theory.

The meanings of the findings of this study as a reference to other electric vehicles firms are as follows: focusing on strategic market, establishing stronger supply and operational system and improving institutional responsiveness and operational flexibility, which will be the key elements for international competition of businesses. These findings reflect how innovation-led firms like Tesla are reshaping the logic of global industrial expansion.

References

[1] *He, H., & Harris, L. (2020). The impact of Covid-19 pandemic on corporate social responsibility and marketing p hilosophy. Journal of Business Research, 116, 176–182. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05. 030*

[2] *Mock, P., & Yang, Z. (2021). The role of global electric vehicle policies in influencing innovation and market transformation: A comparative study of China, EU, and the US. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 97, 102947. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2021.102947*

[3] *Shen, B., Li, Q., & Sun, P. (2021). Market entry strategy of foreign electric vehicle companies in China: A case stu dy of Tesla. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 170, 120933. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j. techfore.2021.120933*

[4] *Bohnsack, R., Kolk, A., & Pinkse, J. (2020). The emergence of disruptive sustainability innovations: Towards a re search agenda. Journal of Cleaner Production, 252, 119870. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.201 9.119870*

[5] *Wells, P., & Nieuwenhuis, P. (2020). Operationalising deep structural sustainability in business: Longitudinal immersion as extensive engaged scholarship. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(4), 1799–1810. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2456*

[6] *Teece, D. J. (2020). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management: Organizing for innovation and growth. Strategic Management Journal, 41(1), 6–18. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3123*

[7] Lu, C. (2025). Research on the optimization of marketing strategies for new energy vehicles: A case study of BYD. Tianjin Tianshi College. Special Issue of Economic and Management Science. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.19932/j.cnki.22-1256/F.2025.03.055

[8] Verbeke, A., & Kano, L. (2016). An internalization theory perspective on the global and regional strategies of multinational enterprises. Journal of World Business, 51(1), 83–92. Retrieved from https://www.ssrn.com/

[9] Du, J., Zhu, S., & Li, W. H. (2023). Innovation through internationalization: A resource-based view of the EV industry. Asia Pacific Journal of Management. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10490-022-09814-z

[10] Kohpaiboon, A., & Durongkaveroj, W. (2024). Trade and Investment Patterns of Electric Vehicles. Journal of Southeast Asian Economies, 41(3), 197–220. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/27352174

[11] Bohnsack, R., Ciulli, F., & Kolk, A. (2021). The role of business model innovation in the internationalization of clean-tech firms. Journal of International Business Studies, 52, 824–852. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-021-00401-1

[12] Mazzocco, J., & Sebastian, A. (2023). Electric Shock: EV Export Hubs in China. CSIS Brief. Retrieved from https://www.csis.org/analysis/electric-shock-ev-export-hubs-china

[13] IEA. (2022). Global EV Outlook 2022: Trends and developments in electric vehicle markets. International Energy Agency. Retrieved from https://www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2022

[14] Perez, R., & Zhang, J. (2022). Employment effects of EV industrialization: A regional analysis of Tesla Gigafactories. Energy Economics, 109, 105998. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.105998

[15] Hamad, A., El-Feky, M., & Brunner, J. (2024). The European Electric Vehicle Industry: Navigating Global Competition. Master's thesis, University of St. Gallen

[16] Shen, M. (2024). A study on the international competitiveness of China's new energy vehicle industry. E-Commerce Review, 13(4), 4230–4238. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.12677/ecl.2024.1341638

[17] Huang, Y., & Xiao, M. (2023). EV globalization and national policy convergence: The Tesla experience. Global Strategy Journal, 13(2), 187–206. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1467

[18] He, Y., Pang, R., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Supply chain resilience strategies for electric vehicle manufacturers under geopolitical disruption. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 57(4), 50–65. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12260

[19] Wells, P., Wang, H., & Liu, Y. (2023). Strategic responses of EV firms to post-COVID market disruptions: A comparative analysis. Business Strategy and the Environment, 32(2), 284–299. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3030

[20] Ziegler, D., & Abdelkafi, N. (2022). Business models for electric vehicles: Literature review and key insights. Journal of Cleaner Production, 330, 129803. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129803

[21] Sierzchula, W., & Bakker, S. (2020). Strategic responses of incumbent automakers to electric vehicle transitions. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 34, 268–282. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2020.01.002