Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Business and Policy Studies
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/71/20241532

Exploring Game Theory in the Realm of Genetically Modified Organisms: A Multidimensional Review

Tianchen Wu[1,a,*]

[1]*Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*
a. tianchen.wu@mail.utoronto.ca
**corresponding author*

Abstract: The use of Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) has sparked a lot of controversy since their introduction in agriculture, predominantly due to concerns regarding health risks, environmental impacts, and ethical considerations. By analyzing existing studies and models, this paper delves into the application of Game Theory in the context of GMOs, elucidating the interactions between different stakeholders. The objective of this paper is to investigate various dimensions surrounding GMOs, including the adoption of GMOs by farmers, consumer behaviors, regulatory policies, and global agriculture. Existing studies have revealed that the global agricultural order has been greatly affected, impacting both adopters and non-adopters of GMOs. Farmers choose to adopt GMO crops due to an increased yield and economic benefits. The rise of new medias has brought misinformation to the public, leading to decreased acceptance of GMOs and thus affecting the market dynamics. Regulatory policies exhibit significant variations between countries, aimed at influencing purchasing decisions and shaping agricultural dynamics.

Keywords: GMOs, Game Theory, agricultural order, consumer behavior

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) are organisms whose genetic material has been artificially altered using genetic engineering methods [1]. These alterations are typically made to introduce specific traits or characteristics that do not occur naturally [1]. GMOs have been developed for various purposes, including agriculture and medicine. In agriculture, to increase productivity, individual genes may be introduced into or knocked out of a species [2]. This process creates crops with traits desirable to both agricultural producers and consumers. Genetically Modified (GM) crops have been engineered to increase yield, improve resistance to pests and diseases, and enhance nutritional value [3]. With the growing global population, the use of GM crops is becoming an increasingly appealing solution to feed everyone in the world [3]. In addition to these benefits, GM crops can also be tailored to survive in harsh environmental conditions, such as drought or extreme temperatures, which can help ensure food security in regions that face these challenges [3].

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/71/20241532

1.2. Controversies

However, since their inception, GMOs in agriculture have been the subject of substantial debate and controversy [4]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), GM foods on the market are considered safe as they must undergo rigorous assessments [5]. Nevertheless, one of the most significant concerns about GMOs is their impact on human health [5]. Various studies have been conducted to evaluate the safety of GMOs for consumption, often yielding conflicting results; some indicate safety, while others highlight potential health risks. Moreover, the long-term effects of GMO consumption remain uncertain. Consequently, consumers struggle to make informed decisions about consuming GM foods. Additionally, the rise of new media contributes to the dissemination of biased information, which creates confusion and skepticism among the public [6].

Another major concern is the environmental impact of GMOs [4]. There are arguments that GMOs can disrupt ecosystems [4]. Research has indicated that GMOs have the potential to transfer artificially engineered genes to wild populations [4]. For instance, the creation of a 'superweed' is possible if an herbicide-resistant gene from GM crops spreads. Such an event could lead to a loss of biodiversity, as the 'superweed' might outcompete native species. Moreover, the use of GMOs often coincides with the use of chemicals like pesticides, which can harm soil and water quality [4].

Economic and political concerns also arise [7]. The dominance of a few biotechnology firms could lead to the monopolization of the seed supply, potentially resulting in higher costs for farmers and fewer choices in the long term [8]. Small-scale farms may struggle to compete in a market dominated by GM crops. From a political standpoint, countries with advanced GMO technologies could exert influence over others [8]. For example, Brazil's reliance on the United States for GM soybean imports could jeopardize its food security [9]. This dependence raises ethical questions about the propriety of using GMOs to alter the agricultural systems of other countries [9].

1.3. Game Theory in the Context of GMOs

The decision of whether to adopt GMOs involves a variety of health, economic, and ethical considerations. Game theory, the study of strategic interactions among players, can be a useful tool for analyzing the decision-making processes surrounding the adoption of GMOs. By considering the perspectives of different stakeholders, game theory can provide rationale for the decisions made by agricultural producers, consumers, and policymakers. For instance, should a farmer plant traditional or GM crops? Factors such as crop yield, market dynamics, and the potential for increased seed costs in the long run contribute to a complex decision-making process. Similarly, consumers face the choice of whether to buy GM foods. What policies should governments implement to balance economic benefits with the assurance of safety for the public? This paper aims to explore the strategic decisions of each stakeholder by examining current research on the topic.

2. Use of GMOs: Farmers' Perspective

Farmers are the primary users of GM seeds, and their decisions are heavily influenced by economic factors, public interest, and government regulations [10]. The economic consideration is relatively straightforward: to maximize profits. GM crops often yield higher outputs and offer enhanced pest resistance, making the benefits of GM seeds appealing to many farmers. However, a study by Zhu et al. indicates that farmers in the United States still opt for traditional wheat seeds over GM varieties despite the potential for significantly increased wheat production [11]. Although the benefits appear promising, the commercialization of GM wheat faces many challenges [11]. It is predicted that the demand for wheat will decline with the adoption of GM seeds, leading to an overall decrease in profit for farmers, despite higher yields [11]. This is primarily due to misinformation spread by anti-biotech groups, which has caused the public to become wary of GM products [11]. Another consideration is

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/71/20241532

the difficulty farmers who adopt GM seeds first may encounter when selling their products, particularly in export markets [11]. A coordinated shift by all agricultural producers is unlikely [11]. Another study shows that GM seeds are often more expensive, and farmers are hesitant to adopt them due to patent issues [8]. Additionally, some countries have mandated GM food labeling, which leads to higher costs for GM foods and places an extra burden on consumers [9].

The case studies demonstrate that the adoption of GM crops involves more than just yield considerations [11]. Indeed, a variety of factors must be considered. Through the lens of game theory, a simple model can be constructed to represent the interplay between farmers' decisions and the actions of environmental groups. Farmers must choose whether to adopt GM seeds, while environmental groups decide whether to disseminate anti-GMO information. In a scenario where only the farmers' benefits and costs are considered, if environmental groups do not oppose GMOs, farmers profit more from choosing GM seeds. Conversely, if environmental groups do oppose GMOs, farmers profit more from not choosing GM seeds. However, this model is simplistic and only considers a limited number of players and factors. There are numerous other "games" at play, including competition among farmers, where being the first to adopt GM seeds carries risks, and a united front among farmers may be unattainable [11]. Therefore, the decision to adopt GMOs can be challenging, and many farmers opt to play it safe rather than take risks.

With all this in mind, the decision to adopt GM crops is far from straightforward. Complex economic, social, and governmental considerations all underpin the apparent benefits. Stakeholders should learn from cases like the failed commercialization of GM wheat in the United States and carefully consider the use of GMOs in agriculture to ensure that potential benefits can be actualized in practical settings.

3. Market Dynamics and Consumer Behaviors

The market dynamics involve interactions among producers, consumers, and policymakers. Understanding these interactions is crucial when it comes to adopting GMOs. From a consumer's perspective, the decision to purchase a GM product involves a variety of external and internal considerations.

Many countries around the globe have implemented mandatory labeling policies for GM products [9]. A study compares the market dynamics of GM food in European countries with different policies [6]. The results show that mandatory labeling policies are often associated with higher product costs and an increased signaling effect for consumers, resulting in a negative impact on the marketing of GM products [9].

Nowadays, with the rise of new social media, information can be readily and efficiently disseminated at our fingertips [1]. However, differentiating factual data from biased content has become a challenge [1]. Moreover, as more consumers prioritize health, they tend to avoid GM products to minimize risks, regardless of the veracity of the scientific information they find online about GMOs. Another unavoidable factor in market dynamics and consumer behavior is pricing. For many consumers, the decision of what groceries to buy is simply a matter of getting the best value for money. With labeling policies in place, which result in higher costs for GM products, non-GM products can easily outcompete GM products in the marketplace [9].

Considering these factors, the market narrative for GMOs can be quite intricate. Even high-quality GM products may not achieve widespread acceptance. Stakeholders, such as biotech companies and agricultural producers, must tackle these complexities. For example, reducing the price of GM products could make the benefits outweigh the costs of labeling and public apprehension. Furthermore, for GMOs to gain better acceptance, scientific and evidence-based communication and education must be implemented.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/71/20241532

4. Policy Making and International Trade Dynamics

The policymaking strategies are often influenced by scientific research, public acceptance, and political concerns. Many nations have become key players in the realm of international agriculture. Leading nations that hold biotechnology patents can potentially leverage them to influence the global agricultural order [8]. Take Brazil as an example, which relies heavily on the United States for the import of GM soybeans; its agricultural autonomy is compromised, jeopardizing food security [9]. Against this backdrop, Yu et al. developed a game theory-based model of the strategies employed by China and the United States regarding GM products [9]. As a nation heavily dependent on soybean imports, China has enacted strict labeling policies to reduce its reliance on the US [9]. Government policies influence many aspects, including the research into and acceptance of GMOs. Governments can direct GMO research towards products that address specific needs, such as pest control and weather resilience. Furthermore, GMO policies can pose significant barriers to international trade.

5. Conclusion

The interests of farmers, consumers, and governments create a complex interplay within the realm of GMOs, affecting everything from market dynamics and public acceptance to the international agricultural order. The discussion around the adoption of GM crops by farmers highlights the need to balance costs with potential benefits. The dynamics of demand and productivity, along with potential external challenges, heavily influence a farmer's decisions. From a consumer's perspective, decisions regarding the acceptance of GM food are significantly influenced by both price and health concerns. Meanwhile, on the global stage, regulatory decisions can profoundly impact the international agricultural order.

In conclusion, the adoption and acceptance of GMOs depend on a multifaceted array of factors that extend beyond the scope of agricultural science. With the application of game theory, the successful integration of GM crops into agriculture requires strategies that address economic concerns, combat misinformation, and promote an environment of informed choice. It is crucial for stakeholders to engage in evidence-based research to demystify GMOs and harness their potential to meet global food demands.

References

[1] *Teferra, T. F. (2021) Should we still worry about the safety of Gmo Foods? why and why not? A Review. Food Science & Nutrition 9, 5324–5331.*

[2] *Kawall, K., Cotter, J. and Then, C. (2020) Broadening the GMO risk assessment in the EU for genome editing technologies in agriculture. Environmental Sciences Europe 32.*

[3] *Gbashi, S. et al. (2021) Food Safety, food security and genetically modified organisms in Africa: A current perspective. Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews 37, 30–63.*

[4] *Rosenow, D. (2018) Decolonising the decolonisers? of ontological encounters in the GMO controversy and beyond. Global Society 33, 82–99.*

[5] *Food, genetically modified. World Health Organization Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/food-genetically-modified. (Accessed: 15th November 2023)*

[6] *Venus, T. J. and Wesseler, J. H. (2015) Evolution of European GM-free standards: Reasoning of consumers and strategic adoption by companies. Review of Agricultural and Applied Economics 18, 20–27.*

[7] *Choi, E. K. (2010) International Trade in genetically modified products. International Review of Economics & Finance 19, 383–391.*

[8] *Smith, P. J. and Tilman, A. R. (2020) Patents for self-replicating technologies: Game Theoretic Analysis of genetically modified seed. The Journal of World Intellectual Property 23, 166–184.*

[9] *Yu, W. L., An, T. L., and Hu, X. L. (2020) Research on the Evolution Labelling Regulation strategies of Genetically Modified Agricultural Products in China and US from the Perspective of the Game of Great Power Country. Forum on Science and Technology in China 8, 168-176.*

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/71/20241532

[10] *Robaey, Z. (2016) Transferring moral responsibility for technological hazards: The case of gmos in Agriculture. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics 29, 767–786.*
[11] *Zhu, M., Schmitz, A., Schmitz, T. G. (2016) Why Has not Genetically Modified Wheat Been Commercialized: A Game Theoretical Perspective. Research in Agriculture & Applied Economics.*