

# *Definition, Intervention and Solutions of School-bullying*

Xin Chai<sup>1,a,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Educational Leadership, Wenzhou-Kean University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, 325000, China*

*a. chaixin@kean.edu*

*\*corresponding author*

**Abstract:** In the past few decades, with the continuous development of pedagogy and educational psychology, the academic community has begun to study juvenile peer bullying behavior more deeply and extensively. Peer bullying, as a non-prosocial behavior, can have lasting negative effects on all group members involved in bullying contexts including the bully, the bullied and the bystander. This paper mainly focuses on discussing and analyzing several aspects of the definition, history, intervention and solution techniques of juvenile peer bullying in the academic field. Through case analysis and literature review, this article systematically introduces the general picture of peer bullying to readers. The findings suggest that despite the extensive studies on intervention and solutions for peer bullying behaviour, there is still a research gap in the early identification of peer bullying among teenagers.

**Keywords:** school bullying, intervention, coping strategies

## **1. Introduction**

In recent years, more and more educators have focused their attention on the research, investigation and intervention of whole-process on school bullying incidents, but educators have not yet reached a unified conclusion on the most effective identification and response strategies. As a visible or invisible attack under the unequal relationship of peer powers, school bullying will cause lasting mental and emotional distress and even physical trauma to the perpetrators, victims, bystanders and the surrounding responsibility and interest groups. At the same time, bullying will have a continuous negative impact on participants' mental health development and prosocial behavior development, as well as the maintenance of long-term stable relationships in adulthood. This paper attempts to make a detailed interpretation of the development of common definitions of campus bullying, the common types of bullying, and the development trend in recent years through the method of literature analysis. Secondly, through the analysis and study of the identification, early intervention and treatment of bullying incidents in different educational institutions, it makes specific elaboration and comparison. The purpose of this paper is to explore new anti-bullying methods emerging in the information age through a longitudinal analysis of bullying phenomena, so as to help educators and researchers to predict future forms of bullying; At the same time, through the comparative study of levels, it provides a comparative interpretation of different perspectives and starting points in similar bullying situations for employees in the education industry. Through comparison, induction and summary, more effective and practical prevention and coping strategies for campus bullying are provided for subsequent educators.

## **2. Definition and Evolution of School Bullying**

### **2.1. The Traditional Definition of School Bullying**

There are many different definitions of bullying provided by various organizations and researchers. Olweus first suggests that this traditional definition must be defined as intentional and repeated acts that occur through physical, verbal, or relational forms in situations where power differences exist. Olweus's meaning of harassing expressed that "an understudy is being tormented or misled when the individual is uncovered, more than once and over the long run, to negative activities with respect to at least one different understudies" [1]. Negative behavior includes causing or attempting to cause harm and discomfort to another person intentionally. Subsequently, almost certainly, harassing will occur in the event that there are contrasts in power between a domineering jerk and the casualty in light of the fact that the casualty can't guard themselves against tormenting.

Harassing behavior can be immediate, like face to face a showdown; indirect, with a third party involved; such as exclusion from society; distributing tales; and a variety of other psychological problems; online as well (cyberbullying). Direct tormenting action incorporates hitting, harming, kicking, and different sorts of substantial injury.

### **2.2. Typical Characteristics of School Bullying**

Bullying can occur at any age, from childhood to adulthood [2]. Tormenting in schools has been the focal point of the earliest tireless review and the biggest volume of review. Most of meanings of harassing stress the constant idea of tormenting and share qualities, for example, being rehashed over the long run, having the expectation to cause damage, and a power unevenness. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States defines bullying in schools as "any unwanted aggressive conduct by another young person or group of adolescents who are not siblings or current romantic partners that involves observed or perceived power imbalance and is repeated multiple times or is highly likely to be repeated."

Harassing is an occurrence of forceful way of behaving, which is direct expected to truly hurt another. While the definition of bullying is not universally agreed upon, there is some agreement that it involves aggressive behavior that meets the following two criteria: 1) Redundancy — it happens over and again; (2) A power lopsidedness makes it trying for the casualty to safeguard themselves [1]. A brief definition is the systematic abuse of power. As to, these guidelines are once in a while challenged [3-4].

### **2.3. Types of School Bullying**

Although peer victimization affects all young people, some student populations are particularly vulnerable [5]. Students who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender—or who are believed to be gender nonconforming—are more likely to be the targets of bullying [6]. Students who are overweight, children who have impairments, and members of racial and ethnic minorities are more likely to experience peer bullying [7-8].

#### **2.3.1. Harassing in Light of Handicap**

Various examination uncover that tormenting is pervasive among messes around with handicaps; When they include a similar-matched comparison group, these studies are most instructive. According to the findings of a survey that was carried out in Northern Ireland, children with disabilities are subjected to bullying at significantly higher rates in primary and secondary schools [9]. For a variety of reasons, children with disabilities are more likely to be bullied or to be bullied

by others: having fewer friends and lower-quality friendships, negative peer perceptions of value, social rejection, and lacking some social skills that would assist in avoiding or coping with bullying [10]; Some disabilities, like being clumsy, stuttering, or having bad hearing, can make people easy targets for bullies who make fun of them [11].

### **2.3.2. Identity-based Bullying**

This refers to bullying that targets group features rather than personal traits. Racist bullying or racial harassment are terms used to describe bullying that is motivated by a child's race or ethnicity. Sexist bullying (based on sexist ideas) or sexual bullying (based on bullying behavior that has a special sexual dimension) are two terms used to describe bullying that is directed at a person's gender. Boys frequently use sexually abusive words when harassing girls about their sexual orientation [12]. Girls bully other girls sexually by, for instance, spreading unsavory rumors about their sexual reputations [13]. Social networking platforms are now a common forum for this kind of bullying involving girls, especially girl-to-girl [14]. Boys can also face sexual harassment, although a lot of it is probably due to their actual or perceived sexual orientation. Bullying that is homophobic targets lesbian, gay, or bisexual (LGB) individuals or those who are thought to be LGB. Transgender people have been a part of some studies (LGBT). When compared to their heterosexual peers, LGB young people experienced more victimization, which decreased with age. However, the relative risk for males improved while it reduced for females [15].

### **2.4. Different Jobs of Understudies in a Tormenting Condition**

The consideration of member jobs in tormenting was a huge strategic headway [16]. The three harasser jobs were recognized as instigator (who begins and coordinates the tormenting), help (who takes part in the tormenting), and reinforcer (who boasts or cheers the harassing). Notwithstanding the person in question, different jobs incorporate the harasser/casualty (understudies who go about as both a harasser and a casualty, potentially a provocative casualty who disturbs others and is gone after consequently), the protector (who gives help to the person in question), and the observer (who knows about the tormenting yet decides to fail to address it). Cyberbullying has become all the more well realized in this century because of the web and cell phones. Regardless of prior press stories, distributions on cyberbullying started to build up some decent forward movement in 2006, at first as an instant message and email harassing and kept on becoming through the center of the 2000s [17-18]. From that point forward, cyberbullies have approached many new devices on account of the development of mobile phones and person to person communication locales. While cyberbullying still frequently occurs between classmates or other students, it is much more likely to occur outside of school than traditional bullying [19]. It is described by the improved probability that the aggressor will slip by everyone's notice, the chance for a lot bigger crowd, and the casualty's overall absence of help [20].

### **2.5. Emerging Patterns of School Harassing**

Electronic hostility, frequently known as cyberbullying, is a recently perceived sort of harassing. Due to the ascent in virtual associations among children and youngsters, cyberbullying is turning into a difficult issue. Harassing of this sort is characterized as relentless, unfriendly conduct utilizing innovation, for example, phones and virtual entertainment, and is known as forceful web-based conduct in the computerized world. Cyberbullying casualties tormented different understudies by means of email, discussion boards, texts, sites, or messaging. This sort of harassing varies from customary harassing in that it happens beyond schools and ordinarily appears as mysterious messages

posted web-based through virtual entertainment, making it trying for school staff and chairmen to control. Cyberbullying has comparative repercussions to customary harassing for understudies.

### 3. Educators' Anticipation, Mediation and Treatment of Harassing in Schools

Until this point in time, there isn't sufficient data about how educators and executives view tormenting or provocation because of these understudy qualities, and the preparation and backing needs of staff in mediating and halting harassing against these particular gatherings of understudies. Since semi teachers make up most of instructive help experts (ESPs), they frequently work in unstructured Settings where tormenting happens, like cafeterias, jungle gyms, and school transports [21-22]. In any case, scarcely any tormenting counteraction programs incorporate esp as a vital piece of their projects. At the point when remembered for ESPs, they seldom assume a vital part in harassing counteraction or mediation [23-24]. ESP is on the "bleeding edges" with regards to school harassing, which can mean something bad since understudies who are effectively associated with tormenting may go to them for help and help. Also, ESPs might be more acquainted with or nearer to the understudy populace than instructors since they are more probable than educators to be from precisely the same region as the students [25].

Thus, it very well might be simpler for understudies to go to esp for help. As well as perhaps being from a similar local area as the understudy, however not quite the same as the instructor, esp might have an alternate point of view on harassing. Since this job frequently requires certifications, a compensation, and the little independence and control they practice in their work, ESPs are frequently seen as lower-status representatives contrasted with educators [26]. Because of their low status in the school, esp can be threatened by other staff and even kids.

Given the job of staff in the school, it is fundamental to comprehend how survivors of individual experiences can impact the inspiration of staff to quit harassing or take part in enemy of harassing activities. Until now, most ESP studies have been led on a limited scale, zeroing in on unambiguous ESP populaces. For instance, exploratory subjective investigation of transportation laborers found that esp saw a ton of harassing, however generally understood left of the locale's endeavors to foster a school security program [23].

As a matter of fact, there are relatively few enemy of harassing drives explicitly expected to incorporate esp, and on the off chance that there are, esp seldom includes noticeably in enemy of tormenting drives or mediations. Around 25% of ESPs are parttime and may not generally be allocated to one spot, which makes them possibly incapable to go to college wide social affairs to talk about harassing anticipation methodology and regulations [25]. What's more, while most ESPs really do go to proficient improvement studios, the greater part of them give off an impression of being position explicit (e.g., records the board for authoritative staff, security and cleanliness for cafeteria staff) as opposed to avoidance and mediation in all schools [27]. Since ESPs frequently administer high-risk areas of tormenting, it is important that specialists better comprehend their preparation needs and harassing encounters. We additionally consider that the expert necessities of instructors might differ relying upon the kind of harassing experienced or its attributes.

The discoveries propose that educators are bound to mediate in harassing circumstances contrasted with ESP. Shockingly, ESPs were bound to say they had great abilities in managing harassing, however they likewise said they required really preparing. Since ESPs are liable for high-risk areas, including jungle gyms, transports, and cafeterias, they ought to be engaged with preventive arranging exercises in schools. The momentum ends are steady with the discoveries of DeLara's study, which showed that transport drivers saw a ton of harassing, yet in addition got a handle on left of school wellbeing programs in the locale [23].

As the degree of information and backing for tormenting counteraction and mediation differs, ebb and flow and past examination features the significance of directing extensive requirements appraisals

for all school staff. Rather than laying out one-size-fits-all expert turn of events, schools can smooth out programming endeavors by making smoothed out tormenting preparing for gatherings of representatives.

To wrap things up, the ongoing discoveries recommend that numerous regions have harassing rules set up, yet insufficient direction on the most proficient method to carry out them. What's more, ESPs were more uncertain than educators to say they were prepared in school locale strategy. Need to incorporate all understudies.

#### 4. Conclusion

This paper methodically provides readers with a general understanding of peer bullying. The results indicate that there is still a study vacuum in the early detection of peer bullying among teenagers despite the vast studies on intervention and solutions for peer bullying behavior. The shortcoming of this paper is that it studies a large number of cases in Western countries, but does not carry out a specific and detailed analysis of the current situation of bullying in China. In the future, more research can be done on covert aggression between women, such as relationship bullying, and there is also room to explore early identification of bullying risk.

#### References

- [1] Olweus, D. (1999). Sweden. In P. K. Smith, Y. Morita, J. Junger-Tas, D. Olweus, R. Catalano & P. Slee (Eds.), *The Nature of School Bullying: A Cross-national Perspective* (pp. 7-27). London & New York: Routledge.
- [2] Cross, D., Shaw, T., Hearn, L., Epstein, M., Monks, H., Lester, L., & Thomas, L. (2009). Australian covert bullying prevalence study.
- [3] Smith, P. K. & Sharp, S. (Eds.) (1994). *School Bullying: Insights and Perspectives*. London: Routledge.
- [4] Bauman, S., Underwood, M. K., & Card, N. A. (2013). Definitions: Another perspective and a proposal for beginning with cyberaggression. In S. Bauman, J. Walker, & D. Cross (Eds.), *Principles of Cyberbullying Research: Definition, Methods, and Measures* (pp. 41–45). New York: Routledge.
- [5] Swearer, S. M., Espelage, D., Vaillancourt, T., & Hymel, S. (2010). What can be done about school bullying? Linking research to educational practice. *Educational Researcher*, 39(1), 38-47.
- [6] Berlan, E. D., Corliss, H. L., Field, A. E., Goodman, E., & Austin, S. B. (2010). Sexual orientation and bullying among adolescents in the growing up today study. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 46(4), 366-371. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth. 2009.10.015.
- [7] Rose, C. A., Monda-Amaya, L. E., & Espelage, D. L. (2011). Bullying perpetration and victimization in special education: A review of the literature.
- [8] Sawyer, A. L., Bradshaw, C. P., & O'Brennan, L. M. (2008). Examining ethnic, gender, and developmental differences in the way children report being a victim of 'bullying' on self-report measures. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 43(2), 106-114. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth. 2007.12.011.
- [9] RSM McClure Watters (2011). *The Nature and Extent of Pupil Bullying in Schools in the North of Ireland*, Volume 56. Bangor, UK: Department of Education for Northern Ireland.
- [10] Mishna, F. (2003). Learning disabilities and bullying: Double jeopardy. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 36, 336–347.
- [11] Hugh-Jones, S., & Smith, P. K. (1999). Self-reports of short-and long-term effects of bullying on children who stammer. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 69(2), 141-158.
- [12] Duncan, N. (1999). *Sexual Bullying: Gender Conflict and Pupil Culture in Secondary Schools*. London: Routledge.
- [13] Jennifer, D. (2013). Girls and indirect aggression. In I. Rivers & N. Duncan (Eds.), *Bullying: Experiences and Discourses of Sexuality and Gender* (pp. 47–59). London and New York, Routledge.
- [14] Williams, S. (2013). Sexual bullying in one local authority. In I. Rivers & N. Duncan (Eds.), *Bullying: Experiences and Discourses of Sexuality and Gender* (pp. 60–74). London and New York: Routledge.
- [15] Robinson, J. P., Espelage, D. L. & Rivers, I. (2013). Developmental trends in peer victimization and emotional distress in LGB and heterosexual youth. *Pediatrics*, 131, 423–430.
- [16] Salmivalli, C., Lagerspetz, K., Björkqvist, K., Österman, K., & Kaukiainen, A. (1996). Bullying as a group process: participant roles and their relations to social status within the group. *Aggressive Behavior*, 22, 1–15.
- [17] Zylch, I., Ortega-Ruiz, R. & del Rey, R. (2015). Scientific research on bullying and cyberbullying: Where have we been and where are we going. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 23, 1–21.

- [18] Rivers, I., & Noret, N. (2010). 'I h8 u': findings from a five-year study of text and email bullying. *British Educational Research Journal*, 36, 643–671.
- [19] Smith, P. K., Mahdavi, J., Carvalho, M., Fisher, S., Russell, S., & Tippett, N. (2008). Cyberbullying, its forms and impact in secondary school pupils. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49, 376–385.
- [20] Kowalski, R. M., Giumetti, G. W., Schroeder, A. N., & Lattanner, M. R. (2014). Bullying in the digital age: A critical review and meta-analysis of cyberbullying research among youth. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140, 1073–1137.
- [21] Bradshaw, C. P., Sawyer, A. L., & O'Brennan, L. M. (2007). Bullying and peer victimization at school: Perceptual differences between students and school staff *School Psychology Review*, 36(3), 361–382.
- [22] Leff, S. S., Power, T. J., Costigan, T. E., & Manz, P. H. (2003). Assessing the climate of the playground and lunchroom: Implications for bullying prevention programming. *School Psychology Review*, 12(3), 418–430.
- [23] DeLara, E. W. (2008). Bullying and aggression on the school bus: School bus drivers' observations and suggestions. *Journal of School Violence*, 7(3), 48–70.
- [24] Hendershot, C., Dake, J. A., Price, J. H., & Lartey, G. K. (2006). Elementary school nurses' perceptions of student bullying. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 22(4), 229–236. doi:10.1177/10598405050220040801.
- [25] Bradshaw, C. P., Waasdorp, T. E., O'Brennan, L., & Gulemetova, M. (2011). Findings from the National Education Association's nationwide study of bullying: Teachers' and staff members' perspectives on bullying and prevention. Report prepared for the National Education Association, Washington, DC.
- [26] Bradshaw, C., & Figiel, K. (2012). *Prevention and intervention for workplace bullying in schools*. Washington, DC: National Education Association.
- [27] National Education Association. (2003). *The ESProfessionals: An action guide to help you in your professional development*. Washington, DC: Author.