Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Biological Engineering and Medical Science
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/66/2024.18029

Research on the Exoskeleton Enhancing Human Touch

Yanqing Sha

ECUST, Shanghai, 200237, China

21012744@mail.ecust.edu.cn

Abstract. The integration of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) with exoskeleton systems offers a unique potential to enhance and restore human sensory and motor capabilities. This review delves into both invasive and non-invasive BCI technologies, with a special emphasis on the practical application of electroencephalography (EEG). It critically assess the effectiveness and limitations of EEG in controlling exoskeletons, while providing a detailed comparison of various control methods, including direct neuromuscular stimulation, neurofeedback, and machine learning-based intelligent strategies. Additionally, this review addresses the technical challenges faced by integrated systems, particularly in performing complex tasks and delivering real-time feedback, such as the intricacies of signal decoding, system stability, and user adaptability. The conclusion underscores the importance of future research in enhancing system reliability and accuracy, refining user interfaces, and developing novel algorithms to improve performance and user experience. This review aims to equip researchers in the field with a robust theoretical framework and practical insights, facilitating further advancements in the synergy between BCI and exoskeleton technologies.

Keywords: Brain-Computer Interfaces, Exoskeleton, Human Touch, Electroencephalography.

1. Introduction

Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) are at the forefront of technology, enabling direct communication between the brain and external devices through neural signal decoding. Their primary goal is to aid individuals with disabilities by restoring or enhancing functional abilities. Concurrently, exoskeletons are mechanical devices that integrate with the human body to assist or replace motor functions. In recent years, the convergence of BCIs and exoskeleton technology has revealed significant potential in the fields of medical rehabilitation and human augmentation [1]. This interdisciplinary integration holds promise not only for the restoration of motor functions but also for advancements in sensory recovery, indicating broad applications in enhancing human capabilities.

Despite the extensive research that has been conducted on BCIs and exoskeletons, the majority of studies have tended to focus on these technologies in isolation. For instance, BCIs continue to encounter challenges related to the accuracy and stability of signal decoding, particularly when processing complex neural signals. Exoskeletons, on the other hand, still require improvements in control precision and user comfort, especially during extended periods of use [2]. Additionally, the body of research exploring the combined application of BCIs and exoskeletons remains relatively limited. Most of the existing studies have been centered on the recovery of motor functions, with comparatively little attention given to the potential for sensory recovery, which represents a significant yet underexplored area of research.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/66/2024.18029

2. Brain-Computer Interfaces

2.1. Invasive

Invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) involves the surgical implantation of electrodes directly into the cerebral cortex, enabling the recording of neuronal activity with exceptional signal quality and resolution. This high precision allows for the accurate decoding of motor intentions. However, the invasive nature of this technique presents significant risks, including the complexity and cost of the surgical procedures, as well as potential long-term biocompatibility issues. Current research is primarily directed towards enhancing the biocompatibility and stability of electrode materials to mitigate inflammation and rejection responses. For instance, the study by Hochberg et al. demonstrated the potential for controlling prosthetic limbs using invasive electrodes, while also underscoring the challenges associated with long-term implantation [3]. Furthermore, there is ongoing exploration of less invasive techniques, such as the development of flexible electrodes and microelectrode arrays, aimed at reducing the trauma to brain tissue during implantation.

The high-resolution signal acquisition afforded by invasive BCIs offers a distinct advantage in decoding intricate motor commands. For example, in certain experimental settings, monkeys have successfully achieved fine control of robotic arms using invasive BCI systems [4]. Despite these advancements, the clinical application of invasive BCIs remains fraught with challenges, including surgical risks, long-term device stability, and ethical considerations. Although significant strides have been made in both laboratory and animal studies, substantial technical and ethical obstacles must be addressed before these technologies can be safely and effectively applied to human patients.

2.2. Non-invasive

Non-invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) use scalp electrodes to record electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, valued for their safety, cost-effectiveness, and ease of application. While these methods typically offer lower signal quality compared to invasive techniques, advances in signal processing algorithms have significantly enhanced decoding accuracy. EEG technology is versatile, with applications spanning motor intention decoding and emotional state monitoring.

EEG is particularly valued for its high temporal resolution, which enables real-time monitoring of brain activity. However, the spatial resolution of EEG signals is limited by the attenuation caused by the scalp and skull. In recent years, the integration of high-density electrode arrays and advanced signal processing techniques has markedly enhanced the spatial resolution of EEG. For instance, research by Müller-Putz et al.5demonstrated the efficacy of steady-state visual evoked potentials (SSVEPs), which are noted for their high signal-to-noise ratio and stability, making them a reliable signal source for BCI applications [5].

2.3. Regulatory transmission of signals

The regulatory transmission of signals is fundamental to enhancing the performance and accuracy of non-invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs). These systems improve decoding precision by strategically modulating signal parameters to optimize the interpretation of brain activity. Established methodologies include impulse signals, which, due to their brief and transient nature, evoke rapid neural responses crucial for precise motor control; step signals, which induce sustained neural responses through abrupt changes in signal parameters, thereby supporting prolonged attention and task engagement; and steady-state signals, such as steady-state visual evoked potentials (SSVEPs), which generate continuous and stable neural responses via repetitive visual stimuli at specific frequencies. Each approach leverages distinct stimulation paradigms to enhance the decodability of electroencephalography (EEG) signals.

Research by Müller-Putz et al. has highlighted the efficacy of SSVEPs in BCI applications. SSVEPs are recognized for their high signal-to-noise ratio and stability, making them one of the most reliable signal sources in BCI systems. The robustness of SSVEPs facilitates more accurate and consistent

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/66/2024.18029

decoding of user intentions, particularly in environments where signal clarity and stability are critical [6].

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in enhancing BCI systems through the development of multimodal approaches. These systems integrate EEG with other physiological signals, such as electromyography (EMG) and electrooculography (EOG), to improve overall decoding performance. Multimodal BCIs are designed to leverage the complementary information provided by different signal modalities, thereby enhancing the system's robustness and accuracy in interpreting user intentions.

For example, studies have shown that incorporating EMG signals, which reflect muscle activity, can significantly improve the accuracy of BCIs in motor control tasks. By combining EEG data with EMG signals, BCI systems can more effectively distinguish between subtle motor intentions, leading to more precise control of external devices such as robotic arms or exoskeletons [7]. This multimodal approach not only enhances decoding performance but also broadens the applicability of BCIs in real-world settings, where integrating multiple physiological signals can provide a more comprehensive understanding of user intent.

3. Exoskeleton control

Brain-computer Interfaces (BCIs) can be utilized as a control source for exoskeletons, enabling precise regulation by decoding brain signals. The control strategies for exoskeletons are primarily classified into time-domain methods, spectral-domain methods, time-frequency methods, spatial-domain methods, spatiotemporal and time-frequency methods, and approaches based on Riemannian geometry [8]. Each of these strategies has its own advantages and limitations, making them applicable to different clinical and functional scenarios as shown in table.

3.1. Time-Domain methods

Time-domain methods involve decoding user intentions by analyzing temporal variations in EEG signals. Although this approach is straightforward and intuitive, it is also prone to noise interference. Enhancing the robustness of signal processing algorithms can help mitigate this issue. For example, McFarland et al. demonstrated the feasibility of using time-domain methods for achieving three-dimensional motion control [9].

3.2. Spectral-Domain methods

Spectral-domain methods decode user intentions by analyzing the frequency components of EEG signals. While this approach effectively captures the frequency characteristics of the signals, it lacks temporal resolution. This limitation can be addressed by time-frequency methods that combine both temporal and frequency information. For instance, Müller-Gerking et al. proposed a spectral-domain approach that significantly improved the classification accuracy of motor imagery tasks through the optimization of spatial filters [10].

3.3. Time-Frequency methods

Time-frequency methods enhance decoding accuracy by integrating temporal and frequency data. Although these methods are computationally intensive, they are particularly effective in processing non-stationary signals. Blankertz et al. demonstrated the utility of time-frequency methods in single-trial EEG analysis, resulting in higher decoding accuracy for motor tasks [11].

3.4. Spatial-Domain methods

Spatial-domain methods focus on decoding brain signals by analyzing the spatial relationships between electrodes. This approach effectively reveals the spatial characteristics of the signals, although it typically requires a large number of electrodes. Optimizing electrode placement can enhance the efficiency of signal decoding. For example, Ramos-Murguialday and Birbaumer illustrated the application of spatial analysis in brain oscillation signals during motor tasks [12].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/66/2024.18029

3.5. Spatiotemporal and Time-Frequency methods

Spatiotemporal and time-frequency methods combine temporal, spatial, and frequency information to improve signal decoding accuracy. Despite their computational complexity, these methods offer substantial advantages in multidimensional signal processing. The Filter Bank Common Spatial Patterns (FBCSP) algorithm proposed by Ang et al. demonstrated its effectiveness in managing complex tasks within BCI competition datasets [13].

3.6. Riemannian Geometry-Based methods

Riemannian geometry-based methods offer a novel approach to signal decoding by analyzing signal characteristics through geometric principles. Although this method is innovative and highly accurate, it involves complex theoretical foundations and significant computational demands. Barachant and Congedo demonstrated the feasibility of using an information geometry-based P300 BCI system, highlighting its robustness and precision [14].

Table 1. Summary Table of Analysis Methods

Method	Principle	Advantages	Disadvantages
Time-Domain Method	Analyzes temporal variations in signals	Simple, low computational cost	Susceptible to noise interference
Spectral-Domain Method	Analyzes frequency components of signals	Reveals frequency characteristics of signals	Cannot provide temporal information
Time-Frequency Method	Combines temporal and frequency information	Improves decoding accuracy	High computational complexity
Spatial-Domain Method	Analyzes spatial relationships between electrodes	Reveals spatial characteristics	Requires a large number of electrodes
Spatiotemporal and Time-Frequency Method	Combines temporal, spatial, and frequency information	Enhances decoding accuracy	High computational complexity
Riemannian Geometry-Based Method	Analyzes signal characteristics using geometric principles	Innovative, high -accuracy	Complex theory, high computational cost

4. Potential and Challenges of Integrating BCI with Exoskeletons

Integrating Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) with exoskeletons offers significant potential for enhancing tactile feedback, a critical element of human interaction with the environment. This integration enables a more natural and intuitive tactile experience by combining mechanical feedback from exoskeletons with brain signals decoded through BCIs. Advances in electrical stimulation techniques are being explored to better replicate realistic tactile sensations, thereby improving sensory perception for users. Furthermore, ensuring user comfort during extended use remains vital; while there have been strides in mechanical design, further advancements in ergonomics are needed. BCI technology can also refine exoskeleton control strategies, making them more intelligent and user-centered. Efficient signal processing is crucial, and emerging machine learning and deep learning algorithms, such as deep neural networks (DNNs), are showing promise in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of BCI systems.

5. Future Research Directions

.Future research must focus on the integration of multimodal signals—including EEG, EMG, and other physiological metrics—to elevate the performance of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) systems. This integration holds promise for substantially enhancing both the accuracy and stability of signal decoding. Addressing the critical challenges of real-time processing and robustness necessitates the development

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/66/2024.18029

of advanced algorithms and hardware solutions. Additionally, despite the promising results observed in laboratory environments, extensive clinical trials are essential to validate and optimize the effectiveness and reliability of BCI and exoskeleton technologies for practical, real-world applications.

6. Conclusion

This review examines the integration of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) and exoskeleton technologies, highlighting advancements in enhancing tactile sensation and motor abilities. Key findings underscore the potential of these technologies in medical rehabilitation and assistive mobility, particularly for patients with neurological impairments.

Despite promising progress, challenges remain in BCI signal decoding, exoskeleton design, and control systems. Addressing these requires improved algorithms, user intent recognition, and ergonomic designs.

Future research must emphasize interdisciplinary collaboration to translate these technologies from lab to clinical practice. Ethical and legal considerations, such as patient privacy and autonomy, must also be prioritized as these innovations evolve.

This review acknowledges limitations in data analysis and calls for more comprehensive evaluations in future studies to better understand the potential and limitations of BCI and exoskeleton technologies.

References

[1] Demolder, C., Molina, A., Hammond, F. L. III, & Yeo, W. H. (2021). Unraveling the potential of brain-computer interface technology in medical diagnostics and rehabilitation: A comprehensive literature review. Health and Technology.

[2] Chen, G., Chen, B., & Xu, G. (2018). Lower limb exoskeleton robot and its cooperative control: A review, trends, and challenges for future research. Frontiers in Neurorobotics, 12, 60.

[3] Hochberg, L. R., Serruya, M. D., Friehs, G. M., Mukand, J. A., Saleh, M., Caplan, A. H., ... & Donoghue, J. P. (2006). Neuronal ensemble control of prosthetic devices by a human with tetraplegia. Nature, 442(7099), 164-171.

[4] Pandarinath, C., et al. (2017). Brain-machine interfaces: assistive, thought-controlled devices.

[5] Müller-Putz, G. R., Scherer, R., Brunner, C., Leeb, R., & Pfurtscheller, G. (2008). Better than random: a closer look on BCI results. International Journal of Bioelectromagnetism, 10(1), 52-55.

[6] Müller-Putz, G. R., & Pfurtscheller, G. (2008). Steady-state visual evoked potentials: their use in brain-computer interfaces. Journal of Neural Engineering, 5(4), 493-504.

[7] Nam, C. S., Nijholt, A., & Lotte, F. (2018). Brain-Computer Interfaces Handbook: Technological and Theoretical Advances. CRC Press.

[8] Noda, T., Gancet, J., Kawamoto, H., Sankai, Y., & Yoshida, K. (2012). Exoskeleton robot for support in standing-up and walking: A case study on the hybrid assistive limb (HAL) for care support. In 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (pp. 3183-3188). IEEE.

[9] McFarland, D. J., Sarnacki, W. A., & Wolpaw, J. R. (2010). Electroencephalographic (EEG) control of three-dimensional movement. Journal of Neural Engineering, 7(3), 036007.

[10] Müller-Gerking, J., Pfurtscheller, G., & Flyvbjerg, H. (1999). Designing optimal spatial filters for single-trial EEG classification in a movement task. Clinical Neurophysiology, 110(5), 787-798.

[11] Blankertz, B., Tomioka, R., Lemm, S., Kawanabe, M., & Müller, K. R. (2008). Optimizing spatial filters for robust EEG single-trial analysis. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 25(1), 41-56.

[12] Ramos-Murguialday, A., & Birbaumer, N. (2015). Brain oscillatory signatures of motor tasks. Journal of Neurophysiology, 113(10), 3663-3682.

[13] Ang, K. K., Chin, Z. Y., Wang, C., Guan, C., & Zhang, H. (2012). Filter bank common spatial pattern algorithm on BCI competition IV datasets 2a and 2b. Frontiers in neuroscience, 6, 39.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/66/2024.18029

[14] Barachant, A., & Congedo, M. (2014). A Plug&Play P300 BCI using information geometry. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0107.