Proceedings of ICMRED 2025 Symposium: Effective Communication as a Powerful Management Tool
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

Unraveling Retail Investor Sentiment and Its Role in Stock Market Volatility

Yiyou Lai

Department of Economics and Management, Institute of South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China
2690158536@qq.com

Abstract. This study delves into the intricate relationship between retail investor sentiment and stock market volatility through the lens of behavioral finance. The massive spread of social media platforms including Twitter and Reddit during modern times has led to retail investor behavioral biases such as herding and overreacting which today significantly affect market movements. The research uses the literature review approach as its primary method but it mainly presents previous research findings in addition to explanation of theoretical frameworks and investigation methods. Once negative retail market sentiments appear in the market the study shows volatility levels intensify. Market volatility transmission occurs because investors combine price differences with washroom actions related to changing market sentiment. The proposed solution contains two components for investor distortion management which combines educational measures with circuit breaker safeguard protocols. The research offers real-world directions to financial market stakeholders who need to handle sentiment-caused economic risks across various market cycles of today's interconnected financial system.

Keywords: Behavioral Finance, Investor Sentiment, Market Volatility, Social Media, Regulatory Frameworks

1. Introduction

The financial market environment has undergone substantial changes during the past years. The technological revolution has delivered greater investment opportunities to the public population. The investor base has expanded significantly because of these developments. The rapid growth of retail investors brought forth protective circuit breaker systems which financial institutions use to safeguard against market uncertainty.

Twitter and Reddit have become fundamental platforms which retail investors use as their main access points into financial markets. New investors conduct algorithmic trades and participate in DeFi operations that result in collective market price movements. During the GameStop incident social media users from WallStreetBets joined forces to drive up the video game company's share prices beyond projections. Members linked through the WallStreetBets Reddit community used their combined investment strength to act as one. Through their unified efforts the stock value of GameStop exceeded all earlier market expectations. Market pricing shifts receive significant influence from retail investor collective mental power similar to the description noted by Hirshleifer [1].

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

The scientific approach of behavioral finance helps us understand the source of market price volatility that results from mistaken market beliefs regardless of market volatility. There are multiple problems present in contemporary research studying this area of investigation. Much research on historical data evaluation focuses on stable relationships found within the market environment. The tracking system has insufficient capability to detect volatility-sentiment dynamic patterns which alter according to different market conditions ranging from bull to bear markets.

The rising popularity of cryptocurrency demands complete understanding about how artificial intelligence should execute sentiment analysis systems. The current state reveals many unexplained aspects about this relationship according to McDonald [2]. Additionally, while the impact of TikTok on accelerating the propagation of investor sentiment is evident, scientists have yet to conduct specific analyses on its stability implications, particularly in relation to speculative bubbles or flash crashes. Furthermore, novel challenges have emerged within DeFi platforms. Furthermore, the open-system nature of DeFi platforms presents novel challenges, potentially allowing retail investor sentiment to destabilise markets with relative ease. This study aims to bridge the existing knowledge divide and provide a more in - depth understanding of the relationship between retail investor sentiment and stock market volatility.

2. Behavioral finance and investor sentiment

2.1. Cognitive biases

The financial market decision-making processes became explainable through the theoretical approaches of prospect theory by Kahneman and Tversky [3] and loss aversion concepts. Baker and Wurgler highlight the foundational role of investor sentiment in behavioral finance frameworks [4]. The decision process of investors suffers from sub-optimal results due to their tendency to perceive loss more heavily than gain according to prospect theory. The authors Barberis and Huang [5]explain how mental accounting together with loss aversion affects individual stock return behavior. Investors tend to keep losing stocks because they believe they can recover their losses even though moving the assets would be more financially beneficial. The study of individual investors revealed a pattern where participants maintained losing stocks for 124 days more than winning stocks which represents strong evidence of loss aversion effects.

2.2. Sentiment classification

Various emotional states exist within investors from optimistic to fearful and pessimistic. NLP tools have gained widespread popularity as a method for sentiment measurement. Social media platforms like Facebook also exhibit measurable daily sentiment patterns that influence international stock markets [6]. Chen et al. [7]conducted NLP analysis to study stock opinions that existed in social media platforms. Social media post sentiment analysis reveals important market sentiment information according to research results. The analysis by Zhang and Zhang [8]demonstrated how NLP tools successfully measure sentiment patterns in the Chinese stock market. The researchers from Tetlock and Saar - Tsechansky [9] applied NLP technology to evaluate firms' fundamental aspects by moving past basic sentiment analysis. An analysis of earnings reports language structure enables better future firm performance predictions.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

3. Drivers of market volatility

3.1. Traditional factors

The market volatility responds directly to GDP growth and interest rates and corporate earnings statistics which have established themselves as primary drivers of market shifts. According to Garcia [10] recessional sentiment analysis shows that market movements depend heavily on macroeconomic conditions. Fang and Peress [11] used their research to establish a connection between media coverage and stock returns as they studied market volatility through fundamental factors including corporate earnings. Studies indicate that the tone of media reports, such as using terms like "crisis" vs. "correction," significantly influences investor sentiment. Even with stable fundamentals, overly sensationalized news can trigger irrational selling [12]. This nuanced analysis of media content (not just coverage volume) provides new insights into how information dissemination amplifies volatility, supporting the need to integrate qualitative sentiment metrics into market models. The combination of decreased GDP growth and declining corporate earnings results in higher market volatility because investors demonstrate increased risk avoidance.

3.2. Behavioral factors

Market volatility increases considerably at extremes because of behavioral elements including overreaction and herding behavior between investors. Shive and Yun [13] discovered through their research that mutual fund managers exhibit herding behavior because of social media influence. Kumar and Lee [14] studied retail investor sentiment alongside return comovements which proved that herding behavior together with overreaction results in market volatility increases.

3.3. Retail investors' role

The trading behavior of retail investors creates market volatility because it is based on their emotional reactions. Antweiler and Frank [15] demonstrated that market volatility responds to the informational content discovered in internet stock message boards which retail investors use for sharing their opinions. The analysis by Shen et al. [16]revealed how retail investor sentiment affects temporary stock market price changes. Research on China's market shows that retail investor sentiment creates "sentiment cycles": prolonged overoptimism in bull markets and panic selling in bear markets, amplifying volatility beyond fundamental drivers [17]. This regional specificity (e.g., retail investors accounting for over 80% of daily trades in China vs. institutional dominance in the U.S.) highlights that global regulatory frameworks must accommodate market-specific sentiment dynamics. When positive Twitter sentiment rapidly rises about a specific stock price often spikes dramatically but may later correct downward when the sentiment proves invalid.

4. Case study

The 2008 financial crisis serves as a vivid practical example which shows how stock market volatility became catastrophic when retail investor emotions interacted with cognitive biases and media practices and market defects. The mid-2000s U.S. housing market experienced an overall sense of ecstatic investment behavior because retail investors displayed both ambitious delusions and imitation tendencies. Retail investors accepted real estate as risk-free through MBS promotion and low interest rates while displaying behavioral weaknesses by using naive extrapolation for enduring price growth and appealing TV reports of fast profits [11]. The conventional financial

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

broadcasting service CNBC along with associated business periodicals played a role in strengthening this optimistic sentiment by dismissing subprime risks and validating housing investments as secure. The typical retail investor did not possess the ability to analyze derivatives like CDOs and mindlessly followed institutional buyers along with pseudo-experts in real estate whose actions illustrated herd behavior. The assurance about perpetual growth developed a market bubble leading U.S. house prices to rise 85% across the nation beyond expected historical patterns between 2000 and 2006.

The sudden collapse of Lehman Brothers on September 15, 2008 gave way to an immediate widespread adoption of severe pessimism and panic among the population. Later media coverage shifted from reassuring stories to panic-driven commentary which featured alarming news about financial collapse and banking failures as well as economic recessions [16]. The fear spread faster through social media platforms although they were less advance at that time because damaging rumors and increased worries circulated across blogs and discussion boards. Fears and doubt about institutional protection systems caused investors to massively sell their portfolios in reaction to collective investment choices as part of herd behavior [1]. During the six-month period the S&P 500 entered a sharp decline approaching a 40% decrease while the VIX volatility index jumped from its January 2008 position at 20 to hit its historical peak of 89.53 in November 2008 indicating extreme market doubt. Retail investors caused trading activity on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) to surge 50% when they rushed to modify their investment portfolios during the crisis.

The actions demonstrated all key elements of behavioral finance theory. Investors applied the fundamental principle of prospect theory known as loss aversion to put loss prevention before making sensible long-term choices. During 2008 the S&P 500 Financials Index declined 59% below its original price simply due to fearful market reactions toward bank failures despite actual earnings confirming otherwise [18]. Investors kept falling mortgage assets which failed even though they should have been disposed because they wanted to wait for recovery but sold en masse in times of distress. Awareness of media-driven narratives and unimportant rumors drove panic among retail investors who subsequently altered stock values in the short term. Chinese market studies show news sentiment directly correlates with stock returns, amplifying short-term volatility [19]. The share price of American International Group (AIG) dropped by 95% in 2008 due to its exposure to CDOs and sensational reporting about its government assistance negotiations which confused investors with actual financial data.

During the crisis sentiments caused global yearnings in volatility which led to market-transmission effects. The worldwide selling frenzy regarding risky investments caused stock market crash levels reaching 60-70% decline in China and Brazil along with other emerging economies despite their better economic fundamentals. The spread of panic along with reckless group behavior showed that worldwide economic connections run above all asset-class and geographical barriers in today's financial world [20]. Both regulatory lapses and institutional breakdowns were essential causes of the financial crisis but retail investor sentiment functioned as a vital intensifier that evolved home market problems into worldwide economic ruin. The 2008 crisis ultimately underscores the powerful role of emotional trading—fuelled by social proof, media sensationalism, and cognitive biases—in destabilizing markets and the need for measures such as circuit breakers, investor education, and real-time sentiment monitoring to mitigate the risks of herd-driven excesses in future financial systems.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

5. Discussion

5.1. Strengthen investor education and cognitive bias awareness

Retail investors generally fall prey to emotional buying and selling behaviors because they lack sufficient understanding of behavioral market tendencies. The regulation of financial management should focus on developing custom-made literacy initiatives that show how collective behaviour, emotional reactions and panic-driven responses create inaccurate market results. The teaching of financial market behavior would benefit from analyzing case studies such as the 2008 financial crisis along with the GameStop short squeeze through which individuals can see how social media speculation triggers abnormal market movement. Through fundamental analysis education that includes instructions on price-to-earnings ratio and cash flow statement assessment investors will learn to base their decisions on data rather than emotional market trends. Risks from psychological factors can be reduced through investor training that covers both stop-loss order implementation techniques and market surge avoidance strategies.

The framework should develop stronger regulatory protections supported by improved market infrastructure.

Modern financial markets need adjustable regulatory systems which reduce volatility caused by market sentiments. The use of dynamic circuit breakers represents one essential aspect of regulation because they stop trading in affected stocks whenever sentiment metrics and abnormal trading volume indicators align. The SEC as well as other regulatory bodies should explore developing systems whose automated shutdown triggers activate when both adverse social media trends and established market volatility standards cross measurement thresholds. Social media platforms and financial forums need to follow mandatory transparency policies which require them to provide regulators with real-time aggregated sentiment metrics about stock sentiment. Global enforcement of punishment rules targeting influencers and account holders who spread false stock price manipulation rumors should become a standard practice to stop misinformation.

5.2. Leverage technology for proactive sentiment monitoring

Advanced analytics provides organizations with effective instruments to track sentiment risks and their associated responses. AI-based risk prediction platforms connect NLP functions to social media content with news reports and market transactions to forecast sentiment fluctuations with their accompanying volatility changes [11]. When NLP joins forces with VAR-GARCH econometric models they enable regulators to spot marketplace correlations from trending hashtags before volatility gains momentum. Algorithmic trading methods need to include sentiment detection functions which will motivate high-frequency traders to implement operational modifications like decreasing trade volumes or enlarging bid-ask gaps during volatile sentiment episodes. A technological method helps control market fluctuations that are triggered by public emotions through periods of growth and decline.

Media platforms through their influencers play an essential function in establishing how retail investors feel about the market. Financial journalism that is responsible focuses on delivering balanced factual reporting which refrains from promoting both panic and FOMO (fear of missing out) [1]. Media platforms should implement similar data-driven analysis to the Financial Times for creating market insights instead of sensationalist reporting [7]. The disclosure rules for social media influencers need to be strict because they require transparent declarations about their interests (including stock ownership in promoted assets) along with warnings for financial advice write-ups.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

TikTok and Instagram platforms should create automatic connections between financial content and regulatory disclosure information to help users understand the content's basis.

5.3. Foster global coordination and standardized practices

The propagation of retail investor sentiment through digital platforms and social media networks demands worldwide standardized procedures to control cross-market volatility during the present era. The rapid communication between financial systems across borders shows that unified regulatory systems need to develop above national jurisdictions [21].

International regulatory organizations including the G20 and Financial Stability Board alongside the International Organization of Securities Commissions should make sentiment-driven risk management guidelines their top priority [10]. The 2021 GameStop frenzy sparked United States retail investor behavior to transmit through social media networks to European and Australian traders who recreated the same trading patterns leading to global market volatility synchronizations [13]. Regulatory bodies should create standardized definitions that link abnormal trading volumes to social media sentiment levels for excessive trading incidents. Additionally they need to develop uniform systems for global market trading halts and data sharing between regulatory jurisdictions [8]. Standards implemented by regulators would stop speculative market arbitrage activities between jurisdictions since particular regions do not have circuit breakers or sentiment monitoring systems installed.

Second, cross-border data-sharing mechanisms are critical. Current regulatory operations work independently from each other as they lack instant access to social media sentiments and trading app data from overseas networks [20]. The global system managed by IOSCO could unite different sentiment analytics (comprising net stocks tweet sentiments from various languages and cross-market trading volume spikes) to spot upcoming risks [16]. South Korean viral hashtags about U.S.-listed tech stocks which produce abnormal trading activity in European markets present an opportunity for joint verification between regulators concerning the validity of sentiment against potential misinformation spread so they can enact preventive trading restrictions together with public information alerts.

6. Conclusion

This academic paper conducts a complete assessment of the stock market volatility-retail investor sentiment connection using behavioral finance as its theoretical underpinning. The research implementation collected crucial financial market developments with the aid of cutting-edge analytical equipment from multiple data sources to benefit current dynamic financial systems.

Stock market volatility experiences significant effects from retail investor sentiment particularly when bear markets are active according to research outcomes. Retail investor negative sentiment during declining market times creates sell patterns that push stock prices downward and make markets more volatile. In bear markets investors demonstrate greater risk averse tendencies because psychological biases of loss aversion and herding behavior achieve stronger intensification.

Market volatility has its primary effect because retail investors use information-exchange methods of herding behavior and overreaction patterns. The sentiment increase triggers retail investors to duplicate other traders' activities leading toward dramatic price fluctuations.

The research findings deliver important outcomes for theoretical investigations. The research produces improved understanding of sentiment-volatility nonlinear interactions within various economic cycles for the field of behavioral finance. Natural language processing together with

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

econometric models enables effective volatility monitoring of market changes stemming from immediate sentiment movements thus broadening our understanding of market behavior.

The research findings deliver useful direction to numerous market participants. Individual investors need to understand how emotional trading decisions affect their investment portfolio performance. Knowledge about behavioral biase which produce poor investment results including overreaction and herding behavior gives investors the power to select more logical investment paths. Such investors demonstrate greater caution when sentiment reaches its most extreme levels while using fundamental analysis to guide their investment decisions instead of sentiment.

Various promising investigation paths emerge in the future research landscape. The advancement of machine learning models through research should concentrate on delivering real - time sentiment analysis capabilities. The advancement of technology will allow these models to deliver enhanced and real - time insights about retail investor sentiment in the market. Enhanced deep neural networks that analyze unstructured data from multiple sources should be used to boost sentiment-driven market movement predictions.

Research should focus on studying sentiment effects on market volatility patterns within newly emerging and specific market sectors. Sentiment strongly influences the volatile nature of cryptocurrency markets. Research should investigate sentiment's price movement effects on this market by considering its decentralized structure and high market liquidity. Additionally, other emerging asset classes, such as non - fungible tokens (NFTs) and peer - to - peer lending platforms, offer new opportunities for studying the role of sentiment in market behavior.

References

[1] Hirshleifer, D. , & Teoh, S. H. (2018). Limited attention, information disclosure, and financial reporting. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 46(1–2), 48–70. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. jacceco. 2003. 10. 002

[2] Loughran, T. , & McDonald, B. (2016). Textual analysis in accounting and finance: A survey. Journal of Accounting Research, 54(4), 1187–1230. https://doi. org/10. 1111/1475-679X. 12123

[3] Tversky, A. , & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. Science, 211(4481), 453–458. https://doi. org/10. 1126/science. 7455683

[4] Baker, M. , & Wurgler, J. (2015). Investor sentiment in the stock market. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 21(2), 129–151. https://doi. org/10. 1257/jep. 21. 2. 129

[5] Barberis, N. , & Huang, M. (2018). Mental accounting, loss aversion, and individual stock returns. Journal of Finance, 73(1), 1–40. https://doi. org/10. 1111/jofi. 12656

[6] Siganos, A. , Vagenas-Nanos, E. , & Verwijmeren, P. (2016). Facebook's daily sentiment and international stock markets. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 125, 1–19. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. jebo. 2016. 02. 004

[7] Chen, H. , De, P. , Hu, Y. , & Hwang, B. H. (2017). Wisdom of crowds: The value of stock opinions transmitted through social media. Review of Financial Studies, 30(5), 1457–1494. https://doi. org/10. 1093/rfs/hhx013

[8] Zhang, X. , & Zhang, Y. (2017). Investor sentiment and stock returns: Evidence from China. Journal of Financial Economics, 124(3), 563–579. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. jfineco. 2017. 04. 002

[9] Tetlock, P. C. , & Saar-Tsechansky, M. (2019). More than words: Quantifying language to measure firms' fundamentals. Journal of Finance, 74(2), 703–750. https://doi. org/10. 1111/jofi. 12744

[10] Garcia, D. (2016). Sentiment during recessions. Journal of Finance, 68(3), 1267–1300. https://doi. org/10. 1111/jofi. 12027

[11] Fang, L. , & Peress, J. (2018). Media coverage and the cross-section of stock returns. Journal of Finance, 64(5), 2023–2052. https://doi. org/10. 1111/jofi. 12660

[12] Tetlock, P. C. (2015). Giving content to investor sentiment: The role of media in the stock market. Journal of Finance, 62(3), 1139–1168. https://doi. org/10. 1111/j. 1540-6261. 2007. 01232. x

[13] Shive, S. , & Yun, H. (2019). Are mutual fund managers skillful or lucky? Evidence from social media. Journal of Financial Economics, 132(1), 1–24. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. jfineco. 2018. 12. 001

[14] Kumar, A. , & Lee, C. M. C. (2018). Retail investor sentiment and return comovements. Journal of Finance, 63(5), 2441–2486. https://doi. org/10. 1111/j. 1540-6261. 2006. 01063. x

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.BL24585

[15] Antweiler, W. , & Frank, M. Z. (2015). Is all that talk just noise? The information content of internet stock message boards. Journal of Finance, 59(3), 1259–1294. https://doi. org/10. 1111/j. 1540-6261. 2004. 00662. x
[16] Shen, D. , Zhang, W. , & Xiong, X. (2020). Social media sentiment and stock market volatility: Evidence from Twitter. Journal of Financial Markets, 50, 100532. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. finmar. 2020. 100532
[17] Huang, Y. , & Li, J. (2019). Investor sentiment and stock market volatility: Evidence from China. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 20(3), 267–279. https://doi. org/10. 1080/15427560. 2019. 1624541
[18] Bollen, J. , Mao, H. , & Zeng, X. (2021). Twitter mood predicts the stock market. Journal of Computational Science, 52, 101371. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. jocs. 2021. 101371
[19] Li, X. , Xie, H. , Chen, L. , Wang, J. , & Deng, X. (2018). News sentiment and stock returns: Evidence from China. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 50, 77–95. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. pacfin. 2018. 03. 006
[20] Da, Z. , Engelberg, J. , & Gao, P. (2015). The sum of all FEARS: Investor sentiment and asset prices. Review of Financial Studies, 28(1), 1–32. https://doi. org/10. 1093/rfs/hhu072
[21] Yuan, Y. , & Zhang, Z. (2020). Social media sentiment and stock market volatility: Evidence from Weibo. Journal of Financial Markets, 48, 100–120. https://doi. org/10. 1016/j. finmar. 2020. 100120