Economic Implications of Charging Infrastructure Deployment for Electric Vehicles in China: An Empirical Analysis

Jianzi Ding^{1,a,*}

¹Central University of Finance and Economics, International Economics and Trade, Beijing, China, 100080 a. dingjianzi@foxmail.com *corresponding author

Abstract: Based on the empirical analysis of the economic implications of charging infrastructure deployment for electric vehicles in China, this study highlights the crucial role of charging infrastructure in promoting the growth of the electric vehicle (EV) market. By controlling for key factors such as car sales and fuel prices, the regression analysis results demonstrate that the deployment of charging infrastructure, represented by the number of charging piles, is positively related to EV sales in China. However, the study also reveals that the coverage area and charging speed of charging piles remain areas for improvement. Respondents to a survey questionnaire considered improving charging speed a priority over increasing the number of charging piles. This study emphasizes the significance of government policies and actions in supporting the development of the EV industry and charging infrastructure development to facilitate the growth of the EV market. Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the economic implications of charging infrastructure deployment for electric vehicles in China and can guide policymakers in designing and implementing effective policies and initiatives to promote the adoption of electric vehicles.

Keywords: charging infrastructure, electric vehicles, policy-making

1. Introduction

Electric vehicles (EVs) have emerged as a promising solution to reduce air pollution and mitigate climate change. In China, the government has been actively promoting the development of the EV industry in recent years. A key aspect of this development has been the deployment of charging infrastructure like charging piles, which is critical to the growth and success of the EV market as it addresses the challenge of range anxiety and enhances consumer confidence in EVs. Despite the progress made in the deployment of charging infrastructure in China, there is still a gap in the understanding of the impact of such infrastructure on the sales of EVs. Furthermore, the central government has set ambitious targets for EV consumption and charging infrastructure deployment. However, the effectiveness of such policies in promoting EV sales is still under debate. Therefore, this study aims to examine the relationship between China's charging infrastructure deployment and the growth of the EV industry, while controlling for other important factors that may influence the

EV market, such as GDP, fuel prices, emission standards, and policy incentives. By doing so, the study will provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of China's charging infrastructure deployment policies in driving the EV market and offer guidance for policymakers to make better decisions.

Overall, this study is significant because it has the potential to inform the future development of China's EV industry. By examining the relationship between charging infrastructure deployment and EV sales, policymakers can make better decisions about how to allocate resources to support the growth of the EV market. In addition, the study can serve as a model for other countries seeking to promote the adoption of EVs.

2. Literature Review

Electric vehicles (EVs) are becoming increasingly popular to reduce carbon emissions and address environmental concerns. However, the deployment of charging infrastructure is crucial for their widespread adoption. This literature review examines several studies that investigate the relationship between the deployment of charging infrastructure and the adoption of EVs.

In Wu and Yang's study, the authors explored the impact of insufficient public charging piles on EV sales in China. The study revealed that the lack of charging infrastructure had a negative effect on EV sales and improving its availability could promote EV adoption [1].

Haidar and Rojas's study used mixed-effect regression to examine the impact of charging infrastructure on EV sales. They found the need for a diverse range of charging infrastructure, including both fast and slow charging options, to meet the needs of different types of EV users [2].

Wang, Sun, and Huang's study focused on the planning and construction of EV charging infrastructure in the United States. The authors reviewed the existing EV charging infrastructure in the US, identified the challenges in planning and constructing EV charging stations. The study provided valuable insights into the planning and construction of EV charging infrastructure [3].

Tan, Wu, Wei, and Yu's study analysed the development status, strategic choice, and business model of China's charging pile industry. The authors reviewed the current situation of China's charging pile industry, provided insights into the strategic choices and business models that could be adopted to address these challenges and promote the sustainable development of the EV charging infrastructure in China [4].

Lili's article interpreted and examined China's EV charging infrastructure policy. The study reviewed the policy background, goals, and implementation strategies of China's EV charging infrastructure policy. The study showed that the policy played a crucial role in promoting the deployment of EV charging infrastructure in China [5].

Dong and Lin's study provided valuable insights into the use of stochastic models to predict the behaviour of battery electric vehicle (BEV) drivers. The study revealed that the developed stochastic model accurately predicted BEV driving behaviour, particularly in terms of battery charge depletion and trip duration [6].

The studies reviewed demonstrate that the availability and density of charging infrastructure have a significant impact on EV sales and adoption. The lack of public charging facilities negatively affects EV sales, and government intervention is necessary to support the deployment of charging infrastructure. The studies also emphasize the need for a diverse range of charging options to meet the needs of different types of EV users. Additionally, the review provides insights into the planning and construction of EV charging infrastructure, strategic choices and business models for the EV charging industry, and the use of stochastic models to predict BEV driving behaviour.

According to the above discussion, this paper is going to focus on exploring the following questions. How has the deployment of charging infrastructure in China impacted the sales of electric vehicles in the country? To what extent do factors such as GDP, fuel prices, and emission standards

influence the relationship between charging infrastructure deployment and electric vehicle sales in China? What has the government done in the field of charging infrastructure deployment to promote electric vehicle industry in China?

3. Methodology

3.1. Data

	Charging	GDP(*10000000	Gas price(yuan	EV	Car	Revenues(
Ye-ar	Piles(*10000)	0 yuan)	per ton)	sales	sales	yuan)
2022	520	1210207.2	9328.43	68722 82	26849 351	36883
2021	261.7	1149237	7923.31	35071 89	26248 287	35128
2020	167.2	1013567	5936.84	13229 46	25267 553	32189
2019	111.4	986515.2	7169.72	12061 24	25754 482	30733
2018	86.5	919281.1	8257.81	12473 20	28038 947	28228
2017	41	832035.9	6875.22	76775 9	28941 381	25974
2016	20.6	746395.1	7325.59	50170 8	27938 931	23821
2015	6.5	688858.2	7816.24	32891 0	24562 975	21966
2014	2.8	643563.1	9698.45	21819	23488 603	20167
2013	2.25	592963.2	10100		21994 229	18311

Table 1: Data collection.

Data of the number of charging piles (public and private), GDP, fuel prices, revenues, and expenditures data in China and electric vehicles from government statistics, Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Promotion Alliance, and Wind database as shown in table 1.

The study also utilized questionnaire to collect data on individuals' knowledge of government efforts to build new energy vehicle infrastructure. The survey consisted of 12 questions and was distributed using the online platform "Questionnaire Star".

3.2. Method

By utilizing multiple regression analysis using Stata and Excel as analysis tools, the paper aims to investigate the relationship between the number of charging piles and the sales of electric vehicles in China. Descriptive statistical analysis will be used to provide a detailed overview of the collected data. The findings will be presented through charts and graphs to provide a more intuitive understanding of the results. By utilizing multiple regression analysis, the paper can effectively examine the changes in the number of charging piles and electric vehicle sales.

The initial model:

Electric vehicle sales = $\beta 0 + \beta 1 \times \text{sum of charging piles} + \beta 2 \times \text{GDP} + \beta 3 \times \text{Gasoline prices} + \beta 4 \times \text{Car sales} + \beta 5 \times \text{Per capita disposable income} + \epsilon$

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std.	Min	Max
Piles	10	121.995	163.3223	2.25	520
GDP	10	878262.3	212708	592963.2	1210207
Gas	10	8043.161	1325.514	5936.84	10100
EV	9	1752895	2167528	21819	6872282
Cars	10	2.59e+07	2167509	2.20e+07	2.89e+07
Income	10	27340	6356.445	18311	36883

Table 3: Correlation Table.

	EV	Piles	GDP	Gas	Cars	Income
EV	1.0000					
Piles	0.987***	1.0000				
GDP	0.847***	0.878***	1.0000			
Gas	0.350	0.078	-0.300	1.0000		
Cars	0.171	0.245	0.463	-0.528	1.0000	
Income	0.829***	0.863***	0.998***	-0.353	0.472	1.0000

In conducting the regression analysis, we had multicollinearity issues among the control variables according to table 2 and 3. To address this problem, we performed variable selection by first conducting correlation coefficient analysis, examining the collinearity level of the variables using variance inflation factors (VIF), and identifying the variables that needed to be removed. Ultimately, certain control variables were removed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the regression results.

The final model:

Electric vehicle sales = $\beta 0 + \beta 1 \times sum$ of charging piles + $\beta 2 \times Gasoline prices + \beta 3 \times Car sales + \epsilon$

4. Results

	(1)	(2)	
	(1)	(2)	
VARIABLES	EV	EV	
Piles	12,463.205***	12,196.445***	
	(19.42)	(26.56)	
Gas	166.964	230.569*	
	(1.05)	(2.43)	
Cars		0.126*	
		(2.20)	
Constant	-1238138.587	-5029255.709*	

Table 4: Regression Results.

Table 4. (continued).				
	(-1.02)	(-2.50)		
Observations	9	9		
R-squared	0.982	0.991		
Robust t-statistics in parenthese	S			

Table 4. (continued)

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 5: Adjusted Correlation Table.

	EV	Piles	Gas	Cars
EV	1.000			
Piles	0.987***	1.000		
Gas	0.350	0.078	1.000	
Cars	0.171	0.245	-0.528	1.000

Table 6: VIF Test.

Variable	VIF	1/VIF	
Gas	1.20	0.830565	
Cars	1.13	0.884830	
Piles	1.12	0.890641	
Mean VIF	1.15		

Table 7: White's Test.

White's test	
H0:	Homoskedasticity
Ha:	Unrestricted heteroskedasticity
chi2(8) = 9.00	
Prob > chi2 = 0.3423	

The regression model output shows that there is a significant and positive relationship between the sales of electric cars and the number of charging stations, gasoline prices, and total car sales according to table 3 to 7. All coefficients are positive and significant. The model has a high goodness of fit, as indicated by an R-squared value of 0.991, suggesting that the model explains 99.1% of the variance in the sales of electric cars. After conducting VIF and White's tests, there is no multicollinearity or heteroscedasticity. Overall, these results suggest that an increase in the number of charging stations, higher gasoline prices, and higher total car sales are associated with an increase in the sales of electric cars.

Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Financial Technology and Business Analysis DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/50/20230594



Figure 1: Coverage of charging piles.



Figure 2: Speed of charging piles.

Based on the survey data, pie charts were created to illustrate people's perceptions of the coverage area and speed of charging stations, as shown in figure 1 and 2.



Figure 3: How to strengthen the construction of charging infrastructure.

Figure 3 shows the areas where people believe the government should focus its efforts to strengthen the construction of charging infrastructure, with many respondents indicating a need to

improve the charging speed of charging stations. Additionally, the number of people who chose to increase the number of charging stations was significantly lower.

5. Discussion

Interestingly, the survey results showed that a greater proportion of respondents felt that improving charging speed was more important than increasing the number of charging piles to enhance the charging infrastructure. This finding challenges the conventional assumption that the primary focus for improving charging infrastructure is to increase the number of charging piles. Instead, the results suggest that addressing the issue of slow charging speed may be a more pressing concern for promoting the adoption of electric vehicles in China. This phenomenon may be due to respondents considering their own experiences and actual needs when answering the question. While building more charging stations is one way to improve charging infrastructure, in practical use, charging speed is indeed a more urgent and realistic issue. Especially for those considering purchasing electric vehicles but had range anxiety, they need fast and convenient charging services to meet their daily travel needs. Gasoline cars only need a few minutes to fill up and go, while electric cars need to queue for over an hour to charge. Due to the slow charging speed and the need to wait in line, longer parking times and more planning are required for long-distance travel. Therefore, policymakers should take this into consideration when designing and implementing charging infrastructure development policies and initiatives to meet the needs and preferences of potential electric vehicle consumers.

According to the government website, the Chinese government has implemented several policies and initiatives to promote the development of the EV industry and charging infrastructure deployment in the country. For example, in terms of charging infrastructure deployment, the government has established national and local-level policies and incentives to encourage the construction and application of charging piles. These policies include financial subsidies, tax incentives, and land use policies. Furthermore, the government has implemented technical standards and regulations to ensure the safety and reliability of charging infrastructure. Overall, the Chinese government has played a significant role in promoting the development of the electric vehicle industry and charging infrastructure deployment in the country. Further research could examine the effectiveness of these policies and initiatives in achieving their intended goals and the potential for further improvements.

Overall, this study provides important insights into the economic implications of charging infrastructure deployment for electric vehicles in China. The findings suggest that charging infrastructure deployment has a significant impact on electric vehicle sales and that government policies and actions can play a critical role in promoting the adoption of electric vehicles. However, the study also highlights the need for further investment in charging infrastructure development to address the challenges and barriers to adoption and promote the development of the EV industry in China.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study highlights the importance of charging infrastructure deployment in promoting the adoption of electric vehicles in China. The regression analysis results indicate that the number of charging piles is positively related to electric vehicle sales, while gasoline prices and overall car sales also have a positive influence. The survey questionnaire results reveal that while the majority of respondents consider the coverage area and charging speed of charging piles to be acceptable, a quarter of respondents feel that the coverage area is still insufficient, and 31% feel that the charging speed is too slow. Notably, more respondents consider improving charging speed as a priority over increasing the number of charging piles. The study emphasizes the critical role of government policies and actions in promoting the development of the electric vehicle industry and

charging infrastructure deployment in China and highlights the need for further investment in charging infrastructure development to support the growth of the electric vehicle industry. However, the study also acknowledges several limitations, including the need for robustness checks, collecting data at a more detailed level, and expanding the sample size of the survey. Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the economic implications of charging infrastructure deployment for electric vehicles in China and can guide policymakers in designing and implementing effective policies and initiatives to promote the adoption of electric vehicles.

References

- [1] Wu, S., & Yang, Z. (2020). Availability of public electric vehicle charging pile and development of electric vehicle: evidence from China. Sustainability, 12(16), 6369.
- [2] Haidar, B., & Rojas, M. T. A. (2022). The relationship between public charging infrastructure deployment and other socio-economic factors and electric vehicle adoption in France. Research in Transportation Economics, 95, 101208.
- [3] Xiaoxi Wang, Zhi Sun & Yue Huang. (2017). Current planning methods and practices of electric vehicle charging facilities in the United States. Modern Urban Research (01), 82-89.
- [4] Tan, Q., Wu, P., Wei, T., & Yu, Z. (2020). Research on the development status, strategic choice, and business model of China's charging pile industry. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1637(1), 012083 (6pp).
- [5] Lili, L. I. (2017). Interpretation and prospect of China's electric vehicle charging infrastructure policy. Distribution & Utilization, 34(1), 2-7.
- [6] Dong, J., & Lin, Z. (2014). Stochastic modelling of battery electric vehicle driver behaviour. Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2454, 61-67.