Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Global Politics and Socio-Humanities
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/70/20241048

# The Divergence in Economic Policy Preferences: How North-South Divides Challenge European Integration

**Zihan Guo**[1,a,*]

[1]*School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Xian Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, China*
*a. Zihan.Guo22@student.xjtlu.edu.cn*
**corresponding author*

***Abstract:*** This study aims to analyze the economic policy differences between Northern and Southern European countries in the process of European integration, particularly focusing on fiscal policies and austerity measures during the European debt crisis. Northern European countries are generally characterized by high welfare, high taxation, and strict fiscal discipline, whereas Southern European countries face challenges such as unequal welfare systems and weak industrial bases. These differences stem from historical, cultural, and economic structural factors, which became especially pronounced during the response to the debt crisis. Northern European countries advocate austerity measures to restore economic stability, while Southern European countries argue that these policies exacerbate economic recession and social unrest. This paper explores how these policy differences challenge the EU's decision-making processes, member state relations, and the broader process of European integration. It also points out that addressing these divisions while maintaining the course of European integration to strengthen economic cohesion within the EU is a key challenge for the Union.

***Keywords:*** EU, North-South divide, European integration, Europe's economic crisis, Industrial policy.

## 1. Introduction

The European Union has long sought to create an integrated economic and political space, striving to bridge the gaps between member states. However, as the process of European integration has continued to evolve, significant divisions in economic policy have emerged between Northern and Southern European countries. These countries exhibit divergent economic preferences, policy orientations, and developmental trajectories, which present significant challenges to the process of European integration and even the cohesion of the EU. This paper aims to delve into the disparities in economic policies between Northern and Southern European countries, specifically focusing on divisions in fiscal policy and austerity measures implemented in response to the Eurozone debt crisis, as well as how these differences impede progress towards European integration. The article will provide a comprehensive analysis of the underlying causes for these divisions, their impact on decision-making within the EU, and propose potential solutions for addressing these challenges in future endeavors.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/70/20241048

## 2. Initial Vision and Goals of European Integration

The initial vision and objectives of European integration were primarily focused on fostering economic cooperation and stability among European nations, particularly in the aftermath of World War II. This vision laid the groundwork for the establishment of the European Union and its economic framework. The early goals of integration revolved around creating a stable economic environment and preventing further conflicts in Europe. These endeavors commenced with the formation of the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) in 1951, followed by the Treaty of Rome in 1957, which served as a foundation for both the European Economic Community (EEC) and the European Atomic Energy Community (EAEC).

First, the ECSC aimed to create a common market for coal and steel, as an experiment that would gradually expand into other economic sectors, eventually leading to a politically unified Europe [1]. Secondly, the Treaty of Rome had a primary objective to establish a unified market, aimed at eradicating trade barriers and facilitating the unrestricted movement of goods, services, capital, and labor among member states. Lastly, the EAEC's purpose was to coordinate the supply of fissile materials and the peaceful use of nuclear energy for research programs. Through the implementation of these three treaties, the founding member states aimed to strengthen economic interdependence by pooling resources and establishing common markets, with the belief that this would foster peace and stability throughout Europe. The signing of the Maastricht Treaty in 1992 marked a significant milestone in European integration, formally establishing the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU) and laying the foundation for deeper economic integration.

## 3. Economic Characteristics of Northern and Southern Countries

European countries display significant regional economic differences based on their geographical location, historical background, culture, and social development trajectories. Northern and Southern European countries exhibit distinct characteristics in terms of economic structure and social models. The term "Northern European countries" in this context refers to EU member states in Northern Europe, including Belgium, the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Denmark, Sweden, Finland, Austria, Germany, France, and the United Kingdom (though now outside the EU). "Southern European countries," on the other hand, mainly comprise Greece, Italy, Portugal, and Spain as the core members of this group [2]. The following section will examine the key economic characteristics of these two regions.

Northern European countries generally adopt a high-welfare state model, which is one of the defining features of their economic policies. These countries support universal welfare programs, including education, healthcare, unemployment benefits, and pensions, through a high-taxation system. Additionally, Northern European countries implement an active government intervention model. The government holds significant influence in key economic sectors such as energy, transportation, and infrastructure, and uses public investment and policy measures to regulate market operations. The balanced interaction between the state and the market forms a socio-democratic economic system often referred to as the "Nordic model," which includes a comprehensive welfare state based on a corporatist economic foundation, multi-level collective bargaining [3], and a market-based mixed economy that adheres to private ownership.

In contrast, Southern European countries demonstrate significantly different economic trends from their Northern counterparts. Firstly, welfare systems in Southern Europe are relatively uneven. Although Southern European countries have increased their investment in social welfare since the late 20th century, the overall level remains lower than that of Northern Europe. The coverage and quality of welfare services vary considerably, and during times of economic crisis, Southern European welfare systems often appear fragile. However, following the COVID-19 crisis, one of Southern

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/70/20241048

Europe's key industries—tourism—experienced rapid growth, becoming a major driver of economic expansion. Despite this, the lack of a strong industrial sector during periods of economic downturn has rendered the economic structure of Southern European countries particularly vulnerable.

## 4. Historical Origins of Economic Policy Preferences

The historical roots of economic policy preferences in Southern and Northern European countries are closely tied to centuries of history, religion, and economic development. These historical factors have resulted in distinct economic behaviors and policy choices that continue to influence both regions today. This section will analyze the causes of differing economic policy preferences in Northern and Southern Europe, primarily from the perspectives of religion and culture.

First, in Northern European countries, Protestant ethics (particularly Lutheranism) emphasize individual responsibility, hard work, and social justice. Protestantism advocates for the creation of a national community of believers, leading to greater state involvement in economic and social life to achieve national welfare solidarity and economic coordination [4]. These religious values encouraged the state to take responsibility for achieving social equality and redistributing wealth, which led to the establishment of welfare-based economic policies in Northern Europe.

In contrast, Southern European countries have been historically influenced by Catholicism. Catholicism emphasizes charity and social hierarchy, but historically, the Church was closely allied with the elite class, resulting in state policies that favored the protection of traditional elites and the maintenance of existing social structures. Additionally, before the mid-1970s, the authoritarian regimes that ruled much of Southern Europe often focused on short-term market interventions rather than long-term structural reforms. These cultural and religious factors have contributed to the significant differences in economic policies between Northern and Southern Europe.

### 4.1. Conflict over Fiscal Policy and Austerity Measures

The European debt crisis, which emerged in the aftermath of the 2008 global financial crisis, laid bare profound divisions within the European Union, particularly between Northern and Southern European nations. At the heart of this divide were differing views on fiscal austerity policies. Northern European countries, led by Germany, advocated for strict austerity measures to resolve the debt crisis, while Southern European nations argued that these policies were excessively harsh and exacerbated their economic difficulties.

During the debt crisis, Southern European countries such as Greece, Italy, Spain, and Portugal encountered severe economic recessions characterized by escalating unemployment rates and mounting public debt. In order to secure international bailout packages from the "Troika" (comprising of the European Commission, the European Central Bank, and the International Monetary Fund), these nations were compelled to implement a series of austerity measures encompassing expenditure reductions, tax hikes, and curtailments in social welfare programs. However, these measures were met with significant opposition in Southern Europe, primarily because they triggered deeper economic recessions. For instance, Greece, which was at the center of resistance against austerity, saw its primary deficit drop from €24.7 billion in 2009 (10.6% of GDP) to €5.2 billion in 2011 (2.4% of GDP) due to austerity measures, but the side effects of these policies intensified its economic downturn [5]. The recession that began in October 2008 hit Greece particularly hard, with a GDP contraction of -6.9% in 2011, the steepest decline in the EU at the time [6]. These challenges led to widespread opposition to austerity, with Greece becoming a focal point of anti-austerity sentiment. In 2015, the anti-austerity party Syriza, led by Alexis Tsipras, won national elections on a platform of opposing the harsh measures imposed by the Troika [7].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/70/20241048

In contrast, Northern European countries like Germany, the Netherlands, and Finland firmly supported austerity. They believed that the root cause of the debt crisis in Southern Europe was fiscal mismanagement—excessive government spending, weak financial oversight, and a lack of budgetary discipline. From their perspective, austerity was a necessary tool to restore financial stability and rebuild market confidence. Northern European countries, being more industrialized and export-driven, were in a stronger fiscal position. Countries like Germany had accumulated large trade surpluses and maintained relatively low levels of public debt prior to the crisis, allowing them to better weather the economic storm and continue their commitment to fiscal discipline.

These disagreements regarding austerity reflect underlying disparities in economic structures, cultural attitudes, and political traditions between Northern and Southern Europe—divisions that continue to shape the economic policy debates within the European Union today.

## 4.2. Income Inequality in Northern and Southern Countries

Northern and Southern European countries exhibit significant differences in income inequality. Northern European countries have long been known for their relatively low levels of economic inequality. The Gini coefficient, a common measure of income inequality, tends to be lower in these countries, reflecting a more equitable distribution of income. For example, the Gini coefficients in Northern Europe are typically below the EU average, indicating more balanced income distribution [8]. This is largely attributed to the high-welfare state model prevalent in Northern Europe, which helps reduce income inequality, lower poverty rates, and create a fairer society.

In contrast, Southern European countries face worsening income inequality, especially in the aftermath of the European debt crisis. By the first quarter of 2015, the countries with the highest unemployment rates were Greece (25.6%), Spain (22.5%), Cyprus (16%), Croatia (15.8%), Portugal (13.2%), and Italy (12.4%) [9]. The rising unemployment exacerbated income inequality, and austerity measures implemented during the debt crisis continued to affect the economic situation in Southern Europe.

# 5. Conflicts in the EU's Decision-Making Mechanism

The decision-making process of the European Union (EU) is intricate and multi-faceted, involving prominent institutions such as the European Commission, the European Parliament, and the European Council. These institutions are tasked with striking a delicate balance between the collective interests of the EU as a whole and the individual interests of its member states, often resulting in conflicts arising from regional disparities.

During the formulation of austerity policies, Northern European countries dominated decision-making within the European Commission and the European Council, marginalizing Southern European countries. The Northern European countries' dominant position led to policies that emphasized strict budget controls and fiscal discipline, while the economic conditions and social needs of Southern Europe were not adequately considered. The implementation of austerity measures resulted in political divisions within the EU, with growing anti-austerity sentiment in Southern Europe. This fueled support for populist parties challenging established EU policies, complicating negotiations and the consensus-building process [10]. This decision-making approach exacerbated the North-South divide, increasing dissatisfaction among Southern European countries and triggering widespread protests and social unrest.

At the same time, the differing reactions to austerity policies in the North and South directly impacted the effectiveness of policy implementation and the efficiency of EU decision-making. Southern Europe's economic struggles and social instability posed challenges to the execution of these policies. For example, high unemployment rates and social unrest made it difficult to effectively enforce austerity measures. Additionally, these policies eroded public trust in the EU, especially among citizens of bailout-recipient countries, who felt that the EU was responsible for their economic difficulties [11].

### 5.1. Strained Member State Relations and the Test of Unity

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of the economic policy disparities between Northern and Southern European countries, along with their implications for the process of European integration. Northern and Southern European countries exhibit starkly different economic characteristics and policy approaches [12]. Northern Europe, characterized by high-welfare, high-taxation models, emphasizes government intervention and fiscal discipline. In contrast, Southern Europe faces significant structural economic problems, with uneven welfare systems and weak industrial bases [13]. During the European debt crisis, Southern European countries were forced to implement austerity measures, exacerbating economic recession and social unrest. Northern European countries supported austerity policies, believing they would restore economic stability, while Southern European nations reacted strongly against these measures, viewing them as worsening their economic situation [14]. The North-South divide not only reflects differences in historical, cultural, and economic structures but also challenges the EU's internal decision-making processes, affecting relations between member states and EU cohesion. Moving forward, the key challenge for the EU will be how to address these differences while maintaining the momentum of European integration.

## 6. Conclusion

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of the economic policy disparities between Northern and Southern European countries, along with their implications for the process of European integration. Northern and Southern European countries exhibit starkly different economic characteristics and policy approaches. Northern Europe, characterized by high-welfare, high-taxation models, emphasizes government intervention and fiscal discipline. In contrast, Southern Europe faces significant structural economic problems, with uneven welfare systems and weak industrial bases. During the European debt crisis, Southern European countries were forced to implement austerity measures, exacerbating economic recession and social unrest. Northern European countries supported austerity policies, believing they would restore economic stability, while Southern European nations reacted strongly against these measures, viewing them as worsening their economic situation. The North-South divide not only reflects differences in historical, cultural, and economic structures but also challenges the EU's internal decision-making processes, affecting relations between member states and EU cohesion. Moving forward, the key challenge for the EU will be how to address these differences while maintaining the momentum of European integration.

## References


[1] *European Parliament. (2018). The historical development of European integration. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/PERI/2018/618969/IPOL_PERI%282018%29618969_EN.pdf*

[2] *Landesmann, M. A. (2015). The New North–South Divide in Europe: Can the European Convergence Model be Re suscitated? The Triple Challenge for Europe, 60–87. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198747413.003.0003*

[3] *Kjellberg, A. (2019). Sweden: collective bargaining under the industry norm. I T. Müller, K. Vandaele, & J. Waddington (Red.), Collective bargaining in Europe: towards an endgame (Vol. 3, s. 583-603). Brussels: ETUI (European Trade Union Institute), Bruxelles.*

[4] *Pauli Kettunen, Klaus Petersen, & Conrad, C. (2011). Beyond Welfare State Models. Edward Elgar Publishing.*

[5] *Smith, H. (2012, February 1). IMF official admits austerity is harming Greece. The Guardian. https://www.theguardian.com/business/2012/feb/01/imf-austerity-harming-greeve*

[6] European Commission. (2012). European Commission Directorate-General for Economic and Financial Affairs Interim Forecast. https://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/articles/eu_economic_situation/pdf/2012/2012-02-23-interim-forecast_en.pdf
[7] Hopkin, J. (2020). The New North-South Divide: Bailout Politics and the Return of the Left in Southern Europe. Oxford University Press EBooks, 153–186. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190699765.003.0006
[8] Widuto, A. (2019). BRIEFING EPRS | European Parliamentary Research Service. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2019/637951/EPRS_BRI%282019%29637951_EN.pdf
[9] European Commission. (2015). Eurostat: unemployment rates, seasonally adjusted, May 2015,http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statisticsexplained/index.php/File:Unemployment_rates,_seasonally_adjusted,_May_2015.png
[10] Biten, M., Kuhn, T., & van der Brug, W. (2022). How does fiscal austerity affect trust in the European Union? Analyzing the role of responsibility attribution. Journal of European Public Policy, 30(6), 1033–1050. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2022.2060
[11] Schmidt, V. A. (2015). The forgotten problem of democratic legitimacy. The future of the euro, 90-114.
[12] Petmesidou, M. (2018). Southern Europe. Routledge Handbook of the Welfare State, 162-175.
[13] Rhodes, M. (2014). Southern European welfare states: identity, problems and prospects for reform. In Southern European welfare states (pp. 1-22). Routledge.
[14] Morlino, L. (1998). Democracy between consolidation and crisis: Parties, groups, and citizens in Southern Europe. OUP Oxford.