Proceedings of ICEMGD 2025 Symposium: Resilient Business Strategies in Global Markets
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.CAU28401

Business Strategy and Global Uncertainty: A Framework for Resilience and Adaptation

Fengyi Duan

ICB China Agriculture University, Beijing, China
fengyiduan6@gmail.com

Abstract. The current global landscape is characterized by increasing uncertainty, driven by factors such as economic volatility, technological disruptions, environmental challenges, and geopolitical shifts. These forces have rendered traditional strategic frameworks—often reliant on linear and predictable models—ill-suited to the complexities of today's dynamic environment. This paper explores the implications of this uncertainty for business strategy and argues for a more adaptive approach centered on resilience and agility. Drawing on an analysis of key drivers of uncertainty, including fluctuating markets, rapid technological innovation, climate change, and rising geopolitical tensions, the study proposes a comprehensive framework for building resilient business strategies. The framework integrates four core components: continuous environmental scanning and sensing, robust risk management processes, the development of adaptive capacity through organizational learning and innovation, and proactive stakeholder engagement. By embedding these elements into strategic planning, businesses can not only withstand shocks and disruptions but also seize emerging opportunities in turbulent times. Ultimately, this paper contributes to both academic discourse and managerial practice by offering actionable guidance for navigating uncertainty and positioning organizations for sustainable long-term success.

Keywords: Business Strategy, Global Uncertainty, Resilience, Risk Management, Adaptation

1. Introduction

The global business environment is currently experiencing a period of unprecedented turbulence and systemic uncertainty. Over the past decade, a confluence of factors—including recurrent economic crises, heightened geopolitical tensions, rapid technological disruptions, and intensifying environmental challenges—has fundamentally reshaped the operational landscape for organizations worldwide. This paper contends that conventional strategic frameworks, predominantly reliant on linear and predictive models, are increasingly inadequate for addressing the multifaceted and dynamic uncertainties that define the contemporary business context.

2. Understanding global uncertainty

Global uncertainty can be attributed to various interconnected factors, each contributing to the complex and volatile environment in which contemporary businesses operate.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.CAU28401

2.1. Economic volatility

The global financial crisis of 2008 exposed profound weaknesses in the international economic system and underscored the pervasive nature of macroeconomic instability. Subsequent events, including the COVID-19 pandemic, have further amplified economic fluctuations, leading to widespread disruptions in supply chains, employment, and consumer behavior [1]. Exchange rate volatility, unpredictable interest rate adjustments, and sharp swings in commodity prices continue to complicate financial planning and operational stability for firms worldwide [2]. Such conditions necessitate that businesses adopt more sophisticated financial hedging strategies and dynamic forecasting models to navigate ongoing economic turbulence.

2.2. Technological disruptions

Rapid advancements in technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and the Internet of Things (IoT) are fundamentally altering industry structures and competitive dynamics. According to [3], we are in the midst of a "second machine age" where digital technologies not only enhance operational efficiency but also enable entirely new business models. The pervasive digitization of economic activities compels organizations to reconfigure their value chains and rethink customer engagement strategies [4]. Companies that fail to keep pace with technological change risk rapid obsolescence, as seen in industries upended by platforms and data-driven innovations.

2.3. Environmental challenges

Climate change and resource depletion represent critical systemic risk. The increasing frequency of extreme weather events threatens infrastructure and supply chain continuity [5]. Moreover, regulatory pressures and shifting consumer preferences toward sustainable products require firms to embed environmental considerations into their core strategic planning. The concept of "double materiality"—where sustainability issues affect both financial performance and broader societal well-being—highlights the necessity for businesses to adopt greener practices [6]. Companies are now expected to align with global initiatives such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to maintain legitimacy and competitive advantage.

2.4. Geopolitical shifts

The resurgence of great-power competition and economic nationalism has led to a fragmentation of the global governance system. Trade wars, sanctions, and the reconfiguration of supply chains due to geopolitical realignments introduce new risks for multinational enterprises (MNEs). As [7] argues, firms must now navigate "deglobalization" pressures and a more contested international landscape. Changes in regulatory frameworks—such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and various cross-border investment screening mechanisms—add layers of compliance complexity and operational uncertainty for global firms.

3. Implications for business strategy

The increasing uncertainty in the global environment has several implications for business strategy:

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.CAU28401

3.1. Traditional strategic frameworks are becoming less effective

The limitations of traditional, linear models of strategy—such as SWOT and five forces analysis—have become evident in highly volatile contexts. These tools often assume a degree of environmental stability that no longer exists. Instead, firms are encouraged to embrace dynamic capabilities and real-time strategy adaptation [8]. Strategic planning must become iterative and responsive, incorporating scenario planning and stress testing to anticipate multiple potential futures.

3.2. Risk management becomes increasingly important

Modern enterprises must expand their risk management frameworks to include non-financial and systemic risks. An integrated approach to enterprise risk management (ERM) is essential for identifying, assessing, and mitigating threats stemming from economic, technological, environmental, and geopolitical domains [9]. This includes building resilient supply chains, investing in cybersecurity, and developing contingency plans for operational disruptions.

3.3. The need for resilience

Organizational resilience—the ability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and adapt to disruptions—is now a strategic imperative. Research shows that resilient organizations not only survive crises but often emerge stronger [10]. Building resilience requires investments in redundancy, flexibility, and human capital, enabling the organization to withstand shocks without losing operational coherence.

3.4. The need for agility

Strategic agility allows firms to pivot quickly in response to emerging opportunities and threats. This entails decentralizing decision-making, fostering innovation through experimentation, and cultivating an organizational culture that values learning and speed [11]. Agile organizations are better positioned to capitalize on discontinuities and lead in new market spaces.

4. Building resilient business strategies

Building resilient business strategies requires a holistic approach that considers the following elements:

4.1. Scanning and sensing

Continuous environmental scanning is crucial for early detection of trends and disruptions. Firms should employ advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and stakeholder feedback mechanisms to monitor the external environment [12]. This enables proactive rather than reactive strategy adjustments and helps identify emerging opportunities ahead of competitors.

4.2. Risk management

A robust risk management framework involves not only identifying and assessing risks but also developing mitigation strategies and response protocols. This includes stress testing business models, diversifying suppliers, and investing in adaptive technologies [13]. Increasingly, firms are

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.CAU28401

also turning to predictive analytics and AI-driven tools to anticipate risk events before they materialize.

4.3. Adaptive capacity

Adaptive capacity refers to an organization's ability to change its strategies, structures, and processes in response to external changes. Key enablers include leadership commitment to innovation, flatter organizational structures that facilitate rapid communication, and continuous learning systems [8]. Companies high in adaptive capacity treat uncertainty as a source of opportunity rather than solely as a threat.

4.4. Stakeholder engagement

Engaging proactively with stakeholders—including customers, employees, investors, regulators, and local communities—helps build social capital and trust, which are critical during crises [14]. Transparent communication and collaborative problem-solving allow firms to gain valuable insights, strengthen reputation, and create alignment around shared goals, thereby enhancing overall strategic resilience.

5. Conclusion

The growing unpredictability of the global landscape poses profound challenges for enterprises worldwide. Nevertheless, by embracing strategies centered on resilience, organizations can not only navigate but also prosper within volatile and ambiguous contexts. Developing such resilient strategies demands an integrated perspective that thoughtfully balances external conditions, internal competencies, and the expectations of stakeholders.

Future research directions

Future research should prioritize uncovering the underlying mechanisms that enable organizations to cultivate resilience. This entails examining how leadership, organizational culture, and structural design contribute to adaptive capacity and sustained performance under disruption. Furthermore, studies are needed to explore how firms can effectively respond to distinct categories of uncertainty —such as economic shocks and technological breakthroughs—by developing tailored strategic approaches and dynamic capabilities.

References

[1] Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2009). This time is different: Eight centuries of financial folly. Princeton University Press.
[2] Bloom, N. (2014). Fluctuations in uncertainty. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 28(2), 153–176. https: //doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.2.153
[3] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.
[4] Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2013). Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights. MIS Quarterly, 37(2), 471–482. https: //doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2013/37: 2.3
[5] IPCC. (2022). Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. https: //www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/
[6] Eccles, R. G., Lee, L.-E., & Stroehle, J. C. (2020). The social origins of ESG: An analysis of Innovest and KLD. Organization & Environment, 33(4), 575–596. https: //doi.org/10.1177/1086026619888994

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.CAU28401

[7] Witt, M. A. (2019). De-globalization: Theories, predictions, and opportunities for international business research. Journal of International Business Studies, 50(7), 1053–1077.
[8] Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. Long Range Planning, 51(1), 40–49. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2017.06.007
[9] Kaplan, R. S., & Mikes, A. (2012). Managing risks: A new framework. Harvard Business Review, 90(6), 48–60.
[10] Williams, T. A., Gruber, D. A., Sutcliffe, K. M., Shepherd, D. A., & Zhao, E. Y. (2017). Organizational response to adversity: Fusing crisis management and resilience research streams. Academy of Management Annals, 11(2), 733–769. https: //doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0134
[11] Doz, Y. L., & Kosonen, M. (2010). Embedding strategic agility: A leadership agenda for accelerating business model renewal. Long Range Planning, 43(2–3), 370–382. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.006
[12] Day, G. S., & Schoemaker, P. J. H. (2016). Adapting to fast-changing markets and technologies. California Management Review, 58(4), 59–77. https: //doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.59
[13] Sheffi, Y. (2005). Building a resilient supply chain. Harvard Business Review, 83(10), 1–4.
[14] Freeman, R. E., Phillips, R., & Sisodia, R. (2020). Tensions in stakeholder theory. Business & Society, 59(2), 213–231. https: //doi.org/10.1177/0007650318773750